

# Population Deviation Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Seats	Ideal Pop	Actual Pop	Deviation	Deviation %
1	1	86,995	84,330	-2,665	-3.06%
2	1	86,995	91,096	4,101	4.71%
3	1	86,995	85,099	-1,896	-2.18%
4	1	86,995	83,138	-3,857	-4.43%
5	1	86,995	82,953	-4,042	-4.65%
6	1	86,995	84,016	-2,979	-3.42%
7	1	86,995	86,439	-556	-0.64%
8	1	86,995	85,089	-1,906	-2.19%
9	1	86,995	85,154	-1,841	-2.12%
10	1	86,995	82,910	-4,085	-4.70%
11	1	86,995	85,987	-1,008	-1.16%
12	1	86,995	84,745	-2,250	-2.59%
13	1	86,995	83,307	-3,688	-4.24%
14	1	86,995	90,506	3,511	4.04%
15	1	86,995	89,176	2,181	2.51%
16	1	86,995	85,097	-1,898	-2.18%
17	1	86,995	89,763	2,768	3.18%
18	1	86,995	90,862	3,867	4.45%
19	1	86,995	91,041	4,046	4.65%
20	1	86,995	90,729	3,734	4.29%
21	1	86,995	88,313	1,318	1.52%
22	1	86,995	88,642	1,647	1.89%
23	1	86,995	88,865	1,870	2.15%
24	1	86,995	83,322	-3,673	-4.22%
25	1	86,995	90,432	3,437	3.95%
26	1	86,995	90,540	3,545	4.07%
27	1	86,995	84,735	-2,260	-2.60%
28	1	86,995	85,389	-1,606	-1.85%
29	1	86,995	90,900	3,905	4.49%
30	1	86,995	90,935	3,940	4.53%
31	1	86,995	90,999	4,004	4.60%
32	1	86,995	85,704	-1,291	-1.48%
33	1	86,995	86,863	-132	-0.15%
34	1	86,995	82,860	-4,135	-4.75%
35	1	86,995	86,765	-230	-0.26%
36	1	86,995	89,336	2,341	2.69%
37	1	86,995	88,334	1,339	1.54%
38	1	86,995	90,560	3,565	4.10%
39	1	86,995	86,971	-24	-0.03%
40	1	86,995	84,115	-2,880	-3.31%
41	1	86,995	84,646	-2,349	-2.70%
42	1	86,995	84,462	-2,533	-2.91%
43	1	86,995	83,198	-3,797	-4.36%

# Population Deviation Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Seats	Ideal Pop	Actual Pop	Deviation	Deviation %
44	1	86,995	83,751	-3,244	-3.73%
45	1	86,995	83,317	-3,678	-4.23%
46	1	86,995	84,307	-2,688	-3.09%
47	1	86,995	82,846	-4,149	-4.77%
48	1	86,995	86,256	-739	-0.85%
49	1	86,995	90,415	3,420	3.93%
50	1	86,995	85,776	-1,219	-1.40%
51	1	86,995	83,073	-3,922	-4.51%
52	1	86,995	84,383	-2,612	-3.00%
53	1	86,995	89,622	2,627	3.02%
54	1	86,995	83,475	-3,520	-4.05%
55	1	86,995	84,549	-2,446	-2.81%
56	1	86,995	85,656	-1,339	-1.54%
57	1	86,995	91,158	4,163	4.79%
58	1	86,995	89,713	2,718	3.12%
59	1	86,995	87,559	564	0.65%
60	1	86,995	90,919	3,924	4.51%
61	1	86,995	90,814	3,819	4.39%
62	1	86,995	91,136	4,141	4.76%
63	1	86,995	83,540	-3,455	-3.97%
64	1	86,995	87,875	880	1.01%
65	1	86,995	91,096	4,101	4.71%
66	1	86,995	84,245	-2,750	-3.16%
67	1	86,995	88,255	1,260	1.45%
68	1	86,995	86,978	-17	-0.02%
69	1	86,995	88,795	1,800	2.07%
70	1	86,995	89,118	2,123	2.44%
71	1	86,995	83,748	-3,247	-3.73%
72	1	86,995	83,262	-3,733	-4.29%
73	1	86,995	89,909	2,914	3.35%
74	1	86,995	89,692	2,697	3.10%
75	1	86,995	84,659	-2,336	-2.69%
76	1	86,995	89,815	2,820	3.24%
77	1	86,995	90,628	3,633	4.18%
78	1	86,995	86,365	-630	-0.72%
79	1	86,995	83,163	-3,832	-4.40%
80	1	86,995	84,864	-2,131	-2.45%
81	1	86,995	84,066	-2,929	-3.37%
82	1	86,995	91,069	4,074	4.68%
83	1	86,995	91,184	4,189	4.82%
84	1	86,995	85,875	-1,120	-1.29%
85	1	86,995	89,525	2,530	2.91%
86	1	86,995	87,570	575	0.66%

# Population Deviation Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Seats	Ideal Pop	Actual Pop	Deviation	Deviation %
87	1	86,995	85,009	-1,986	-2.28%
88	1	86,995	83,209	-3,786	-4.35%
89	1	86,995	85,577	-1,418	-1.63%
90	1	86,995	82,937	-4,058	-4.66%
91	1	86,995	85,749	-1,246	-1.43%
92	1	86,995	85,088	-1,907	-2.19%
93	1	86,995	87,194	199	0.23%
94	1	86,995	90,835	3,840	4.41%
95	1	86,995	86,264	-731	-0.84%
96	1	86,995	89,587	2,592	2.98%
97	1	86,995	86,810	-185	-0.21%
98	1	86,995	82,669	-4,326	-4.97%
99	1	86,995	86,649	-346	-0.40%
100	1	86,995	84,206	-2,789	-3.21%
101	1	86,995	87,644	649	0.75%
102	1	86,995	87,483	488	0.56%
103	1	86,995	88,259	1,264	1.45%
104	1	86,995	82,781	-4,214	-4.84%
105	1	86,995	84,101	-2,894	-3.33%
106	1	86,995	86,758	-237	-0.27%
107	1	86,995	86,497	-498	-0.57%
108	1	86,995	84,457	-2,538	-2.92%
109	1	86,995	87,462	467	0.54%
110	1	86,995	89,588	2,593	2.98%
111	1	86,995	90,809	3,814	4.38%
112	1	86,995	90,138	3,143	3.61%
113	1	86,995	91,102	4,107	4.72%
114	1	86,995	89,016	2,021	2.32%
115	1	86,995	89,565	2,570	2.95%
116	1	86,995	90,871	3,876	4.46%
117	1	86,995	90,329	3,334	3.83%
118	1	86,995	83,282	-3,713	-4.27%
119	1	86,995	90,212	3,217	3.70%
120	1	86,995	84,907	-2,088	-2.40%
<b>Totals:</b>	<b>120</b>		<b>10,439,388</b>		

Deviation range: -4.97% to 4.82%

# 2020 Election Contest Report - US President

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Grn	% Grn	Cnst	% Cnst	W-I	% W-I
1	30,549	61.42%	18,491	37.18%	416	0.84%	78	0.16%	118	0.24%	83	0.17%
2	23,098	43.25%	29,575	55.37%	433	0.81%	112	0.21%	88	0.16%	105	0.20%
3	27,012	58.58%	18,363	39.83%	497	1.08%	79	0.17%	76	0.16%	81	0.18%
4	22,685	60.00%	14,801	39.15%	173	0.46%	57	0.15%	53	0.14%	39	0.10%
5	20,932	49.27%	21,024	49.49%	306	0.72%	69	0.16%	65	0.15%	84	0.20%
6	18,289	56.17%	13,585	41.72%	485	1.49%	85	0.26%	47	0.14%	69	0.21%
7	27,243	56.82%	19,960	41.63%	429	0.89%	94	0.20%	110	0.23%	109	0.23%
8	17,264	41.43%	23,752	57.00%	383	0.92%	96	0.23%	87	0.21%	90	0.22%
9	21,479	47.39%	23,129	51.03%	405	0.89%	113	0.25%	82	0.18%	117	0.26%
10	21,738	53.95%	18,081	44.87%	289	0.72%	68	0.17%	65	0.16%	54	0.13%
11	13,229	31.27%	28,089	66.40%	600	1.42%	159	0.38%	63	0.15%	165	0.39%
12	22,745	53.22%	19,633	45.94%	186	0.44%	42	0.10%	90	0.21%	42	0.10%
13	34,048	68.49%	14,878	29.93%	502	1.01%	77	0.15%	112	0.23%	93	0.19%
14	14,797	61.24%	8,663	35.85%	568	2.35%	47	0.19%	35	0.14%	52	0.22%
15	22,763	62.56%	12,715	34.94%	723	1.99%	69	0.19%	48	0.13%	68	0.19%
16	30,474	66.42%	14,611	31.85%	544	1.19%	83	0.18%	86	0.19%	81	0.18%
17	34,687	60.64%	21,863	38.22%	422	0.74%	78	0.14%	57	0.10%	98	0.17%
18	22,754	43.81%	28,225	54.34%	619	1.19%	153	0.29%	68	0.13%	119	0.23%
19	36,065	60.29%	22,976	38.41%	499	0.83%	96	0.16%	68	0.11%	114	0.19%
20	25,598	48.42%	26,265	49.68%	622	1.18%	154	0.29%	88	0.17%	139	0.26%
21	12,640	31.17%	27,288	67.29%	367	0.90%	94	0.23%	40	0.10%	124	0.31%
22	27,078	59.16%	18,286	39.95%	211	0.46%	41	0.09%	92	0.20%	63	0.14%
23	19,515	40.88%	27,868	58.38%	184	0.39%	61	0.13%	70	0.15%	39	0.08%
24	21,249	49.06%	21,608	49.89%	268	0.62%	60	0.14%	64	0.15%	60	0.14%
25	24,159	48.57%	25,093	50.45%	264	0.53%	71	0.14%	72	0.14%	77	0.15%
26	27,593	56.44%	20,446	41.82%	564	1.15%	115	0.24%	72	0.15%	100	0.20%
27	17,514	39.82%	26,098	59.34%	154	0.35%	69	0.16%	106	0.24%	39	0.09%
28	26,628	66.61%	12,846	32.13%	333	0.83%	60	0.15%	42	0.11%	68	0.17%
29	8,109	14.59%	46,533	83.75%	482	0.87%	169	0.30%	81	0.15%	190	0.34%
30	4,478	9.96%	39,791	88.54%	316	0.70%	146	0.32%	75	0.17%	137	0.30%
31	9,911	20.83%	36,930	77.62%	415	0.87%	134	0.28%	55	0.12%	133	0.28%
32	18,696	44.45%	22,911	54.47%	242	0.58%	60	0.14%	92	0.22%	62	0.15%
33	17,340	36.50%	29,221	61.51%	575	1.21%	130	0.27%	84	0.18%	156	0.33%
34	17,821	33.86%	33,747	64.12%	634	1.20%	134	0.25%	87	0.17%	208	0.40%
35	23,896	46.49%	26,428	51.42%	627	1.22%	110	0.21%	93	0.18%	245	0.48%
36	20,828	40.49%	29,527	57.41%	702	1.36%	113	0.22%	63	0.12%	201	0.39%
37	26,022	50.32%	24,570	47.51%	732	1.42%	122	0.24%	95	0.18%	173	0.33%
38	6,324	14.34%	37,061	84.03%	392	0.89%	139	0.32%	63	0.14%	128	0.29%
39	17,540	37.92%	27,960	60.44%	447	0.97%	100	0.22%	81	0.18%	132	0.29%
40	25,058	43.67%	31,247	54.45%	642	1.12%	99	0.17%	97	0.17%	242	0.42%
41	17,485	34.11%	32,750	63.88%	643	1.25%	128	0.25%	84	0.16%	175	0.34%
42	8,151	28.58%	19,748	69.24%	393	1.38%	98	0.34%	69	0.24%	61	0.21%
43	21,658	50.80%	20,326	47.67%	390	0.91%	97	0.23%	76	0.18%	89	0.21%
44	14,442	37.31%	23,496	60.70%	440	1.14%	117	0.30%	102	0.26%	111	0.29%
45	15,781	42.26%	20,899	55.97%	422	1.13%	111	0.30%	52	0.14%	76	0.20%
46	25,832	64.23%	14,072	34.99%	146	0.36%	42	0.10%	85	0.21%	41	0.10%
47	18,804	56.20%	14,394	43.02%	103	0.31%	54	0.16%	52	0.16%	50	0.15%
48	16,926	46.47%	18,990	52.14%	316	0.87%	81	0.22%	53	0.15%	56	0.15%

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Biden (Dem), Jorgensen (Lib), Hawkins (Grn), Blankenship (Cst)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-PRS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2020 Election Contest Report - US President

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Grn	% Grn	Cnst	% Cnst	W-I	% W-I
49	16,047	30.12%	36,108	67.76%	707	1.33%	155	0.29%	71	0.13%	197	0.37%
50	21,514	42.22%	28,765	56.45%	381	0.75%	100	0.20%	89	0.17%	108	0.21%
51	23,774	60.28%	15,116	38.33%	338	0.86%	81	0.21%	70	0.18%	59	0.15%
52	24,769	56.84%	18,241	41.86%	350	0.80%	69	0.16%	66	0.15%	83	0.19%
53	31,020	64.33%	16,473	34.16%	490	1.02%	80	0.17%	69	0.14%	91	0.19%
54	23,857	45.55%	27,826	53.13%	387	0.74%	95	0.18%	95	0.18%	115	0.22%
55	24,161	59.09%	16,226	39.68%	274	0.67%	62	0.15%	94	0.23%	74	0.18%
56	5,751	12.46%	39,687	85.98%	336	0.73%	136	0.29%	70	0.15%	179	0.39%
57	21,454	40.46%	30,714	57.92%	484	0.91%	86	0.16%	119	0.22%	172	0.32%
58	11,753	27.30%	30,715	71.35%	273	0.63%	131	0.30%	66	0.15%	110	0.26%
59	24,566	48.81%	25,087	49.84%	362	0.72%	113	0.22%	98	0.19%	107	0.21%
60	15,545	37.20%	25,597	61.26%	324	0.78%	118	0.28%	105	0.25%	95	0.23%
61	7,732	18.66%	33,049	79.77%	315	0.76%	136	0.33%	80	0.19%	117	0.28%
62	26,245	47.70%	27,925	50.75%	474	0.86%	120	0.22%	111	0.20%	145	0.26%
63	19,003	47.53%	20,385	50.98%	325	0.81%	106	0.27%	84	0.21%	80	0.20%
64	27,053	58.65%	18,440	39.98%	361	0.78%	117	0.25%	86	0.19%	70	0.15%
65	31,301	65.47%	15,992	33.45%	312	0.65%	75	0.16%	55	0.12%	74	0.15%
66	11,967	28.44%	29,340	69.72%	446	1.06%	129	0.31%	60	0.14%	141	0.34%
67	33,869	72.35%	12,456	26.61%	303	0.65%	45	0.10%	83	0.18%	56	0.12%
68	29,961	58.22%	20,681	40.19%	467	0.91%	100	0.19%	84	0.16%	171	0.33%
69	31,582	63.28%	17,607	35.28%	436	0.87%	90	0.18%	68	0.14%	124	0.25%
70	33,424	75.84%	10,146	23.02%	310	0.70%	78	0.18%	60	0.14%	55	0.12%
71	10,972	27.80%	27,837	70.53%	326	0.83%	129	0.33%	77	0.20%	125	0.32%
72	9,066	22.61%	30,346	75.69%	323	0.81%	111	0.28%	104	0.26%	141	0.35%
73	29,284	58.64%	19,870	39.79%	469	0.94%	109	0.22%	73	0.15%	130	0.26%
74	26,847	49.88%	26,059	48.42%	537	1.00%	109	0.20%	87	0.16%	180	0.33%
75	24,701	54.49%	19,943	43.99%	427	0.94%	97	0.21%	60	0.13%	105	0.23%
76	26,943	61.11%	16,534	37.50%	337	0.76%	103	0.23%	84	0.19%	89	0.20%
77	38,630	75.25%	11,973	23.32%	386	0.75%	94	0.18%	133	0.26%	118	0.23%
78	37,320	73.71%	12,751	25.18%	341	0.67%	75	0.15%	55	0.11%	89	0.18%
79	30,867	61.27%	18,891	37.50%	330	0.66%	73	0.14%	133	0.26%	86	0.17%
80	33,169	74.44%	10,747	24.12%	358	0.80%	93	0.21%	121	0.27%	71	0.16%
81	31,459	71.55%	11,880	27.02%	345	0.78%	94	0.21%	122	0.28%	69	0.16%
82	22,909	51.11%	21,202	47.30%	417	0.93%	92	0.21%	63	0.14%	143	0.32%
83	28,930	63.18%	16,169	35.31%	408	0.89%	90	0.20%	65	0.14%	130	0.28%
84	29,288	66.18%	14,382	32.50%	340	0.77%	74	0.17%	82	0.19%	89	0.20%
85	36,117	72.75%	12,899	25.98%	344	0.69%	93	0.19%	110	0.22%	85	0.17%
86	31,019	69.43%	13,118	29.36%	270	0.60%	83	0.19%	117	0.26%	69	0.15%
87	33,590	73.26%	11,707	25.53%	309	0.67%	67	0.15%	84	0.18%	93	0.20%
88	12,277	28.59%	29,752	69.28%	518	1.21%	113	0.26%	75	0.17%	207	0.48%
89	35,214	74.02%	11,749	24.70%	344	0.72%	86	0.18%	83	0.17%	96	0.20%
90	29,453	75.69%	9,046	23.25%	251	0.65%	46	0.12%	54	0.14%	63	0.16%
91	33,612	69.52%	14,132	29.23%	352	0.73%	83	0.17%	94	0.19%	74	0.15%
92	12,406	30.34%	27,770	67.92%	439	1.07%	125	0.31%	46	0.11%	100	0.24%
93	28,949	56.60%	21,307	41.66%	511	1.00%	134	0.26%	111	0.22%	133	0.26%
94	38,020	77.41%	10,573	21.53%	301	0.61%	51	0.10%	93	0.19%	76	0.15%
95	31,205	63.35%	17,249	35.02%	500	1.02%	95	0.19%	86	0.17%	126	0.26%
96	27,891	62.28%	16,197	36.17%	375	0.84%	117	0.26%	106	0.24%	99	0.22%

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Biden (Dem), Jorgensen (Lib), Hawkins (Grn), Blankenship (Cst)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-PRS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2020 Election Contest Report - US President

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Grn	% Grn	Cnst	% Cnst	W-I	% W-I
97	36,341	72.33%	13,274	26.42%	373	0.74%	87	0.17%	84	0.17%	83	0.17%
98	24,050	47.92%	25,335	50.48%	477	0.95%	99	0.20%	68	0.14%	156	0.31%
99	5,125	15.46%	27,465	82.83%	277	0.84%	131	0.40%	53	0.16%	106	0.32%
100	10,106	27.28%	26,262	70.88%	347	0.94%	126	0.34%	83	0.22%	126	0.34%
101	9,281	22.75%	30,815	75.54%	351	0.86%	160	0.39%	70	0.17%	118	0.29%
102	8,792	19.44%	35,560	78.63%	483	1.07%	136	0.30%	87	0.19%	169	0.37%
103	23,897	44.99%	28,281	53.24%	533	1.00%	105	0.20%	96	0.18%	204	0.38%
104	20,681	41.36%	28,408	56.82%	510	1.02%	105	0.21%	82	0.16%	212	0.42%
105	16,311	38.62%	25,233	59.74%	403	0.95%	119	0.28%	61	0.14%	113	0.27%
106	13,209	28.86%	31,849	69.58%	399	0.87%	114	0.25%	54	0.12%	147	0.32%
107	6,951	16.62%	34,174	81.73%	319	0.76%	142	0.34%	75	0.18%	150	0.36%
108	28,128	66.05%	13,892	32.62%	354	0.83%	83	0.19%	50	0.12%	81	0.19%
109	27,346	58.11%	19,001	40.38%	412	0.88%	108	0.23%	79	0.17%	111	0.24%
110	29,856	67.80%	13,740	31.20%	236	0.54%	61	0.14%	77	0.17%	66	0.15%
111	32,016	69.39%	13,586	29.45%	311	0.67%	72	0.16%	84	0.18%	67	0.15%
112	16,129	36.66%	27,153	61.71%	370	0.84%	135	0.31%	87	0.20%	127	0.29%
113	33,221	65.42%	16,890	33.26%	372	0.73%	107	0.21%	94	0.19%	99	0.19%
114	16,625	30.08%	37,683	68.19%	475	0.86%	199	0.36%	131	0.24%	150	0.27%
115	23,978	45.96%	27,274	52.27%	536	1.03%	162	0.31%	99	0.19%	127	0.24%
116	21,809	40.22%	31,553	58.18%	449	0.83%	181	0.33%	99	0.18%	138	0.25%
117	31,405	57.42%	22,332	40.83%	553	1.01%	136	0.25%	135	0.25%	130	0.24%
118	30,814	62.02%	18,044	36.32%	437	0.88%	126	0.25%	140	0.28%	122	0.25%
119	27,153	55.49%	20,815	42.53%	509	1.04%	171	0.35%	148	0.30%	141	0.29%
120	35,662	73.07%	12,414	25.44%	336	0.69%	99	0.20%	168	0.34%	124	0.25%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,754,299</b>	<b>49.91%</b>	<b>2,680,505</b>	<b>48.57%</b>	<b>48,640</b>	<b>0.88%</b>	<b>12,186</b>	<b>0.22%</b>	<b>9,908</b>	<b>0.18%</b>	<b>13,314</b>	<b>0.24%</b>

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Biden (Dem), Jorgensen (Lib), Hawkins (Grn), Blankenship (Cst)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-PRS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2020 Election Contest Report - US Senate

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
1	29,668	60.43%	17,553	35.75%	1,327	2.70%	546	1.11%
2	22,262	42.09%	28,562	54.00%	1,510	2.85%	558	1.05%
3	25,798	56.52%	17,708	38.80%	1,472	3.23%	663	1.45%
4	21,431	57.35%	14,561	38.97%	814	2.18%	560	1.50%
5	20,178	48.30%	20,128	48.18%	1,007	2.41%	462	1.11%
6	17,294	53.50%	13,154	40.69%	1,335	4.13%	545	1.69%
7	25,714	53.98%	19,598	41.14%	1,602	3.36%	723	1.52%
8	16,593	40.20%	22,973	55.66%	1,254	3.04%	454	1.10%
9	21,116	46.86%	22,296	49.48%	1,242	2.76%	409	0.91%
10	20,623	51.75%	17,569	44.08%	1,079	2.71%	584	1.47%
11	13,789	32.84%	26,337	62.73%	1,581	3.77%	278	0.66%
12	21,536	50.86%	19,285	45.55%	962	2.27%	557	1.32%
13	32,236	65.59%	14,562	29.63%	1,612	3.28%	736	1.50%
14	13,704	57.55%	8,307	34.89%	1,308	5.49%	493	2.07%
15	21,117	58.93%	12,289	34.29%	1,744	4.87%	684	1.91%
16	28,707	63.33%	14,034	30.96%	1,849	4.08%	739	1.63%
17	32,825	58.07%	21,137	37.39%	1,823	3.22%	745	1.32%
18	22,033	42.86%	26,496	51.55%	2,141	4.17%	733	1.43%
19	34,351	58.05%	21,861	36.94%	2,172	3.67%	795	1.34%
20	25,107	48.07%	24,281	46.49%	2,258	4.32%	581	1.11%
21	13,344	33.21%	25,385	63.17%	1,224	3.05%	230	0.57%
22	25,476	56.27%	18,119	40.02%	1,034	2.28%	642	1.42%
23	18,175	38.39%	27,687	58.48%	919	1.94%	562	1.19%
24	20,223	47.06%	21,326	49.63%	940	2.19%	481	1.12%
25	23,138	46.87%	24,608	49.85%	1,062	2.15%	561	1.14%
26	26,238	54.25%	19,497	40.31%	1,921	3.97%	709	1.47%
27	16,389	37.49%	26,051	59.60%	812	1.86%	459	1.05%
28	25,068	63.33%	12,682	32.04%	1,213	3.06%	621	1.57%
29	9,041	16.35%	44,457	80.39%	1,486	2.69%	318	0.58%
30	5,058	11.33%	38,141	85.46%	1,127	2.53%	304	0.68%
31	9,939	21.01%	35,442	74.93%	1,508	3.19%	409	0.86%
32	17,502	41.97%	22,694	54.42%	1,006	2.41%	502	1.20%
33	16,837	35.66%	28,028	59.36%	1,824	3.86%	527	1.12%
34	19,154	36.64%	31,068	59.43%	1,741	3.33%	310	0.59%
35	24,046	47.17%	24,767	48.58%	1,731	3.40%	434	0.85%
36	21,912	42.95%	26,869	52.67%	1,839	3.60%	396	0.78%
37	25,917	50.46%	22,831	44.45%	2,140	4.17%	474	0.92%
38	6,556	14.98%	35,429	80.94%	1,447	3.31%	341	0.78%
39	16,941	36.89%	26,798	58.35%	1,664	3.62%	520	1.13%
40	26,551	46.51%	28,477	49.89%	1,751	3.07%	306	0.54%
41	18,221	35.81%	30,433	59.81%	1,843	3.62%	386	0.76%
42	7,743	27.44%	18,771	66.52%	1,253	4.44%	450	1.59%
43	20,597	48.76%	19,669	46.57%	1,344	3.18%	629	1.49%
44	13,822	36.09%	22,491	58.73%	1,421	3.71%	562	1.47%
45	14,959	40.44%	20,083	54.29%	1,361	3.68%	591	1.60%
46	23,940	60.40%	14,290	36.06%	783	1.98%	620	1.56%
47	16,832	51.87%	14,471	44.59%	688	2.12%	462	1.42%
48	15,704	43.80%	18,321	51.10%	1,231	3.43%	599	1.67%

Candidates: Tillis (Rep), Cunningham (Dem), Bray (Lib), Hayes (Cst)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-SEN-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2020 Election Contest Report - US Senate

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
49	17,239	32.59%	33,499	63.33%	1,847	3.49%	314	0.59%
50	20,941	41.35%	27,973	55.23%	1,285	2.54%	449	0.89%
51	22,354	57.24%	14,780	37.85%	1,306	3.34%	612	1.57%
52	23,582	54.85%	17,321	40.29%	1,401	3.26%	687	1.60%
53	29,543	61.78%	15,961	33.38%	1,612	3.37%	703	1.47%
54	23,545	45.22%	26,752	51.38%	1,286	2.47%	489	0.94%
55	23,123	57.07%	15,764	38.91%	1,041	2.57%	586	1.45%
56	6,564	14.30%	38,181	83.20%	954	2.08%	192	0.42%
57	21,674	41.18%	28,959	55.02%	1,563	2.97%	437	0.83%
58	11,089	25.99%	29,779	69.80%	1,316	3.08%	482	1.13%
59	23,351	46.75%	24,510	49.07%	1,484	2.97%	601	1.20%
60	14,890	36.02%	24,548	59.39%	1,360	3.29%	537	1.30%
61	7,873	19.16%	31,561	76.80%	1,282	3.12%	380	0.92%
62	26,030	47.63%	26,527	48.54%	1,585	2.90%	503	0.92%
63	18,082	45.52%	20,083	50.56%	1,100	2.77%	458	1.15%
64	26,164	57.12%	17,960	39.21%	1,202	2.62%	477	1.04%
65	28,971	61.23%	16,189	34.22%	1,473	3.11%	680	1.44%
66	11,881	28.48%	27,833	66.71%	1,598	3.83%	409	0.98%
67	31,632	68.33%	12,445	26.88%	1,285	2.78%	930	2.01%
68	30,567	59.92%	18,594	36.45%	1,450	2.84%	399	0.78%
69	30,936	62.53%	16,537	33.42%	1,478	2.99%	526	1.06%
70	31,396	71.95%	10,281	23.56%	1,295	2.97%	662	1.52%
71	10,706	27.42%	26,471	67.79%	1,343	3.44%	529	1.35%
72	9,696	24.46%	28,404	71.65%	1,135	2.86%	408	1.03%
73	28,283	57.13%	18,720	37.81%	1,774	3.58%	733	1.48%
74	26,515	49.64%	24,577	46.01%	1,725	3.23%	597	1.12%
75	23,638	52.52%	19,192	42.64%	1,562	3.47%	616	1.37%
76	25,360	58.07%	16,087	36.84%	1,376	3.15%	848	1.94%
77	36,438	71.53%	12,108	23.77%	1,492	2.93%	902	1.77%
78	35,624	70.97%	12,584	25.07%	1,285	2.56%	700	1.39%
79	29,258	58.76%	18,425	37.00%	1,383	2.78%	727	1.46%
80	31,373	70.67%	11,130	25.07%	1,241	2.80%	652	1.47%
81	29,286	66.86%	12,554	28.66%	1,255	2.87%	704	1.61%
82	21,964	49.56%	19,864	44.82%	1,716	3.87%	773	1.74%
83	27,846	61.30%	15,372	33.84%	1,492	3.28%	714	1.57%
84	27,570	62.93%	13,955	31.85%	1,376	3.14%	908	2.07%
85	34,135	69.48%	13,099	26.66%	1,183	2.41%	709	1.44%
86	28,934	65.39%	13,180	29.79%	1,309	2.96%	822	1.86%
87	31,444	69.34%	11,669	25.73%	1,393	3.07%	839	1.85%
88	14,251	33.41%	26,583	62.33%	1,475	3.46%	342	0.80%
89	33,443	70.78%	11,445	24.22%	1,444	3.06%	917	1.94%
90	27,255	70.67%	9,670	25.08%	1,067	2.77%	572	1.48%
91	31,265	65.24%	14,267	29.77%	1,580	3.30%	810	1.69%
92	12,314	30.35%	26,278	64.77%	1,566	3.86%	411	1.01%
93	27,433	54.26%	20,707	40.95%	1,694	3.35%	728	1.44%
94	35,139	72.34%	11,158	22.97%	1,332	2.74%	944	1.94%
95	30,517	62.58%	15,825	32.45%	1,817	3.73%	602	1.23%
96	26,793	60.21%	15,551	34.95%	1,400	3.15%	756	1.70%

Candidates: Tillis (Rep), Cunningham (Dem), Bray (Lib), Hayes (Cst)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-SEN-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022



# 2020 Election Contest Report - US Senate

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
97	34,673	69.64%	12,871	25.85%	1,482	2.98%	763	1.53%
98	24,948	50.15%	22,843	45.91%	1,553	3.12%	407	0.82%
99	4,942	15.03%	26,265	79.90%	1,231	3.74%	435	1.32%
100	10,598	28.82%	24,343	66.19%	1,459	3.97%	378	1.03%
101	8,981	22.18%	29,336	72.46%	1,560	3.85%	610	1.51%
102	9,622	21.46%	33,049	73.71%	1,739	3.88%	427	0.95%
103	25,243	47.83%	25,596	48.50%	1,565	2.97%	369	0.70%
104	22,989	46.35%	24,813	50.03%	1,488	3.00%	305	0.61%
105	16,719	39.93%	23,284	55.61%	1,478	3.53%	387	0.92%
106	13,437	29.58%	29,949	65.94%	1,635	3.60%	398	0.88%
107	6,878	16.56%	32,718	78.77%	1,420	3.42%	519	1.25%
108	26,568	63.15%	13,390	31.83%	1,444	3.43%	667	1.59%
109	26,414	56.68%	18,205	39.06%	1,461	3.13%	523	1.12%
110	27,988	64.33%	13,677	31.44%	1,050	2.41%	792	1.82%
111	30,364	66.49%	13,502	29.57%	1,116	2.44%	685	1.50%
112	15,763	36.10%	25,766	59.02%	1,595	3.65%	536	1.23%
113	31,829	63.28%	16,599	33.00%	1,186	2.36%	683	1.36%
114	16,146	29.49%	36,311	66.31%	1,764	3.22%	539	0.98%
115	23,546	45.57%	25,866	50.06%	1,657	3.21%	601	1.16%
116	20,996	39.10%	30,546	56.88%	1,633	3.04%	523	0.97%
117	30,985	57.07%	21,096	38.85%	1,615	2.97%	601	1.11%
118	28,901	58.63%	18,157	36.83%	1,444	2.93%	791	1.60%
119	25,818	53.30%	20,259	41.82%	1,638	3.38%	728	1.50%
120	33,709	69.91%	12,581	26.09%	1,162	2.41%	766	1.59%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,661,369</b>	<b>48.67%</b>	<b>2,567,510</b>	<b>46.95%</b>	<b>171,398</b>	<b>3.13%</b>	<b>68,459</b>	<b>1.25%</b>

Candidates: Tillis (Rep), Cunningham (Dem), Bray (Lib), Hayes (Cst)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-SEN-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

## 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Governor

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
1	19,335	39.12%	29,354	59.39%	517	1.05%	219	0.44%
2	31,210	58.58%	21,452	40.27%	448	0.84%	167	0.31%
3	19,479	42.40%	25,796	56.15%	472	1.03%	198	0.43%
4	15,619	41.46%	21,709	57.62%	218	0.58%	127	0.34%
5	21,550	51.04%	20,093	47.59%	395	0.94%	187	0.44%
6	14,572	44.97%	17,130	52.87%	531	1.64%	170	0.52%
7	21,879	45.66%	25,352	52.91%	496	1.04%	192	0.40%
8	24,415	58.80%	16,476	39.68%	449	1.08%	180	0.43%
9	24,187	53.41%	20,506	45.28%	446	0.98%	148	0.33%
10	19,030	47.41%	20,568	51.25%	348	0.87%	189	0.47%
11	28,914	68.67%	12,364	29.36%	674	1.60%	155	0.37%
12	20,533	48.14%	21,713	50.91%	255	0.60%	152	0.36%
13	16,250	32.83%	32,507	65.68%	500	1.01%	235	0.47%
14	9,150	38.29%	13,986	58.53%	560	2.34%	201	0.84%
15	13,425	37.30%	21,608	60.04%	690	1.92%	269	0.75%
16	15,677	34.37%	29,098	63.78%	602	1.32%	242	0.53%
17	24,044	42.26%	32,022	56.28%	563	0.99%	269	0.47%
18	29,401	56.86%	21,318	41.22%	775	1.50%	218	0.42%
19	25,058	42.04%	33,653	56.46%	633	1.06%	263	0.44%
20	27,745	52.69%	23,948	45.48%	724	1.37%	242	0.46%
21	28,734	71.22%	11,063	27.42%	436	1.08%	115	0.29%
22	19,668	43.14%	25,492	55.91%	279	0.61%	152	0.33%
23	29,071	61.00%	18,255	38.30%	201	0.42%	132	0.28%
24	22,965	53.08%	19,879	45.95%	281	0.65%	136	0.31%
25	26,741	53.85%	22,488	45.28%	287	0.58%	145	0.29%
26	22,115	45.40%	25,853	53.07%	544	1.12%	200	0.41%
27	27,191	61.93%	16,317	37.16%	240	0.55%	158	0.36%
28	14,352	35.94%	25,075	62.80%	357	0.89%	144	0.36%
29	47,229	85.04%	7,597	13.68%	543	0.98%	170	0.31%
30	39,873	89.14%	4,197	9.38%	507	1.13%	155	0.35%
31	37,784	79.48%	8,992	18.91%	596	1.25%	169	0.36%
32	24,459	58.26%	17,068	40.66%	300	0.71%	153	0.36%
33	30,596	64.57%	15,985	33.74%	585	1.23%	218	0.46%
34	35,154	66.97%	16,490	31.41%	661	1.26%	187	0.36%
35	27,993	54.69%	22,438	43.84%	579	1.13%	175	0.34%
36	31,103	60.67%	19,348	37.74%	652	1.27%	161	0.31%
37	26,357	51.12%	24,288	47.11%	711	1.38%	204	0.40%
38	37,593	85.51%	5,655	12.86%	557	1.27%	158	0.36%
39	29,158	63.20%	16,281	35.29%	522	1.13%	178	0.39%
40	32,858	57.42%	23,605	41.25%	607	1.06%	157	0.27%
41	34,277	67.10%	15,921	31.17%	676	1.32%	211	0.41%
42	20,244	71.46%	7,312	25.81%	559	1.97%	213	0.75%
43	21,532	50.67%	20,286	47.74%	470	1.11%	209	0.49%
44	24,531	63.67%	13,136	34.09%	612	1.59%	251	0.65%
45	21,971	59.09%	14,463	38.90%	527	1.42%	222	0.60%
46	15,561	39.00%	23,987	60.12%	210	0.53%	142	0.36%
47	17,028	51.66%	15,612	47.37%	209	0.63%	110	0.33%
48	20,268	56.02%	15,296	42.28%	437	1.21%	179	0.49%

Candidates: Cooper (Dem), Forest (Rep), DiFiore (Lib), Pisano (Cst)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-GOV-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Governor

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
49	37,312	70.25%	14,949	28.15%	691	1.30%	160	0.30%
50	30,228	59.40%	20,081	39.46%	445	0.87%	136	0.27%
51	16,881	43.03%	21,773	55.50%	409	1.04%	166	0.42%
52	19,810	45.72%	22,819	52.66%	485	1.12%	215	0.50%
53	18,113	37.65%	29,301	60.90%	476	0.99%	223	0.46%
54	29,317	56.07%	22,432	42.90%	380	0.73%	159	0.30%
55	17,191	42.15%	23,037	56.48%	379	0.93%	183	0.45%
56	40,099	87.19%	5,363	11.66%	410	0.89%	117	0.25%
57	32,054	60.80%	19,849	37.65%	596	1.13%	222	0.42%
58	31,595	73.96%	10,353	24.24%	556	1.30%	213	0.50%
59	26,699	53.33%	22,664	45.27%	505	1.01%	195	0.39%
60	26,751	64.59%	13,906	33.57%	518	1.25%	244	0.59%
61	33,370	81.20%	6,960	16.94%	569	1.38%	195	0.47%
62	29,690	54.25%	24,239	44.29%	561	1.03%	236	0.43%
63	21,797	54.59%	17,624	44.14%	375	0.94%	136	0.34%
64	20,182	43.85%	25,297	54.97%	381	0.83%	163	0.35%
65	18,197	38.19%	28,891	60.63%	415	0.87%	149	0.31%
66	30,337	72.40%	10,796	25.77%	577	1.38%	190	0.45%
67	14,482	31.03%	31,644	67.81%	360	0.77%	178	0.38%
68	21,515	42.02%	28,984	56.60%	530	1.04%	176	0.34%
69	18,716	37.63%	30,261	60.85%	538	1.08%	216	0.43%
70	12,108	27.61%	31,204	71.17%	364	0.83%	171	0.39%
71	28,558	72.78%	9,934	25.32%	556	1.42%	193	0.49%
72	30,825	77.47%	8,344	20.97%	449	1.13%	174	0.44%
73	21,018	42.36%	27,790	56.00%	599	1.21%	215	0.43%
74	28,184	52.54%	24,705	46.05%	554	1.03%	200	0.37%
75	21,435	47.48%	22,971	50.88%	539	1.19%	205	0.45%
76	17,964	40.84%	25,335	57.60%	457	1.04%	226	0.51%
77	14,347	28.00%	36,301	70.84%	405	0.79%	194	0.38%
78	15,058	29.85%	34,876	69.13%	358	0.71%	158	0.31%
79	20,163	40.18%	29,409	58.61%	373	0.74%	232	0.46%
80	12,665	28.45%	31,283	70.28%	400	0.90%	163	0.37%
81	13,921	31.70%	29,465	67.09%	397	0.90%	138	0.31%
82	22,149	49.85%	21,441	48.26%	622	1.40%	215	0.48%
83	17,338	38.08%	27,506	60.41%	510	1.12%	180	0.40%
84	15,684	35.54%	27,726	62.83%	520	1.18%	198	0.45%
85	14,684	29.67%	34,187	69.07%	413	0.83%	209	0.42%
86	15,028	33.74%	28,898	64.88%	364	0.82%	253	0.57%
87	13,467	29.49%	31,629	69.25%	405	0.89%	171	0.37%
88	29,646	69.38%	12,297	28.78%	631	1.48%	154	0.36%
89	13,109	27.62%	33,693	70.99%	462	0.97%	195	0.41%
90	11,267	28.98%	27,222	70.02%	283	0.73%	107	0.28%
91	16,226	33.64%	31,362	65.02%	447	0.93%	201	0.42%
92	28,330	69.67%	11,461	28.18%	653	1.61%	220	0.54%
93	22,993	45.14%	27,092	53.19%	618	1.21%	234	0.46%
94	13,106	26.77%	35,324	72.16%	360	0.74%	163	0.33%
95	18,256	37.24%	29,927	61.05%	618	1.26%	223	0.45%
96	17,593	39.36%	26,418	59.10%	455	1.02%	234	0.52%

Candidates: Cooper (Dem), Forest (Rep), DiFiore (Lib), Pisano (Cst)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-GOV-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Governor

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep	Lib	% Lib	Cnst	% Cnst
97	14,730	29.39%	34,711	69.25%	449	0.90%	237	0.47%
98	25,821	51.75%	23,280	46.66%	615	1.23%	177	0.35%
99	27,727	84.07%	4,547	13.79%	526	1.59%	181	0.55%
100	26,551	72.02%	9,470	25.69%	609	1.65%	236	0.64%
101	31,175	76.74%	8,540	21.02%	670	1.65%	239	0.59%
102	35,325	78.56%	8,591	19.11%	861	1.91%	189	0.42%
103	29,027	54.81%	23,192	43.79%	549	1.04%	192	0.36%
104	28,522	57.32%	20,487	41.17%	575	1.16%	179	0.36%
105	25,868	61.56%	15,448	36.76%	548	1.30%	155	0.37%
106	32,397	71.05%	12,401	27.20%	614	1.35%	183	0.40%
107	34,406	82.58%	6,422	15.41%	627	1.50%	207	0.50%
108	14,953	35.31%	26,742	63.15%	455	1.07%	198	0.47%
109	20,309	43.31%	25,848	55.12%	543	1.16%	193	0.41%
110	15,111	34.50%	28,170	64.31%	353	0.81%	170	0.39%
111	15,130	32.94%	30,315	65.99%	348	0.76%	144	0.31%
112	27,885	63.62%	14,980	34.17%	668	1.52%	301	0.69%
113	18,573	36.73%	31,371	62.03%	431	0.85%	197	0.39%
114	38,425	70.02%	15,418	28.09%	798	1.45%	240	0.44%
115	28,365	54.76%	22,457	43.35%	722	1.39%	256	0.49%
116	32,606	60.55%	20,276	37.65%	752	1.40%	217	0.40%
117	23,818	43.67%	29,868	54.76%	625	1.15%	231	0.42%
118	20,269	40.91%	28,538	57.59%	528	1.07%	216	0.44%
119	22,675	46.52%	25,166	51.63%	657	1.35%	241	0.49%
120	13,696	28.19%	34,169	70.32%	476	0.98%	252	0.52%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,830,701</b>	<b>51.50%</b>	<b>2,582,462</b>	<b>46.98%</b>	<b>60,408</b>	<b>1.10%</b>	<b>22,956</b>	<b>0.42%</b>

Candidates: Cooper (Dem), Forest (Rep), DiFiore (Lib), Pisano (Cst)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-GOV-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
1	30,680	63.09%	17,952	36.91%
2	23,432	44.74%	28,942	55.26%
3	27,341	60.52%	17,833	39.48%
4	22,602	60.88%	14,523	39.12%
5	20,907	50.26%	20,690	49.74%
6	18,406	57.53%	13,585	42.47%
7	27,360	57.87%	19,920	42.13%
8	17,671	43.17%	23,262	56.83%
9	22,288	49.77%	22,492	50.23%
10	21,700	54.74%	17,939	45.26%
11	14,284	34.46%	27,171	65.54%
12	22,795	54.11%	19,333	45.89%
13	34,010	70.16%	14,462	29.84%
14	14,748	63.14%	8,608	36.86%
15	22,700	64.50%	12,496	35.50%
16	30,402	67.97%	14,327	32.03%
17	34,298	61.77%	21,227	38.23%
18	23,349	45.99%	27,417	54.01%
19	36,304	62.10%	22,157	37.90%
20	26,374	51.28%	25,059	48.72%
21	13,455	33.90%	26,236	66.10%
22	26,810	59.65%	18,137	40.35%
23	19,406	41.22%	27,668	58.78%
24	21,290	49.71%	21,537	50.29%
25	24,368	49.52%	24,845	50.48%
26	27,765	58.17%	19,969	41.83%
27	17,331	39.86%	26,148	60.14%
28	26,519	67.66%	12,676	32.34%
29	9,430	17.21%	45,378	82.79%
30	5,249	11.86%	38,992	88.14%
31	10,438	22.21%	36,560	77.79%
32	18,527	44.71%	22,911	55.29%
33	17,713	37.80%	29,146	62.20%
34	19,485	37.76%	32,113	62.24%
35	24,943	49.39%	25,562	50.61%
36	22,551	44.80%	27,791	55.20%
37	27,152	53.43%	23,669	46.57%
38	6,871	15.78%	36,672	84.22%
39	17,801	39.01%	27,834	60.99%
40	26,938	47.79%	29,432	52.21%
41	18,820	37.46%	31,423	62.54%
42	8,269	29.52%	19,739	70.48%
43	21,646	51.50%	20,385	48.50%
44	14,598	38.41%	23,405	61.59%
45	15,786	42.96%	20,956	57.04%
46	25,079	63.82%	14,216	36.18%
47	17,117	53.58%	14,832	46.42%
48	16,538	46.51%	19,018	53.49%

Candidates: Robinson (Rep), Holley (Dem)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-LG-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
49	17,634	33.76%	34,601	66.24%
50	22,108	44.02%	28,114	55.98%
51	23,532	60.96%	15,068	39.04%
52	24,597	58.04%	17,784	41.96%
53	30,976	65.40%	16,385	34.60%
54	24,516	47.64%	26,946	52.36%
55	23,977	59.83%	16,100	40.17%
56	6,739	14.86%	38,608	85.14%
57	22,793	43.72%	29,346	56.28%
58	11,895	28.02%	30,554	71.98%
59	24,908	50.17%	24,743	49.83%
60	15,894	38.77%	25,098	61.23%
61	8,402	20.57%	32,441	79.43%
62	27,462	50.81%	26,588	49.19%
63	19,289	48.65%	20,363	51.35%
64	27,602	60.45%	18,058	39.55%
65	31,170	66.26%	15,871	33.74%
66	12,476	30.13%	28,936	69.87%
67	33,459	73.10%	12,312	26.90%
68	30,950	61.82%	19,118	38.18%
69	31,754	65.14%	16,992	34.86%
70	33,380	76.85%	10,058	23.15%
71	11,474	29.69%	27,169	70.31%
72	10,088	25.78%	29,045	74.22%
73	29,694	60.52%	19,373	39.48%
74	27,952	52.98%	24,810	47.02%
75	25,143	56.39%	19,445	43.61%
76	26,960	62.20%	16,382	37.80%
77	38,953	76.83%	11,746	23.17%
78	37,247	75.01%	12,411	24.99%
79	30,818	62.58%	18,431	37.42%
80	33,480	75.66%	10,772	24.34%
81	31,697	72.68%	11,913	27.32%
82	23,256	52.95%	20,665	47.05%
83	29,227	64.97%	15,759	35.03%
84	29,129	67.11%	14,276	32.89%
85	35,918	73.75%	12,784	26.25%
86	30,728	69.97%	13,191	30.03%
87	33,354	74.23%	11,581	25.77%
88	14,339	34.13%	27,680	65.87%
89	35,271	75.08%	11,705	24.92%
90	29,195	76.10%	9,169	23.90%
91	33,530	70.43%	14,075	29.57%
92	12,790	31.82%	27,406	68.18%
93	28,935	58.12%	20,850	41.88%
94	37,557	77.94%	10,627	22.06%
95	31,542	65.75%	16,434	34.25%
96	28,310	63.91%	15,987	36.09%

Candidates: Robinson (Rep), Holley (Dem)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-LG-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
97	36,359	73.84%	12,883	26.16%
98	25,518	52.16%	23,409	47.84%
99	5,262	16.08%	27,467	83.92%
100	10,957	30.14%	25,398	69.86%
101	9,429	23.41%	30,857	76.59%
102	10,020	22.59%	34,330	77.41%
103	25,885	49.58%	26,323	50.42%
104	23,453	48.01%	25,396	51.99%
105	17,239	41.63%	24,175	58.37%
106	13,943	30.94%	31,126	69.06%
107	7,219	17.47%	34,106	82.53%
108	27,711	66.97%	13,669	33.03%
109	27,481	59.91%	18,389	40.09%
110	29,137	68.00%	13,710	32.00%
111	31,604	69.89%	13,618	30.11%
112	16,477	38.02%	26,856	61.98%
113	33,347	66.72%	16,635	33.28%
114	17,107	31.56%	37,099	68.44%
115	24,650	48.30%	26,383	51.70%
116	22,086	41.54%	31,085	58.46%
117	32,455	60.12%	21,528	39.88%
118	30,851	63.04%	18,084	36.96%
119	27,651	57.52%	20,418	42.48%
120	35,489	74.16%	12,367	25.84%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,796,976</b>	<b>51.64%</b>	<b>2,619,726</b>	<b>48.36%</b>

Candidates: Robinson (Rep), Holley (Dem)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-LG-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Attorney General

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
1	18,391	38.00%	30,011	62.00%
2	30,055	57.64%	22,092	42.36%
3	18,450	41.19%	26,338	58.81%
4	15,164	41.09%	21,742	58.91%
5	20,957	50.77%	20,319	49.23%
6	14,085	44.16%	17,810	55.84%
7	21,129	44.75%	26,087	55.25%
8	23,955	58.64%	16,894	41.36%
9	23,520	52.74%	21,079	47.26%
10	18,586	46.97%	20,981	53.03%
11	27,810	67.11%	13,627	32.89%
12	20,228	48.20%	21,742	51.80%
13	15,258	31.50%	33,185	68.50%
14	8,790	37.58%	14,597	62.42%
15	12,887	36.65%	22,280	63.35%
16	15,004	33.61%	29,644	66.39%
17	21,950	39.57%	33,521	60.43%
18	28,334	55.89%	22,359	44.11%
19	23,224	39.83%	35,088	60.17%
20	26,392	51.35%	25,007	48.65%
21	26,811	67.55%	12,880	32.45%
22	19,037	42.60%	25,647	57.40%
23	28,819	61.43%	18,094	38.57%
24	22,623	52.95%	20,106	47.05%
25	26,342	53.59%	22,810	46.41%
26	20,941	44.09%	26,557	55.91%
27	26,887	62.09%	16,416	37.91%
28	13,770	35.36%	25,168	64.64%
29	45,830	83.60%	8,992	16.40%
30	39,138	88.60%	5,034	11.40%
31	36,891	78.60%	10,043	21.40%
32	23,982	57.90%	17,436	42.10%
33	29,949	63.97%	16,870	36.03%
34	33,591	64.94%	18,135	35.06%
35	26,440	52.48%	23,941	47.52%
36	28,748	57.15%	21,553	42.85%
37	24,612	48.54%	26,097	51.46%
38	37,015	85.12%	6,469	14.88%
39	28,526	62.70%	16,972	37.30%
40	30,467	54.03%	25,923	45.97%
41	32,403	64.50%	17,831	35.50%
42	19,901	71.24%	8,036	28.76%
43	21,186	50.50%	20,769	49.50%
44	23,961	63.20%	13,950	36.80%
45	21,253	57.98%	15,402	42.02%
46	15,122	38.69%	23,962	61.31%
47	15,560	49.10%	16,131	50.90%
48	19,351	54.66%	16,050	45.34%

Candidates: Stein (Dem), O'Neill (Rep)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-AG-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022



# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Attorney General

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
49	35,711	68.30%	16,571	31.70%
50	29,315	58.43%	20,857	41.57%
51	16,035	41.66%	22,451	58.34%
52	18,531	43.86%	23,719	56.14%
53	17,340	36.75%	29,844	63.25%
54	27,902	54.25%	23,527	45.75%
55	16,955	42.32%	23,108	57.68%
56	39,082	86.13%	6,292	13.87%
57	30,235	58.15%	21,762	41.85%
58	30,956	73.16%	11,356	26.84%
59	25,690	51.94%	23,770	48.06%
60	25,763	62.99%	15,135	37.01%
61	32,770	80.49%	7,945	19.51%
62	27,583	51.15%	26,347	48.85%
63	21,097	53.41%	18,406	46.59%
64	19,028	41.84%	26,453	58.16%
65	16,993	36.19%	29,959	63.81%
66	29,527	71.43%	11,812	28.57%
67	13,617	29.89%	31,936	70.11%
68	19,809	39.50%	30,342	60.50%
69	17,742	36.35%	31,069	63.65%
70	10,984	25.40%	32,268	74.60%
71	27,235	70.16%	11,584	29.84%
72	28,922	73.49%	10,433	26.51%
73	20,355	41.62%	28,556	58.38%
74	25,199	47.62%	27,715	52.38%
75	20,059	44.92%	24,599	55.08%
76	17,449	40.33%	25,813	59.67%
77	12,878	25.44%	37,740	74.56%
78	13,348	26.97%	36,150	73.03%
79	19,302	39.33%	29,781	60.67%
80	11,715	26.51%	32,480	73.49%
81	12,815	29.41%	30,763	70.59%
82	21,640	49.35%	22,209	50.65%
83	16,655	37.09%	28,246	62.91%
84	15,091	34.88%	28,173	65.12%
85	13,778	28.37%	34,791	71.63%
86	14,313	32.58%	29,620	67.42%
87	12,840	28.63%	32,014	71.37%
88	27,964	66.66%	13,988	33.34%
89	12,742	27.15%	34,187	72.85%
90	10,024	26.17%	28,277	73.83%
91	14,960	31.44%	32,623	68.56%
92	27,574	68.72%	12,551	31.28%
93	21,849	43.95%	27,865	56.05%
94	12,050	25.08%	36,005	74.92%
95	17,037	35.61%	30,805	64.39%
96	16,976	38.34%	27,301	61.66%

Candidates: Stein (Dem), O'Neill (Rep)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-AG-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Attorney General

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
97	14,212	28.95%	34,874	71.05%
98	23,976	48.98%	24,978	51.02%
99	27,556	84.25%	5,151	15.75%
100	25,681	70.73%	10,629	29.27%
101	30,927	77.01%	9,235	22.99%
102	34,570	78.07%	9,712	21.93%
103	27,174	52.14%	24,947	47.86%
104	26,392	54.05%	22,441	45.95%
105	24,538	59.35%	16,808	40.65%
106	31,429	69.89%	13,538	30.11%
107	34,066	82.64%	7,157	17.36%
108	14,459	34.66%	27,257	65.34%
109	19,502	42.24%	26,666	57.76%
110	14,664	34.08%	28,366	65.92%
111	14,356	31.85%	30,723	68.15%
112	27,315	63.10%	15,971	36.90%
113	17,196	34.48%	32,675	65.52%
114	37,574	69.49%	16,496	30.51%
115	27,124	53.27%	23,793	46.73%
116	31,737	59.87%	21,273	40.13%
117	22,080	41.01%	31,766	58.99%
118	19,157	39.23%	29,674	60.77%
119	21,335	44.52%	26,589	55.48%
120	12,995	27.24%	34,703	72.76%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,710,775</b>	<b>50.13%</b>	<b>2,696,297</b>	<b>49.87%</b>

Candidates: Stein (Dem), O'Neill (Rep)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-AG-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Auditor

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
1	19,142	39.81%	28,946	60.19%
2	30,523	58.99%	21,224	41.01%
3	20,024	44.75%	24,720	55.25%
4	15,661	42.61%	21,091	57.39%
5	21,582	52.37%	19,632	47.63%
6	14,324	45.07%	17,457	54.93%
7	21,751	46.34%	25,187	53.66%
8	24,814	60.95%	15,898	39.05%
9	24,785	55.75%	19,673	44.25%
10	18,862	47.98%	20,450	52.02%
11	27,906	68.10%	13,072	31.90%
12	21,088	50.44%	20,721	49.56%
13	15,979	33.39%	31,882	66.61%
14	9,022	39.11%	14,046	60.89%
15	13,236	38.16%	21,452	61.84%
16	15,151	34.27%	29,059	65.73%
17	21,624	39.65%	32,913	60.35%
18	27,968	55.95%	22,018	44.05%
19	23,079	40.14%	34,423	59.86%
20	25,889	51.26%	24,612	48.74%
21	26,778	68.27%	12,445	31.73%
22	19,354	43.39%	25,249	56.61%
23	29,950	64.00%	16,849	36.00%
24	23,364	54.93%	19,168	45.07%
25	29,558	60.38%	19,399	39.62%
26	21,564	45.65%	25,675	54.35%
27	27,455	63.37%	15,871	36.63%
28	14,523	37.39%	24,319	62.61%
29	45,807	84.26%	8,557	15.74%
30	39,106	88.96%	4,851	11.04%
31	36,903	79.05%	9,780	20.95%
32	24,215	58.83%	16,944	41.17%
33	30,302	65.15%	16,207	34.85%
34	33,783	66.19%	17,258	33.81%
35	26,775	53.70%	23,084	46.30%
36	28,886	58.21%	20,735	41.79%
37	25,110	50.04%	25,071	49.96%
38	36,870	85.45%	6,279	14.55%
39	28,829	63.84%	16,327	36.16%
40	30,912	55.50%	24,790	44.50%
41	32,708	65.91%	16,918	34.09%
42	19,867	71.52%	7,911	28.48%
43	21,063	50.58%	20,583	49.42%
44	23,917	63.51%	13,743	36.49%
45	21,245	58.33%	15,178	41.67%
46	15,247	39.21%	23,639	60.79%
47	16,212	51.34%	15,363	48.66%
48	19,799	56.18%	15,444	43.82%

Candidates: Wood (Dem), Street (Rep)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-AUD-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Auditor

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
49	35,772	69.32%	15,834	30.68%
50	29,163	58.63%	20,581	41.37%
51	16,327	42.72%	21,892	57.28%
52	18,859	45.04%	23,013	54.96%
53	17,952	38.24%	28,994	61.76%
54	28,174	55.32%	22,751	44.68%
55	16,676	41.88%	23,139	58.12%
56	38,774	86.27%	6,172	13.73%
57	30,431	59.29%	20,891	40.71%
58	30,860	73.44%	11,161	26.56%
59	25,636	52.33%	23,357	47.67%
60	25,795	63.56%	14,789	36.44%
61	32,777	81.16%	7,609	18.84%
62	27,816	52.29%	25,378	47.71%
63	20,977	53.47%	18,253	46.53%
64	18,819	41.73%	26,281	58.27%
65	16,900	36.32%	29,631	63.68%
66	29,594	72.18%	11,408	27.82%
67	13,384	29.49%	32,001	70.51%
68	19,549	39.58%	29,837	60.42%
69	17,408	36.08%	30,837	63.92%
70	10,872	25.31%	32,075	74.69%
71	27,608	71.97%	10,754	28.03%
72	29,537	76.13%	9,261	23.87%
73	20,127	41.58%	28,279	58.42%
74	25,733	49.36%	26,397	50.64%
75	20,251	45.86%	23,905	54.14%
76	17,171	39.96%	25,798	60.04%
77	13,031	25.96%	37,160	74.04%
78	13,314	27.17%	35,695	72.83%
79	20,180	41.37%	28,599	58.63%
80	11,752	26.72%	32,226	73.28%
81	13,129	30.30%	30,202	69.70%
82	21,410	49.30%	22,021	50.70%
83	16,452	36.97%	28,044	63.03%
84	15,211	35.29%	27,887	64.71%
85	13,685	28.59%	34,176	71.41%
86	14,098	32.33%	29,506	67.67%
87	12,479	28.05%	32,013	71.95%
88	27,880	67.31%	13,540	32.69%
89	12,461	26.71%	34,191	73.29%
90	10,135	26.71%	27,815	73.29%
91	15,133	32.12%	31,977	67.88%
92	27,448	68.95%	12,361	31.05%
93	21,640	44.20%	27,324	55.80%
94	11,764	24.75%	35,765	75.25%
95	17,079	36.03%	30,322	63.97%
96	16,768	38.10%	27,239	61.90%

Candidates: Wood (Dem), Street (Rep)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-AUD-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Auditor

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
97	13,988	28.70%	34,749	71.30%
98	23,809	49.43%	24,362	50.57%
99	27,464	84.35%	5,096	15.65%
100	25,633	71.19%	10,375	28.81%
101	30,781	76.98%	9,203	23.02%
102	34,198	77.99%	9,651	22.01%
103	26,927	52.32%	24,539	47.68%
104	26,149	54.41%	21,910	45.59%
105	24,473	59.81%	16,446	40.19%
106	31,309	70.18%	13,305	29.82%
107	34,011	82.86%	7,035	17.14%
108	14,151	34.54%	26,823	65.46%
109	19,032	41.98%	26,301	58.02%
110	14,328	33.72%	28,162	66.28%
111	14,254	31.77%	30,606	68.23%
112	27,151	63.19%	15,814	36.81%
113	17,164	34.71%	32,287	65.29%
114	37,427	69.79%	16,198	30.21%
115	27,002	53.53%	23,439	46.47%
116	31,665	60.20%	20,936	39.80%
117	22,096	41.38%	31,308	58.62%
118	19,183	39.57%	29,292	60.43%
119	21,452	45.16%	26,054	54.84%
120	13,223	27.91%	34,149	72.09%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,727,373</b>	<b>50.89%</b>	<b>2,632,494</b>	<b>49.11%</b>

Candidates: Wood (Dem), Street (Rep)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-AUD-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Agriculture

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
1	30,683	63.72%	17,469	36.28%
2	25,295	48.55%	26,801	51.45%
3	27,533	61.40%	17,307	38.60%
4	23,008	62.22%	13,973	37.78%
5	21,057	51.02%	20,215	48.98%
6	18,967	59.48%	12,921	40.52%
7	28,839	61.30%	18,203	38.70%
8	18,019	44.31%	22,647	55.69%
9	22,755	51.31%	21,597	48.69%
10	22,440	56.80%	17,065	43.20%
11	16,775	40.77%	24,375	59.23%
12	23,094	54.99%	18,905	45.01%
13	34,678	71.82%	13,608	28.18%
14	14,626	63.37%	8,455	36.63%
15	22,463	64.62%	12,297	35.38%
16	30,319	68.51%	13,936	31.49%
17	34,376	63.01%	20,183	36.99%
18	23,507	46.85%	26,665	53.15%
19	36,410	63.18%	21,220	36.82%
20	26,750	52.69%	24,018	47.31%
21	14,677	37.31%	24,656	62.69%
22	27,427	61.22%	17,377	38.78%
23	19,929	42.44%	27,025	57.56%
24	22,153	51.85%	20,570	48.15%
25	25,404	51.67%	23,764	48.33%
26	29,448	61.77%	18,222	38.23%
27	17,911	41.26%	25,494	58.74%
28	27,837	70.91%	11,419	29.09%
29	11,331	20.88%	42,938	79.12%
30	6,287	14.35%	37,540	85.65%
31	11,604	24.90%	34,999	75.10%
32	19,627	47.47%	21,721	52.53%
33	19,943	42.74%	26,723	57.26%
34	23,855	46.47%	27,475	53.53%
35	26,828	53.59%	23,237	46.41%
36	24,711	49.57%	25,137	50.43%
37	29,433	58.33%	21,029	41.67%
38	8,675	20.06%	34,579	79.94%
39	19,867	43.73%	25,565	56.27%
40	30,509	54.43%	25,539	45.57%
41	21,815	43.73%	28,065	56.27%
42	8,440	30.32%	19,399	69.68%
43	22,170	52.94%	19,708	47.06%
44	15,038	39.81%	22,734	60.19%
45	16,078	44.00%	20,467	56.00%
46	24,976	63.96%	14,074	36.04%
47	16,905	53.61%	14,631	46.39%
48	16,761	47.54%	18,498	52.46%

Candidates: Troxler (Rep), Wadsworth (Dem)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-COA-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Agriculture

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
49	21,129	40.75%	30,720	59.25%
50	23,987	47.95%	26,041	52.05%
51	24,815	64.41%	13,711	35.59%
52	25,163	59.88%	16,857	40.12%
53	32,704	69.14%	14,596	30.86%
54	26,368	51.45%	24,878	48.55%
55	24,182	60.60%	15,725	39.40%
56	8,093	18.05%	36,733	81.95%
57	25,224	48.67%	26,604	51.33%
58	12,888	30.53%	29,328	69.47%
59	26,967	54.34%	22,661	45.66%
60	16,695	40.94%	24,085	59.06%
61	9,802	24.16%	30,771	75.84%
62	29,231	54.46%	24,442	45.54%
63	20,185	51.12%	19,303	48.88%
64	29,025	63.84%	16,440	36.16%
65	32,616	69.49%	14,323	30.51%
66	14,320	34.79%	26,847	65.21%
67	34,043	74.51%	11,647	25.49%
68	30,801	62.30%	18,641	37.70%
69	31,946	66.15%	16,346	33.85%
70	33,966	78.53%	9,288	21.47%
71	12,287	31.96%	26,162	68.04%
72	10,946	28.21%	27,857	71.79%
73	30,103	61.96%	18,479	38.04%
74	29,448	56.23%	22,920	43.77%
75	25,946	58.46%	18,437	41.54%
76	27,716	64.36%	15,345	35.64%
77	40,057	79.28%	10,467	20.72%
78	38,320	77.55%	11,095	22.45%
79	31,210	63.84%	17,676	36.16%
80	34,335	77.70%	9,857	22.30%
81	32,710	75.18%	10,797	24.82%
82	23,770	54.58%	19,778	45.42%
83	29,543	66.31%	15,012	33.69%
84	29,614	68.39%	13,690	31.61%
85	36,185	74.82%	12,180	25.18%
86	30,950	70.83%	12,744	29.17%
87	33,620	75.46%	10,933	24.54%
88	15,167	36.50%	26,386	63.50%
89	35,615	76.12%	11,175	23.88%
90	29,920	78.21%	8,336	21.79%
91	34,297	72.41%	13,066	27.59%
92	12,900	32.37%	26,950	67.63%
93	29,898	60.50%	19,521	39.50%
94	37,981	79.30%	9,912	20.70%
95	31,479	66.37%	15,950	33.63%
96	28,731	65.13%	15,383	34.87%

Candidates: Troxler (Rep), Wadsworth (Dem)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-COA-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Agriculture

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
97	36,248	74.16%	12,633	25.84%
98	25,646	53.11%	22,640	46.89%
99	5,387	16.53%	27,207	83.47%
100	11,491	31.85%	24,586	68.15%
101	9,588	23.93%	30,472	76.07%
102	10,559	24.01%	33,418	75.99%
103	26,453	51.33%	25,084	48.67%
104	24,383	50.63%	23,774	49.37%
105	17,488	42.73%	23,443	57.27%
106	14,205	31.82%	30,441	68.18%
107	7,393	17.98%	33,732	82.02%
108	27,748	67.11%	13,598	32.89%
109	27,597	60.42%	18,076	39.58%
110	29,459	68.63%	13,466	31.37%
111	31,829	70.72%	13,178	29.28%
112	16,627	38.62%	26,430	61.38%
113	33,584	67.71%	16,013	32.29%
114	17,731	32.92%	36,127	67.08%
115	25,385	50.10%	25,283	49.90%
116	22,663	42.91%	30,155	57.09%
117	33,301	62.11%	20,317	37.89%
118	31,917	65.36%	16,915	34.64%
119	27,955	58.56%	19,779	41.44%
120	35,232	74.22%	12,236	25.78%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,898,000</b>	<b>53.85%</b>	<b>2,483,543</b>	<b>46.15%</b>

Candidates: Troxler (Rep), Wadsworth (Dem)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-COA-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022



# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Labor

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
1	29,661	61.81%	18,328	38.19%
2	22,589	43.72%	29,084	56.28%
3	26,292	58.83%	18,402	41.17%
4	21,888	59.42%	14,951	40.58%
5	20,059	48.75%	21,087	51.25%
6	17,932	56.44%	13,841	43.56%
7	26,591	56.75%	20,266	43.25%
8	16,873	41.53%	23,755	58.47%
9	21,216	47.77%	23,194	52.23%
10	21,237	53.96%	18,117	46.04%
11	13,980	34.26%	26,824	65.74%
12	21,914	52.31%	19,981	47.69%
13	32,940	68.84%	14,907	31.16%
14	14,089	61.27%	8,905	38.73%
15	21,576	62.33%	13,038	37.67%
16	29,164	66.09%	14,964	33.91%
17	33,266	60.83%	21,422	39.17%
18	22,420	44.72%	27,718	55.28%
19	35,189	61.12%	22,389	38.88%
20	25,341	50.05%	25,293	49.95%
21	12,933	33.12%	26,112	66.88%
22	26,008	58.29%	18,610	41.71%
23	18,672	39.84%	28,196	60.16%
24	20,799	48.89%	21,745	51.11%
25	23,871	48.79%	25,056	51.21%
26	27,008	57.21%	20,203	42.79%
27	16,884	39.00%	26,411	61.00%
28	25,860	66.60%	12,970	33.40%
29	9,167	16.88%	45,127	83.12%
30	5,112	11.64%	38,789	88.36%
31	10,123	21.67%	36,588	78.33%
32	18,030	43.80%	23,139	56.20%
33	17,196	37.14%	29,109	62.86%
34	19,229	37.96%	31,432	62.04%
35	24,309	48.93%	25,373	51.07%
36	21,804	44.10%	27,640	55.90%
37	26,523	53.06%	23,465	46.94%
38	6,634	15.40%	36,449	84.60%
39	17,299	38.40%	27,751	61.60%
40	26,645	48.04%	28,822	51.96%
41	18,258	36.98%	31,109	63.02%
42	7,988	28.68%	19,863	71.32%
43	21,103	50.51%	20,675	49.49%
44	14,165	37.53%	23,582	62.47%
45	15,403	42.15%	21,139	57.85%
46	23,988	61.68%	14,905	38.32%
47	15,851	50.23%	15,705	49.77%
48	15,833	44.90%	19,428	55.10%

Candidates: Dobson (Rep), Holmes (Dem)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-COL-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Labor

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
49	17,146	33.38%	34,216	66.62%
50	21,557	43.32%	28,205	56.68%
51	22,894	60.07%	15,221	39.93%
52	23,813	56.95%	18,002	43.05%
53	30,437	64.80%	16,535	35.20%
54	24,003	47.05%	27,009	52.95%
55	23,566	59.14%	16,280	40.86%
56	6,570	14.63%	38,323	85.37%
57	22,070	43.03%	29,214	56.97%
58	11,394	27.10%	30,646	72.90%
59	24,085	49.10%	24,964	50.90%
60	15,290	37.69%	25,279	62.31%
61	8,051	19.96%	32,279	80.04%
62	26,569	50.00%	26,565	50.00%
63	18,718	47.65%	20,561	52.35%
64	26,981	59.75%	18,177	40.25%
65	30,328	65.17%	16,206	34.83%
66	12,115	29.64%	28,759	70.36%
67	32,803	72.24%	12,606	27.76%
68	30,359	61.51%	18,995	38.49%
69	31,164	64.64%	17,044	35.36%
70	32,525	75.87%	10,343	24.13%
71	11,069	28.91%	27,223	71.09%
72	9,822	25.40%	28,843	74.60%
73	28,911	59.86%	19,390	40.14%
74	27,293	52.47%	24,719	47.53%
75	24,498	55.50%	19,645	44.50%
76	26,439	61.54%	16,524	38.46%
77	38,257	76.14%	11,991	23.86%
78	36,487	74.53%	12,468	25.47%
79	29,599	60.71%	19,156	39.29%
80	32,969	74.92%	11,035	25.08%
81	31,147	71.93%	12,156	28.07%
82	22,570	52.12%	20,737	47.88%
83	28,579	64.31%	15,862	35.69%
84	28,564	66.16%	14,610	33.84%
85	35,844	73.95%	12,628	26.05%
86	30,191	69.09%	13,504	30.91%
87	32,625	73.27%	11,900	26.73%
88	14,287	34.51%	27,108	65.49%
89	34,796	74.56%	11,873	25.44%
90	28,543	75.11%	9,461	24.89%
91	32,649	69.30%	14,465	30.70%
92	12,489	31.33%	27,370	68.67%
93	28,279	57.62%	20,798	42.38%
94	36,567	76.80%	11,047	23.20%
95	30,835	65.17%	16,482	34.83%
96	27,868	63.29%	16,163	36.71%

Candidates: Dobson (Rep), Holmes (Dem)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-COL-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Commissioner of Labor

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
97	35,586	73.04%	13,133	26.96%
98	24,871	51.69%	23,241	48.31%
99	5,096	15.64%	27,484	84.36%
100	10,703	29.73%	25,300	70.27%
101	9,201	22.98%	30,833	77.02%
102	9,870	22.51%	33,986	77.49%
103	25,307	49.25%	26,075	50.75%
104	23,007	47.99%	24,938	52.01%
105	16,722	40.95%	24,115	59.05%
106	13,510	30.30%	31,077	69.70%
107	6,973	16.97%	34,108	83.03%
108	27,205	66.08%	13,965	33.92%
109	26,850	59.03%	18,635	40.97%
110	28,722	67.26%	13,978	32.74%
111	31,061	69.20%	13,827	30.80%
112	16,000	37.23%	26,981	62.77%
113	32,856	66.36%	16,659	33.64%
114	16,622	31.00%	37,004	69.00%
115	24,015	47.64%	26,393	52.36%
116	21,453	40.81%	31,115	59.19%
117	31,864	59.66%	21,546	40.34%
118	29,870	61.68%	18,554	38.32%
119	26,681	56.14%	20,844	43.86%
120	34,534	72.95%	12,808	27.05%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,723,106</b>	<b>50.82%</b>	<b>2,635,045</b>	<b>49.18%</b>

Candidates: Dobson (Rep), Holmes (Dem)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-COL-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Secretary of State

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
1	19,171	39.78%	29,017	60.22%
2	30,597	58.93%	21,327	41.07%
3	19,168	42.82%	25,598	57.18%
4	15,919	43.14%	20,979	56.86%
5	21,675	52.47%	19,631	47.53%
6	14,840	46.54%	17,045	53.46%
7	21,809	46.32%	25,274	53.68%
8	24,457	60.09%	16,245	39.91%
9	24,189	54.38%	20,293	45.62%
10	19,224	48.71%	20,243	51.29%
11	27,967	68.02%	13,148	31.98%
12	21,082	50.27%	20,859	49.73%
13	15,934	33.20%	32,063	66.80%
14	9,004	38.90%	14,144	61.10%
15	13,452	38.57%	21,426	61.43%
16	15,338	34.57%	29,028	65.43%
17	22,005	40.03%	32,972	59.97%
18	28,496	56.57%	21,877	43.43%
19	23,487	40.50%	34,506	59.50%
20	26,593	52.19%	24,363	47.81%
21	26,948	68.43%	12,432	31.57%
22	20,046	44.77%	24,733	55.23%
23	29,408	62.65%	17,532	37.35%
24	23,231	54.42%	19,458	45.58%
25	26,740	54.49%	22,331	45.51%
26	21,647	45.70%	25,722	54.30%
27	27,367	63.02%	16,059	36.98%
28	15,154	38.82%	23,887	61.18%
29	45,982	84.31%	8,559	15.69%
30	39,278	89.24%	4,737	10.76%
31	37,082	79.21%	9,732	20.79%
32	24,710	59.79%	16,620	40.21%
33	30,348	65.12%	16,254	34.88%
34	34,102	66.41%	17,245	33.59%
35	26,782	53.47%	23,310	46.53%
36	28,840	57.91%	20,964	42.09%
37	25,067	49.82%	25,250	50.18%
38	37,182	85.87%	6,120	14.13%
39	29,042	64.05%	16,303	35.95%
40	30,965	55.30%	25,034	44.70%
41	32,619	65.44%	17,224	34.56%
42	20,107	72.10%	7,779	27.90%
43	21,477	51.29%	20,394	48.71%
44	24,431	64.48%	13,456	35.52%
45	21,584	58.95%	15,027	41.05%
46	15,819	40.56%	23,183	59.44%
47	16,691	52.80%	14,919	47.20%
48	19,968	56.51%	15,366	43.49%

Candidates: Marshall (Dem), Sykes (Rep)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-SOS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Secretary of State

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
49	35,957	69.36%	15,886	30.64%
50	29,407	58.83%	20,578	41.17%
51	16,904	44.02%	21,495	55.98%
52	19,192	45.66%	22,840	54.34%
53	18,739	39.76%	28,388	60.24%
54	28,237	55.20%	22,914	44.80%
55	16,938	42.35%	23,056	57.65%
56	38,953	86.41%	6,126	13.59%
57	30,567	59.28%	20,999	40.72%
58	31,136	73.89%	11,003	26.11%
59	26,011	52.89%	23,172	47.11%
60	26,028	64.00%	14,640	36.00%
61	32,994	81.44%	7,519	18.56%
62	27,983	52.38%	25,438	47.62%
63	21,347	54.16%	18,065	45.84%
64	19,393	42.78%	25,935	57.22%
65	17,342	37.14%	29,355	62.86%
66	29,731	72.22%	11,438	27.78%
67	13,906	30.59%	31,546	69.41%
68	19,792	39.76%	29,991	60.24%
69	17,689	36.41%	30,892	63.59%
70	11,133	25.85%	31,936	74.15%
71	27,723	72.24%	10,655	27.76%
72	29,636	76.43%	9,137	23.57%
73	20,379	41.97%	28,174	58.03%
74	25,943	49.66%	26,296	50.34%
75	20,434	46.15%	23,840	53.85%
76	17,658	40.96%	25,456	59.04%
77	13,265	26.34%	37,097	73.66%
78	13,516	27.46%	35,705	72.54%
79	20,248	41.35%	28,719	58.65%
80	11,891	26.97%	32,191	73.03%
81	13,161	30.30%	30,277	69.70%
82	21,588	49.56%	21,968	50.44%
83	16,631	37.29%	27,974	62.71%
84	15,380	35.61%	27,812	64.39%
85	14,056	29.14%	34,174	70.86%
86	14,649	33.49%	29,090	66.51%
87	12,889	28.89%	31,732	71.11%
88	28,053	67.32%	13,616	32.68%
89	12,708	27.19%	34,038	72.81%
90	10,419	27.32%	27,714	72.68%
91	15,439	32.66%	31,829	67.34%
92	27,764	69.44%	12,216	30.56%
93	22,259	45.16%	27,025	54.84%
94	12,233	25.64%	35,482	74.36%
95	17,155	36.15%	30,297	63.85%
96	17,092	38.72%	27,045	61.28%

Candidates: Marshall (Dem), Sykes (Rep)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-SOS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Secretary of State

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
97	14,388	29.45%	34,460	70.55%
98	24,133	49.77%	24,356	50.23%
99	27,675	84.82%	4,953	15.18%
100	25,926	71.63%	10,270	28.37%
101	31,131	77.57%	9,000	22.43%
102	34,568	78.49%	9,474	21.51%
103	27,144	52.49%	24,567	47.51%
104	26,534	54.83%	21,861	45.17%
105	24,642	60.01%	16,418	39.99%
106	31,541	70.48%	13,212	29.52%
107	34,318	83.36%	6,849	16.64%
108	14,446	35.08%	26,740	64.92%
109	19,543	42.87%	26,047	57.13%
110	14,726	34.48%	27,977	65.52%
111	14,640	32.56%	30,319	67.44%
112	27,435	63.67%	15,652	36.33%
113	17,556	35.39%	32,058	64.61%
114	37,603	69.87%	16,212	30.13%
115	27,120	53.65%	23,431	46.35%
116	31,837	60.42%	20,853	39.58%
117	22,382	41.76%	31,220	58.24%
118	19,607	40.36%	28,977	59.64%
119	21,805	45.67%	25,935	54.33%
120	13,491	28.39%	34,035	71.61%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,752,763</b>	<b>51.17%</b>	<b>2,627,315</b>	<b>48.83%</b>

Candidates: Marshall (Dem), Sykes (Rep)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-SOS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Treasurer

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
1	30,250	63.28%	17,551	36.72%
2	23,297	45.30%	28,129	54.70%
3	26,733	60.23%	17,652	39.77%
4	22,131	60.51%	14,442	39.49%
5	20,833	50.85%	20,136	49.15%
6	18,451	58.32%	13,187	41.68%
7	27,236	58.30%	19,482	41.70%
8	17,641	43.61%	22,808	56.39%
9	21,930	49.60%	22,284	50.40%
10	21,703	55.47%	17,421	44.53%
11	15,181	37.18%	25,655	62.82%
12	22,520	53.96%	19,211	46.04%
13	33,223	69.71%	14,434	30.29%
14	14,480	63.04%	8,490	36.96%
15	22,150	64.16%	12,375	35.84%
16	29,792	67.67%	14,235	32.33%
17	33,795	62.30%	20,453	37.70%
18	23,774	47.72%	26,043	52.28%
19	35,921	62.78%	21,296	37.22%
20	26,462	52.64%	23,805	47.36%
21	13,821	35.30%	25,336	64.70%
22	26,117	58.88%	18,241	41.12%
23	19,309	41.40%	27,336	58.60%
24	21,389	50.47%	20,992	49.53%
25	24,536	50.34%	24,204	49.66%
26	27,536	58.77%	19,316	41.23%
27	17,456	40.48%	25,668	59.52%
28	25,952	67.32%	12,600	32.68%
29	10,721	19.78%	43,489	80.22%
30	6,284	14.36%	37,478	85.64%
31	11,588	24.92%	34,920	75.08%
32	18,831	45.89%	22,206	54.11%
33	18,557	40.03%	27,799	59.97%
34	20,752	40.76%	30,165	59.24%
35	25,466	51.21%	24,258	48.79%
36	23,169	46.81%	26,325	53.19%
37	27,595	55.17%	22,423	44.83%
38	7,953	18.46%	35,124	81.54%
39	18,561	41.18%	26,512	58.82%
40	28,010	50.39%	27,571	49.61%
41	19,694	39.79%	29,797	60.21%
42	8,966	32.34%	18,757	67.66%
43	21,699	52.17%	19,895	47.83%
44	15,148	40.34%	22,404	59.66%
45	16,350	44.96%	20,015	55.04%
46	24,168	62.42%	14,553	37.58%
47	16,341	52.19%	14,968	47.81%
48	16,560	47.21%	18,519	52.79%

Candidates: Folwell (Rep), Chatterji (Dem)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-TRS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Treasurer

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
49	18,971	36.82%	32,559	63.18%
50	22,177	44.71%	27,420	55.29%
51	23,415	61.62%	14,586	38.38%
52	24,572	59.03%	17,051	40.97%
53	30,726	65.76%	15,999	34.24%
54	24,624	48.51%	26,138	51.49%
55	23,959	60.39%	15,713	39.61%
56	7,818	17.44%	37,002	82.56%
57	22,630	44.09%	28,701	55.91%
58	12,247	29.15%	29,773	70.85%
59	24,350	49.67%	24,670	50.33%
60	15,870	39.12%	24,701	60.88%
61	9,067	22.47%	31,277	77.53%
62	27,032	50.74%	26,239	49.26%
63	19,029	48.45%	20,245	51.55%
64	27,133	60.06%	18,041	39.94%
65	30,302	65.18%	16,191	34.82%
66	13,255	32.42%	27,634	67.58%
67	32,736	72.48%	12,427	27.52%
68	31,004	62.98%	18,222	37.02%
69	31,782	66.10%	16,301	33.90%
70	32,229	75.08%	10,699	24.92%
71	12,480	32.55%	25,859	67.45%
72	11,401	29.38%	27,409	70.62%
73	29,773	61.77%	18,425	38.23%
74	28,498	54.53%	23,762	45.47%
75	25,016	56.58%	19,194	43.42%
76	26,817	62.78%	15,902	37.22%
77	38,103	75.86%	12,128	24.14%
78	36,311	74.23%	12,606	25.77%
79	30,349	62.48%	18,224	37.52%
80	32,546	73.95%	11,465	26.05%
81	30,913	71.27%	12,463	28.73%
82	23,711	54.89%	19,486	45.11%
83	28,905	65.31%	15,354	34.69%
84	28,940	67.40%	13,998	32.60%
85	34,778	72.78%	13,005	27.22%
86	30,299	69.77%	13,127	30.23%
87	32,945	74.33%	11,376	25.67%
88	15,939	38.53%	25,434	61.47%
89	35,124	75.44%	11,432	24.56%
90	28,491	74.99%	9,501	25.01%
91	32,877	69.65%	14,323	30.35%
92	13,684	34.45%	26,033	65.55%
93	28,743	58.89%	20,066	41.11%
94	36,191	76.23%	11,288	23.77%
95	31,506	66.97%	15,536	33.03%
96	28,589	65.06%	15,356	34.94%

Candidates: Folwell (Rep), Chatterji (Dem)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-TRS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022



# 2020 Election Contest Report - NC Treasurer

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem
97	35,799	73.96%	12,602	26.04%
98	26,182	54.56%	21,805	45.44%
99	6,304	19.42%	26,161	80.58%
100	12,064	33.59%	23,851	66.41%
101	10,512	26.32%	29,430	73.68%
102	11,552	26.40%	32,209	73.60%
103	26,978	52.58%	24,331	47.42%
104	24,584	51.35%	23,295	48.65%
105	18,032	44.23%	22,739	55.77%
106	14,912	33.53%	29,557	66.47%
107	8,429	20.57%	32,539	79.43%
108	27,528	67.21%	13,429	32.79%
109	27,442	60.58%	17,854	39.42%
110	28,763	67.73%	13,705	32.27%
111	31,181	69.72%	13,545	30.28%
112	17,279	40.32%	25,574	59.68%
113	32,990	66.97%	16,271	33.03%
114	17,867	33.46%	35,531	66.54%
115	25,053	49.88%	25,173	50.12%
116	22,504	42.99%	29,840	57.01%
117	32,774	61.49%	20,530	38.51%
118	30,445	63.07%	17,828	36.93%
119	27,499	57.99%	19,918	42.01%
120	34,641	73.39%	12,563	26.61%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,809,203</b>	<b>52.57%</b>	<b>2,534,581</b>	<b>47.43%</b>

Candidates: Folwell (Rep), Chatterji (Dem)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-TRS-20G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2016 Election Contest Report - US President

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	W-I	% W-I
1	25,434	59.77%	15,567	36.59%	1,181	2.78%	368	0.86%
2	20,571	43.45%	24,882	52.55%	1,222	2.58%	671	1.42%
3	24,225	59.12%	15,352	37.46%	1,025	2.50%	375	0.92%
4	20,288	58.32%	13,820	39.73%	493	1.42%	184	0.53%
5	17,620	46.59%	19,115	50.54%	826	2.18%	258	0.68%
6	13,884	55.88%	9,911	39.89%	782	3.15%	267	1.07%
7	21,273	54.99%	15,913	41.14%	1,025	2.65%	471	1.22%
8	16,023	40.27%	22,265	55.96%	1,102	2.77%	396	1.00%
9	19,624	48.34%	19,463	47.94%	1,058	2.61%	454	1.12%
10	19,472	52.96%	16,234	44.16%	730	1.99%	328	0.89%
11	13,260	33.09%	24,243	60.50%	1,767	4.41%	802	2.00%
12	20,836	52.78%	17,864	45.26%	543	1.38%	231	0.59%
13	30,060	68.66%	12,213	27.90%	1,093	2.50%	414	0.95%
14	12,067	62.30%	6,420	33.15%	664	3.43%	218	1.13%
15	19,092	64.04%	9,469	31.76%	979	3.28%	273	0.92%
16	23,605	65.86%	10,978	30.63%	966	2.70%	290	0.81%
17	26,027	61.35%	14,993	35.34%	1,025	2.42%	376	0.89%
18	19,439	44.28%	22,351	50.92%	1,489	3.39%	618	1.41%
19	28,577	61.31%	16,130	34.61%	1,349	2.89%	553	1.19%
20	24,003	50.76%	20,761	43.91%	1,803	3.81%	718	1.52%
21	10,400	33.42%	19,136	61.49%	1,066	3.43%	518	1.66%
22	23,395	55.93%	17,602	42.08%	605	1.45%	227	0.54%
23	17,584	38.18%	27,744	60.23%	517	1.12%	215	0.47%
24	19,102	47.15%	20,410	50.38%	711	1.76%	286	0.71%
25	21,751	48.02%	22,488	49.65%	706	1.56%	349	0.77%
26	21,236	59.58%	12,885	36.15%	1,118	3.14%	406	1.14%
27	15,717	35.38%	27,991	63.01%	520	1.17%	192	0.43%
28	22,101	66.15%	10,390	31.10%	683	2.04%	238	0.71%
29	7,395	15.09%	39,315	80.23%	1,405	2.87%	885	1.81%
30	4,066	9.65%	36,581	86.82%	843	2.00%	643	1.53%
31	7,422	20.29%	27,705	75.75%	936	2.56%	509	1.39%
32	16,018	41.05%	22,099	56.64%	642	1.65%	257	0.66%
33	14,972	37.32%	23,377	58.28%	1,247	3.11%	517	1.29%
34	16,449	34.95%	27,839	59.16%	1,830	3.89%	940	2.00%
35	19,218	49.16%	17,640	45.12%	1,365	3.49%	873	2.23%
36	16,118	43.96%	18,125	49.44%	1,734	4.73%	685	1.87%
37	21,167	52.90%	16,588	41.45%	1,584	3.96%	678	1.69%
38	5,162	13.11%	32,780	83.25%	904	2.30%	530	1.35%
39	14,451	39.44%	20,568	56.14%	1,103	3.01%	514	1.40%
40	23,053	46.28%	23,899	47.97%	1,939	3.89%	926	1.86%
41	16,770	37.01%	25,741	56.81%	1,917	4.23%	881	1.94%
42	6,801	28.06%	16,475	67.97%	688	2.84%	276	1.14%
43	18,144	48.98%	17,677	47.72%	878	2.37%	343	0.93%
44	13,255	37.35%	20,906	58.91%	970	2.73%	357	1.01%
45	13,064	42.51%	16,546	53.84%	837	2.72%	287	0.93%
46	21,277	60.38%	13,305	37.75%	509	1.44%	150	0.43%
47	13,641	47.00%	14,577	50.23%	592	2.04%	211	0.73%
48	14,006	43.57%	17,044	53.02%	831	2.59%	266	0.83%

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Clinton (Dem), Johnson (Lib)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-PRS-16G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2016 Election Contest Report - US President

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	W-I	% W-I
49	14,641	31.85%	28,547	62.09%	1,878	4.08%	908	1.98%
50	18,340	41.54%	24,088	54.56%	1,191	2.70%	532	1.20%
51	19,456	57.96%	12,925	38.51%	904	2.69%	282	0.84%
52	21,073	54.95%	15,958	41.61%	971	2.53%	345	0.90%
53	24,764	65.04%	11,918	31.30%	1,016	2.67%	379	1.00%
54	19,421	45.00%	21,973	50.91%	1,190	2.76%	573	1.33%
55	20,308	55.54%	15,092	41.28%	827	2.26%	335	0.92%
56	6,241	12.67%	40,622	82.48%	1,427	2.90%	962	1.95%
57	19,637	42.04%	24,938	53.38%	1,393	2.98%	747	1.60%
58	10,579	26.46%	28,017	70.07%	899	2.25%	490	1.23%
59	21,469	51.71%	18,704	45.05%	944	2.27%	405	0.98%
60	14,190	37.93%	21,923	58.60%	916	2.45%	381	1.02%
61	7,658	18.02%	33,174	78.06%	1,045	2.46%	623	1.47%
62	24,283	50.07%	22,002	45.36%	1,534	3.16%	681	1.40%
63	15,881	48.28%	15,896	48.33%	814	2.47%	300	0.91%
64	22,923	59.93%	13,933	36.43%	981	2.56%	414	1.08%
65	26,834	63.46%	14,231	33.65%	871	2.06%	349	0.83%
66	10,417	28.64%	24,250	66.68%	1,126	3.10%	574	1.58%
67	29,091	70.18%	11,244	27.12%	867	2.09%	252	0.61%
68	24,117	62.12%	12,779	32.92%	1,366	3.52%	562	1.45%
69	26,755	65.53%	12,320	30.17%	1,263	3.09%	493	1.21%
70	29,047	75.02%	8,443	21.80%	875	2.26%	356	0.92%
71	10,021	27.64%	24,547	67.71%	1,138	3.14%	548	1.51%
72	8,538	22.51%	27,821	73.34%	1,015	2.68%	562	1.48%
73	24,580	63.08%	12,691	32.57%	1,212	3.11%	481	1.23%
74	23,964	52.46%	19,380	42.42%	1,568	3.43%	771	1.69%
75	21,607	55.85%	15,555	40.20%	1,140	2.95%	388	1.00%
76	23,657	60.02%	14,395	36.52%	939	2.38%	425	1.08%
77	33,328	74.63%	9,728	21.78%	1,126	2.52%	473	1.06%
78	32,128	73.45%	10,258	23.45%	965	2.21%	389	0.89%
79	26,874	60.42%	16,221	36.47%	980	2.20%	400	0.90%
80	28,541	74.15%	8,737	22.70%	920	2.39%	291	0.76%
81	25,760	70.85%	9,377	25.79%	939	2.58%	280	0.77%
82	20,222	54.39%	15,494	41.67%	1,032	2.78%	433	1.16%
83	24,311	66.06%	11,035	29.99%	1,023	2.78%	432	1.17%
84	24,634	65.32%	11,930	31.63%	807	2.14%	344	0.91%
85	31,444	72.25%	10,658	24.49%	910	2.09%	510	1.17%
86	26,224	67.41%	11,248	28.91%	988	2.54%	443	1.14%
87	28,001	71.92%	9,517	24.44%	932	2.39%	484	1.24%
88	9,663	27.93%	22,803	65.90%	1,527	4.41%	607	1.75%
89	29,515	72.81%	9,661	23.83%	988	2.44%	371	0.92%
90	28,262	74.71%	8,363	22.11%	850	2.25%	352	0.93%
91	28,993	68.49%	11,819	27.92%	1,084	2.56%	436	1.03%
92	9,451	31.52%	19,122	63.77%	1,027	3.43%	384	1.28%
93	25,529	55.38%	17,851	38.73%	1,713	3.72%	1,001	2.17%
94	32,921	75.21%	9,508	21.72%	967	2.21%	379	0.87%
95	24,610	65.95%	11,029	29.56%	1,203	3.22%	473	1.27%
96	24,419	61.86%	13,347	33.81%	1,161	2.94%	545	1.38%

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Clinton (Dem), Johnson (Lib)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-PRS-16G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2016 Election Contest Report - US President

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib	W-I	% W-I
97	28,706	71.96%	9,865	24.73%	970	2.43%	348	0.87%
98	20,518	51.08%	17,463	43.47%	1,568	3.90%	621	1.55%
99	4,921	16.22%	24,287	80.06%	706	2.33%	423	1.39%
100	8,498	26.95%	21,439	67.99%	1,058	3.36%	538	1.71%
101	7,726	22.69%	25,149	73.87%	774	2.27%	397	1.17%
102	6,479	18.28%	27,045	76.32%	1,367	3.86%	544	1.54%
103	21,333	48.57%	20,156	45.89%	1,604	3.65%	830	1.89%
104	19,145	44.49%	21,275	49.44%	1,657	3.85%	953	2.21%
105	14,702	41.66%	18,863	53.45%	1,213	3.44%	510	1.45%
106	11,535	32.49%	22,278	62.76%	1,150	3.24%	536	1.51%
107	6,460	18.46%	27,360	78.16%	739	2.11%	444	1.27%
108	23,526	68.69%	9,491	27.71%	893	2.61%	339	0.99%
109	23,563	59.20%	14,589	36.65%	1,158	2.91%	494	1.24%
110	24,995	65.27%	12,329	32.19%	695	1.81%	278	0.73%
111	27,488	68.35%	11,602	28.85%	742	1.85%	383	0.95%
112	13,484	37.68%	20,861	58.29%	982	2.74%	459	1.28%
113	29,028	66.04%	13,341	30.35%	1,023	2.33%	560	1.27%
114	15,171	31.05%	30,891	63.22%	1,434	2.93%	1,364	2.79%
115	21,354	48.95%	19,894	45.61%	1,452	3.33%	920	2.11%
116	19,193	41.30%	24,662	53.07%	1,401	3.02%	1,211	2.61%
117	28,197	60.46%	16,347	35.05%	1,356	2.91%	738	1.58%
118	25,726	61.23%	14,406	34.29%	1,241	2.95%	644	1.53%
119	23,870	56.13%	16,402	38.57%	1,462	3.44%	793	1.86%
120	30,694	72.91%	9,872	23.45%	1,030	2.45%	501	1.19%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,359,749</b>	<b>49.90%</b>	<b>2,180,316</b>	<b>46.10%</b>	<b>129,929</b>	<b>2.75%</b>	<b>59,397</b>	<b>1.26%</b>

Candidates: Trump (Rep), Clinton (Dem), Johnson (Lib)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-PRS-16G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2016 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib
1	24,488	59.44%	15,586	37.83%	1,123	2.73%
2	21,400	46.23%	23,840	51.50%	1,047	2.26%
3	23,975	60.04%	14,961	37.47%	996	2.49%
4	19,859	58.75%	13,377	39.57%	567	1.68%
5	16,951	46.24%	18,868	51.47%	839	2.29%
6	13,737	56.25%	9,893	40.51%	793	3.25%
7	21,464	56.36%	15,703	41.24%	914	2.40%
8	16,230	42.07%	21,306	55.23%	1,041	2.70%
9	20,150	50.73%	18,620	46.88%	948	2.39%
10	19,427	54.26%	15,654	43.72%	722	2.02%
11	15,043	38.64%	22,291	57.26%	1,593	4.09%
12	20,258	52.55%	17,663	45.82%	629	1.63%
13	29,299	68.68%	12,140	28.46%	1,223	2.87%
14	11,525	61.93%	6,253	33.60%	832	4.47%
15	18,065	63.16%	9,371	32.77%	1,164	4.07%
16	23,214	66.94%	10,429	30.07%	1,034	2.98%
17	25,555	62.30%	14,392	35.09%	1,073	2.62%
18	19,633	46.38%	21,128	49.91%	1,571	3.71%
19	28,460	62.93%	15,288	33.80%	1,479	3.27%
20	24,528	53.99%	18,983	41.79%	1,916	4.22%
21	11,856	39.19%	17,413	57.56%	983	3.25%
22	22,328	55.10%	17,532	43.27%	661	1.63%
23	16,999	37.88%	27,264	60.75%	617	1.37%
24	19,168	48.08%	20,048	50.29%	649	1.63%
25	21,870	48.89%	22,222	49.67%	645	1.44%
26	21,576	61.79%	12,341	35.34%	1,003	2.87%
27	15,277	35.05%	27,754	63.67%	560	1.28%
28	22,053	67.36%	9,970	30.45%	717	2.19%
29	9,547	19.83%	37,359	77.60%	1,239	2.57%
30	5,167	12.59%	34,875	84.97%	1,000	2.44%
31	8,060	22.38%	26,932	74.79%	1,018	2.83%
32	15,711	41.22%	21,701	56.94%	703	1.84%
33	15,509	39.25%	22,892	57.93%	1,115	2.82%
34	19,281	41.69%	25,518	55.17%	1,453	3.14%
35	21,146	55.07%	16,200	42.19%	1,055	2.75%
36	18,027	50.56%	16,401	46.00%	1,224	3.43%
37	22,375	57.09%	15,562	39.71%	1,253	3.20%
38	5,969	15.43%	31,633	81.79%	1,075	2.78%
39	15,019	41.65%	20,116	55.78%	928	2.57%
40	26,152	53.35%	21,460	43.78%	1,407	2.87%
41	18,827	42.65%	23,693	53.67%	1,628	3.69%
42	6,845	29.04%	15,849	67.25%	873	3.70%
43	17,848	49.54%	17,162	47.64%	1,015	2.82%
44	13,274	38.48%	20,117	58.32%	1,104	3.20%
45	12,765	42.71%	15,995	53.52%	1,128	3.77%
46	19,454	57.61%	13,696	40.56%	620	1.84%
47	11,580	42.24%	15,239	55.59%	595	2.17%
48	12,997	42.19%	16,854	54.70%	958	3.11%

Candidates: Forest (Rep), Coleman (Dem), Cole (Lib)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-LG-16G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2016 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib
49	17,049	37.95%	26,230	58.38%	1,648	3.67%
50	19,148	44.27%	23,075	53.34%	1,034	2.39%
51	19,218	58.70%	12,676	38.72%	846	2.58%
52	20,297	54.96%	15,597	42.23%	1,037	2.81%
53	24,877	66.43%	11,676	31.18%	898	2.40%
54	20,283	47.78%	21,180	49.89%	988	2.33%
55	20,466	57.51%	14,366	40.37%	754	2.12%
56	8,362	17.49%	37,956	79.40%	1,487	3.11%
57	20,992	45.99%	23,368	51.19%	1,287	2.82%
58	10,855	27.77%	27,100	69.33%	1,131	2.89%
59	21,456	52.69%	18,253	44.83%	1,011	2.48%
60	14,378	39.64%	20,805	57.35%	1,093	3.01%
61	8,376	20.30%	31,537	76.43%	1,348	3.27%
62	25,402	53.77%	20,461	43.31%	1,379	2.92%
63	15,939	49.51%	15,326	47.60%	930	2.89%
64	23,453	62.54%	12,919	34.45%	1,131	3.02%
65	25,691	62.43%	14,470	35.16%	992	2.41%
66	11,519	32.24%	23,139	64.77%	1,067	2.99%
67	28,404	70.19%	11,091	27.41%	971	2.40%
68	25,761	68.17%	10,901	28.85%	1,128	2.98%
69	27,427	69.06%	11,105	27.96%	1,180	2.97%
70	28,456	75.24%	8,383	22.17%	980	2.59%
71	10,682	30.27%	23,437	66.41%	1,172	3.32%
72	10,019	27.12%	26,008	70.40%	914	2.47%
73	24,910	65.50%	11,950	31.42%	1,170	3.08%
74	25,766	57.67%	17,714	39.64%	1,202	2.69%
75	21,759	57.55%	14,961	39.57%	1,092	2.89%
76	23,329	60.76%	13,938	36.30%	1,131	2.95%
77	33,021	75.76%	9,480	21.75%	1,085	2.49%
78	31,935	74.56%	9,962	23.26%	935	2.18%
79	25,801	59.85%	16,271	37.74%	1,037	2.41%
80	27,918	74.40%	8,690	23.16%	914	2.44%
81	25,271	71.25%	9,224	26.01%	972	2.74%
82	20,516	56.57%	14,571	40.18%	1,179	3.25%
83	24,420	67.96%	10,396	28.93%	1,115	3.10%
84	24,335	66.21%	11,435	31.11%	982	2.67%
85	29,670	70.55%	11,206	26.65%	1,179	2.80%
86	25,656	67.66%	11,334	29.89%	930	2.45%
87	27,948	73.42%	9,193	24.15%	925	2.43%
88	12,228	36.58%	19,905	59.55%	1,293	3.87%
89	29,224	74.14%	9,096	23.08%	1,098	2.79%
90	27,047	73.74%	8,794	23.98%	838	2.28%
91	28,207	68.20%	11,948	28.89%	1,204	2.91%
92	10,241	35.20%	17,665	60.72%	1,186	4.08%
93	25,170	56.80%	17,315	39.08%	1,827	4.12%
94	31,986	75.15%	9,585	22.52%	991	2.33%
95	24,812	68.71%	10,031	27.78%	1,267	3.51%
96	24,809	64.52%	12,425	32.31%	1,217	3.17%

Candidates: Forest (Rep), Coleman (Dem), Cole (Lib)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-LG-16G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# 2016 Election Contest Report - NC Lieutenant Governor

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Rep	% Rep	Dem	% Dem	Lib	% Lib
97	28,590	73.46%	9,253	23.77%	1,077	2.77%
98	22,451	57.69%	15,145	38.92%	1,322	3.40%
99	5,366	18.17%	23,183	78.49%	987	3.34%
100	9,874	32.21%	19,590	63.90%	1,192	3.89%
101	8,161	24.58%	23,985	72.24%	1,058	3.19%
102	7,862	22.93%	24,889	72.61%	1,529	4.46%
103	24,320	56.67%	17,345	40.42%	1,248	2.91%
104	23,090	54.83%	17,792	42.25%	1,228	2.92%
105	16,519	48.19%	16,556	48.30%	1,203	3.51%
106	12,783	36.81%	20,794	59.88%	1,149	3.31%
107	6,991	20.43%	26,233	76.66%	997	2.91%
108	23,612	70.50%	8,928	26.66%	953	2.85%
109	24,523	62.99%	13,360	34.32%	1,049	2.69%
110	24,629	65.81%	12,045	32.18%	751	2.01%
111	26,736	68.18%	11,577	29.52%	900	2.30%
112	14,220	40.63%	19,653	56.15%	1,128	3.22%
113	28,049	65.69%	13,406	31.40%	1,243	2.91%
114	14,921	31.33%	30,582	64.21%	2,127	4.47%
115	21,141	49.81%	19,654	46.31%	1,647	3.88%
116	18,494	40.96%	24,757	54.83%	1,902	4.21%
117	28,593	62.75%	15,634	34.31%	1,343	2.95%
118	24,010	58.91%	15,207	37.31%	1,540	3.78%
119	22,955	55.48%	16,811	40.63%	1,611	3.89%
120	28,299	69.92%	10,904	26.94%	1,271	3.14%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,390,619</b>	<b>51.88%</b>	<b>2,084,975</b>	<b>45.25%</b>	<b>132,360</b>	<b>2.87%</b>

Candidates: Forest (Rep), Coleman (Dem), Cole (Lib)

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' file, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-LG-16G] - Generated 2/16/2022

**Election Contest Report - 2016/2020 Composite****District Plan: H980 Second Edition**

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
1	17,878	37.69%	29,062	61.26%
2	28,517	55.50%	22,337	43.47%
3	17,892	40.36%	25,947	58.53%
4	14,734	40.23%	21,618	59.02%
5	20,586	50.44%	19,851	48.64%
6	13,158	42.74%	17,200	55.87%
7	19,634	42.88%	25,635	55.99%
8	23,367	57.49%	16,819	41.38%
9	22,480	51.16%	21,009	47.81%
10	17,815	45.60%	20,882	53.45%
11	26,465	64.39%	13,979	34.01%
12	19,567	47.03%	21,728	52.23%
13	14,552	30.56%	32,511	68.28%
14	8,339	36.81%	13,901	61.36%
15	12,254	35.83%	21,391	62.54%
16	14,057	32.58%	28,542	66.16%
17	20,441	38.44%	32,188	60.53%
18	26,687	53.98%	22,040	44.58%
19	21,514	38.24%	34,079	60.57%
20	24,590	48.66%	25,174	49.81%
21	25,069	65.69%	12,662	33.18%
22	18,501	41.75%	25,480	57.50%
23	28,170	60.16%	18,360	39.21%
24	21,702	51.23%	20,332	48.00%
25	25,138	51.83%	23,010	47.44%
26	19,096	41.81%	26,017	56.96%
27	26,710	61.29%	16,595	38.08%
28	12,779	33.46%	25,039	65.56%
29	44,120	82.10%	9,037	16.82%
30	38,299	87.48%	5,043	11.52%
31	34,895	77.22%	9,803	21.69%
32	23,062	56.27%	17,584	42.91%
33	28,124	61.60%	16,949	37.12%
34	31,332	61.71%	18,763	36.95%
35	24,288	50.13%	23,552	48.61%
36	26,283	54.86%	20,977	43.79%
37	22,607	46.27%	25,574	52.34%
38	35,699	83.54%	6,556	15.34%
39	26,555	60.33%	16,947	38.50%
40	28,471	51.60%	26,022	47.16%
41	30,418	61.72%	18,149	36.83%
42	19,060	69.75%	7,853	28.74%
43	20,063	48.82%	20,575	50.06%
44	22,998	61.32%	14,002	37.33%
45	20,179	56.57%	15,021	42.11%
46	14,572	37.87%	23,624	61.40%
47	15,359	48.95%	15,758	50.22%
48	18,838	54.01%	15,623	44.79%

Values represent average vote count from 12 contests: 2016 President and Lieutenant Governor; 2020 President, US Senate, Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, Auditor, Commissioner of Agriculture, Commissioner of Labor, Secretary of State, and Treasurer.

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' files, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-CMP-1620G] - Generated 2/16/2022



# Election Contest Report - 2016/2020 Composite

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
49	33,436	65.46%	16,925	33.14%
50	27,650	56.29%	20,989	42.73%
51	15,019	39.84%	22,256	59.04%
52	17,767	42.71%	23,355	56.15%
53	15,974	34.90%	29,298	64.00%
54	26,361	52.69%	23,187	46.35%
55	16,086	40.75%	23,007	58.28%
56	38,668	84.31%	6,674	14.55%
57	28,761	56.37%	21,665	42.47%
58	30,038	71.70%	11,381	27.17%
59	23,969	49.80%	23,676	49.19%
60	24,698	61.32%	15,102	37.50%
61	32,333	79.01%	8,083	19.75%
62	26,152	49.44%	26,138	49.41%
63	19,782	51.54%	18,206	47.44%
64	17,616	39.80%	26,192	59.18%
65	16,075	34.86%	29,587	64.16%
66	27,994	69.17%	11,950	29.53%
67	12,635	28.07%	31,930	70.94%
68	18,216	37.84%	29,390	61.05%
69	16,317	34.43%	30,534	64.44%
70	10,228	24.07%	31,826	74.91%
71	26,652	69.74%	11,052	28.92%
72	28,721	73.84%	9,729	25.01%
73	18,398	39.00%	28,195	59.77%
74	24,083	46.77%	26,783	52.01%
75	19,046	43.75%	23,969	55.06%
76	16,279	38.23%	25,802	60.59%
77	11,929	24.07%	37,090	74.85%
78	12,448	25.64%	35,650	73.44%
79	18,599	38.47%	29,274	60.54%
80	10,871	25.17%	31,876	73.82%
81	11,949	28.24%	29,913	70.71%
82	19,882	46.61%	22,213	52.08%
83	15,170	34.89%	27,794	63.93%
84	14,137	33.33%	27,806	65.55%
85	12,889	27.05%	34,285	71.95%
86	13,295	30.90%	29,260	68.01%
87	11,629	26.52%	31,743	72.39%
88	26,600	65.45%	13,466	33.13%
89	11,596	25.32%	33,693	73.57%
90	9,515	24.94%	28,266	74.08%
91	14,154	30.36%	31,935	68.50%
92	25,809	67.22%	12,072	31.44%
93	20,596	42.02%	27,687	56.49%
94	11,071	23.41%	35,745	75.59%
95	15,672	34.01%	29,821	64.72%
96	15,737	36.27%	27,118	62.49%

Values represent average vote count from 12 contests: 2016 President and Lieutenant Governor; 2020 President, US Senate, Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, Auditor, Commissioner of Agriculture, Commissioner of Labor, Secretary of State, and Treasurer.

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' files, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-CMP-1620G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Election Contest Report - 2016/2020 Composite

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Dem	% Dem	Rep	% Rep
97	12,819	26.95%	34,258	72.01%
98	22,468	47.48%	24,263	51.27%
99	26,662	82.64%	5,179	16.05%
100	24,547	69.19%	10,420	29.37%
101	29,574	75.55%	9,071	23.17%
102	32,762	76.66%	9,349	21.87%
103	25,289	49.96%	24,722	48.84%
104	24,774	51.92%	22,336	46.81%
105	23,161	57.55%	16,571	41.17%
106	29,479	68.01%	13,333	30.76%
107	32,648	81.25%	7,063	17.58%
108	13,198	32.76%	26,632	66.10%
109	18,041	40.19%	26,340	58.67%
110	13,790	32.69%	28,019	66.42%
111	13,568	30.61%	30,354	68.48%
112	25,755	61.34%	15,700	37.39%
113	16,359	33.52%	31,941	65.45%
114	36,021	67.75%	16,376	30.80%
115	25,461	51.27%	23,520	47.36%
116	30,130	58.07%	21,045	40.56%
117	20,809	39.58%	31,145	59.24%
118	17,951	37.68%	29,085	61.05%
119	20,209	43.00%	26,111	55.56%
120	12,429	26.60%	33,776	72.29%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>2,567,320</b>	<b>48.54%</b>	<b>2,660,997</b>	<b>50.31%</b>

Values represent average vote count from 12 contests: 2016 President and Lieutenant Governor; 2020 President, US Senate, Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, Auditor, Commissioner of Agriculture, Commissioner of Labor, Secretary of State, and Treasurer.

Data Source: North Carolina State Board of Elections, 'statewide\_precinct\_sort' files, administrative precincts excluded.

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

[EL20-CMP-1620G] - Generated 2/16/2022

# County - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Alamance	63	171,415	83,540	83,540	48.74 %	100.00 %
	64	171,415	87,875	87,875	51.26 %	100.00 %
Alexander	94	36,444	90,835	36,444	100.00 %	40.12 %
Alleghany	93	10,888	87,194	10,888	100.00 %	12.49 %
Anson	55	22,055	84,549	22,055	100.00 %	26.09 %
Ashe	93	26,577	87,194	26,577	100.00 %	30.48 %
Avery	85	17,806	89,525	17,806	100.00 %	19.89 %
Beaufort	79	44,652	83,163	44,652	100.00 %	53.69 %
Bertie	23	17,934	88,865	17,934	100.00 %	20.18 %
Bladen	22	29,606	88,642	29,606	100.00 %	33.40 %
Brunswick	17	136,693	89,763	89,763	65.67 %	100.00 %
	19	136,693	91,041	46,930	34.33 %	51.55 %
Buncombe	114	269,452	89,016	89,016	33.04 %	100.00 %
	115	269,452	89,565	89,565	33.24 %	100.00 %
	116	269,452	90,871	90,871	33.72 %	100.00 %
Burke	86	87,570	87,570	87,570	100.00 %	100.00 %
Cabarrus	73	225,804	89,909	89,909	39.82 %	100.00 %
	82	225,804	91,069	91,069	40.33 %	100.00 %
	83	225,804	91,184	44,826	19.85 %	49.16 %
Caldwell	87	80,652	85,009	80,652	100.00 %	94.87 %
Camden	5	10,355	82,953	10,355	100.00 %	12.48 %
Carteret	13	67,686	83,307	67,686	100.00 %	81.25 %
Caswell	50	22,736	85,776	22,736	100.00 %	26.51 %
Catawba	89	160,610	85,577	71,023	44.22 %	82.99 %
	96	160,610	89,587	89,587	55.78 %	100.00 %
Chatham	54	76,285	83,475	76,285	100.00 %	91.39 %
Cherokee	120	28,774	84,907	28,774	100.00 %	33.89 %
Chowan	1	13,708	84,330	13,708	100.00 %	16.26 %
Clay	120	11,089	84,907	11,089	100.00 %	13.06 %
Cleveland	110	99,519	89,588	33,564	33.73 %	37.46 %
	111	99,519	90,809	65,955	66.27 %	72.63 %
Columbus	46	50,623	84,307	50,623	100.00 %	60.05 %
Craven	3	100,720	85,099	85,099	84.49 %	100.00 %
	13	100,720	83,307	15,621	15.51 %	18.75 %
Cumberland	42	334,728	84,462	84,462	25.23 %	100.00 %
	43	334,728	83,198	83,198	24.86 %	100.00 %
	44	334,728	83,751	83,751	25.02 %	100.00 %
	45	334,728	83,317	83,317	24.89 %	100.00 %
Currituck	1	28,100	84,330	28,100	100.00 %	33.32 %
Dare	1	36,915	84,330	15,269	41.36 %	18.11 %
	79	36,915	83,163	21,646	58.64 %	26.03 %

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Data Source: 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File - North Carolina

[G20-CntyDist] - Generated 2/16/2022

**County - District Report****District Plan: H980 Second Edition**

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Davidson	80	168,930	84,864	84,864	50.24 %	100.00 %
	81	168,930	84,066	84,066	49.76 %	100.00 %
Davie	77	42,712	90,628	42,712	100.00 %	47.13 %
Duplin	4	48,715	83,138	48,715	100.00 %	58.60 %
Durham	2	324,833	91,096	51,999	16.01 %	57.08 %
	29	324,833	90,900	90,900	27.98 %	100.00 %
	30	324,833	90,935	90,935	27.99 %	100.00 %
	31	324,833	90,999	90,999	28.01 %	100.00 %
Edgecombe	23	48,900	88,865	48,900	100.00 %	55.03 %
Forsyth	71	382,590	83,748	83,748	21.89 %	100.00 %
	72	382,590	83,262	83,262	21.76 %	100.00 %
	74	382,590	89,692	89,692	23.44 %	100.00 %
	75	382,590	84,659	84,659	22.13 %	100.00 %
	91	382,590	85,749	41,229	10.78 %	48.08 %
Franklin	7	68,573	86,439	68,573	100.00 %	79.33 %
Gaston	108	227,943	84,457	84,457	37.05 %	100.00 %
	109	227,943	87,462	87,462	38.37 %	100.00 %
	110	227,943	89,588	56,024	24.58 %	62.54 %
Gates	5	10,478	82,953	10,478	100.00 %	12.63 %
Graham	120	8,030	84,907	8,030	100.00 %	9.46 %
Granville	7	60,992	86,439	17,866	29.29 %	20.67 %
	32	60,992	85,704	43,126	70.71 %	50.32 %
Greene	12	20,451	84,745	20,451	100.00 %	24.13 %
Guilford	57	541,299	91,158	91,158	16.84 %	100.00 %
	58	541,299	89,713	89,713	16.57 %	100.00 %
	59	541,299	87,559	87,559	16.18 %	100.00 %
	60	541,299	90,919	90,919	16.80 %	100.00 %
	61	541,299	90,814	90,814	16.78 %	100.00 %
	62	541,299	91,136	91,136	16.84 %	100.00 %
Halifax	27	48,622	84,735	48,622	100.00 %	57.38 %
Harnett	6	133,568	84,016	84,016	62.90 %	100.00 %
	53	133,568	89,622	49,552	37.10 %	55.29 %
Haywood	118	62,089	83,282	62,089	100.00 %	74.55 %
Henderson	113	116,281	91,102	25,952	22.32 %	28.49 %
	117	116,281	90,329	90,329	77.68 %	100.00 %
Hertford	5	21,552	82,953	21,552	100.00 %	25.98 %
Hoke	48	52,082	86,256	52,082	100.00 %	60.38 %
Hyde	79	4,589	83,163	4,589	100.00 %	5.52 %
Iredell	84	186,693	85,875	85,875	46.00 %	100.00 %
	89	186,693	85,577	14,554	7.80 %	17.01 %
	95	186,693	86,264	86,264	46.21 %	100.00 %
Jackson	119	43,109	90,212	43,109	100.00 %	47.79 %

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Data Source: 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File - North Carolina

[G20-CntyDist] - Generated 2/16/2022

**County - District Report****District Plan: H980 Second Edition**

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Johnston	26	215,999	90,540	90,540	41.92 %	100.00 %
	28	215,999	85,389	85,389	39.53 %	100.00 %
	53	215,999	89,622	40,070	18.55 %	44.71 %
Jones	12	9,172	84,745	9,172	100.00 %	10.82 %
Lee	51	63,285	83,073	63,285	100.00 %	76.18 %
Lenoir	12	55,122	84,745	55,122	100.00 %	65.04 %
Lincoln	97	86,810	86,810	86,810	100.00 %	100.00 %
Macon	120	37,014	84,907	37,014	100.00 %	43.59 %
Madison	118	21,193	83,282	21,193	100.00 %	25.45 %
Martin	23	22,031	88,865	22,031	100.00 %	24.79 %
McDowell	85	44,578	89,525	38,346	86.02 %	42.83 %
	113	44,578	91,102	6,232	13.98 %	6.84 %
Mecklenburg	88	1,115,482	83,209	83,209	7.46 %	100.00 %
	92	1,115,482	85,088	85,088	7.63 %	100.00 %
	98	1,115,482	82,669	82,669	7.41 %	100.00 %
	99	1,115,482	86,649	86,649	7.77 %	100.00 %
	100	1,115,482	84,206	84,206	7.55 %	100.00 %
	101	1,115,482	87,644	87,644	7.86 %	100.00 %
	102	1,115,482	87,483	87,483	7.84 %	100.00 %
	103	1,115,482	88,259	88,259	7.91 %	100.00 %
	104	1,115,482	82,781	82,781	7.42 %	100.00 %
	105	1,115,482	84,101	84,101	7.54 %	100.00 %
	106	1,115,482	86,758	86,758	7.78 %	100.00 %
	107	1,115,482	86,497	86,497	7.75 %	100.00 %
	112	1,115,482	90,138	90,138	8.08 %	100.00 %
Mitchell	85	14,903	89,525	14,903	100.00 %	16.65 %
Montgomery	67	25,751	88,255	25,751	100.00 %	29.18 %
Moore	51	99,727	83,073	19,788	19.84 %	23.82 %
	52	99,727	84,383	41,437	41.55 %	49.11 %
	78	99,727	86,365	38,502	38.61 %	44.58 %
Nash	24	94,970	83,322	4,538	4.78 %	5.45 %
	25	94,970	90,432	90,432	95.22 %	100.00 %
New Hanover	18	225,702	90,862	90,862	40.26 %	100.00 %
	19	225,702	91,041	44,111	19.54 %	48.45 %
	20	225,702	90,729	90,729	40.20 %	100.00 %
Northampton	27	17,471	84,735	17,471	100.00 %	20.62 %
Onslow	14	204,576	90,506	90,506	44.24 %	100.00 %
	15	204,576	89,176	89,176	43.59 %	100.00 %
	16	204,576	85,097	24,894	12.17 %	29.25 %
Orange	50	148,696	85,776	63,040	42.40 %	73.49 %
	56	148,696	85,656	85,656	57.60 %	100.00 %
Pamlico	79	12,276	83,163	12,276	100.00 %	14.76 %

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Data Source: 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File - North Carolina

[G20-CntyDist] - Generated 2/16/2022

# County - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Pasquotank	5	40,568	82,953	40,568	100.00 %	48.90 %
Pender	16	60,203	85,097	60,203	100.00 %	70.75 %
Perquimans	1	13,005	84,330	13,005	100.00 %	15.42 %
Person	2	39,097	91,096	39,097	100.00 %	42.92 %
Pitt	8	170,243	85,089	85,089	49.98 %	100.00 %
	9	170,243	85,154	85,154	50.02 %	100.00 %
Polk	113	19,328	91,102	19,328	100.00 %	21.22 %
Randolph	54	144,171	83,475	7,190	4.99 %	8.61 %
	70	144,171	89,118	89,118	61.81 %	100.00 %
	78	144,171	86,365	47,863	33.20 %	55.42 %
Richmond	52	42,946	84,383	42,946	100.00 %	50.89 %
Robeson	46	116,530	84,307	33,684	28.91 %	39.95 %
	47	116,530	82,846	82,846	71.09 %	100.00 %
Rockingham	65	91,096	91,096	91,096	100.00 %	100.00 %
Rowan	76	146,875	89,815	89,815	61.15 %	100.00 %
	77	146,875	90,628	10,702	7.29 %	11.81 %
	83	146,875	91,184	46,358	31.56 %	50.84 %
Rutherford	111	64,444	90,809	24,854	38.57 %	27.37 %
	113	64,444	91,102	39,590	61.43 %	43.46 %
Sampson	22	59,036	88,642	59,036	100.00 %	66.60 %
Scotland	48	34,174	86,256	34,174	100.00 %	39.62 %
Stanly	67	62,504	88,255	62,504	100.00 %	70.82 %
Stokes	91	44,520	85,749	44,520	100.00 %	51.92 %
Surry	90	71,359	82,937	71,359	100.00 %	86.04 %
Swain	119	14,117	90,212	14,117	100.00 %	15.65 %
Transylvania	119	32,986	90,212	32,986	100.00 %	36.56 %
Tyrrell	1	3,245	84,330	3,245	100.00 %	3.85 %
Union	55	238,267	84,549	62,494	26.23 %	73.91 %
	68	238,267	86,978	86,978	36.50 %	100.00 %
	69	238,267	88,795	88,795	37.27 %	100.00 %
Vance	32	42,578	85,704	42,578	100.00 %	49.68 %

# County - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

County	District	Total County Population	Total District Population	County Pop in District	Percent of County Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in County
Wake	11	1,129,410	85,987	85,987	7.61 %	100.00 %
	21	1,129,410	88,313	88,313	7.82 %	100.00 %
	33	1,129,410	86,863	86,863	7.69 %	100.00 %
	34	1,129,410	82,860	82,860	7.34 %	100.00 %
	35	1,129,410	86,765	86,765	7.68 %	100.00 %
	36	1,129,410	89,336	89,336	7.91 %	100.00 %
	37	1,129,410	88,334	88,334	7.82 %	100.00 %
	38	1,129,410	90,560	90,560	8.02 %	100.00 %
	39	1,129,410	86,971	86,971	7.70 %	100.00 %
	40	1,129,410	84,115	84,115	7.45 %	100.00 %
	41	1,129,410	84,646	84,646	7.49 %	100.00 %
	49	1,129,410	90,415	90,415	8.01 %	100.00 %
	66	1,129,410	84,245	84,245	7.46 %	100.00 %
Warren	27	18,642	84,735	18,642	100.00 %	22.00 %
Washington	1	11,003	84,330	11,003	100.00 %	13.05 %
Watauga	87	54,086	85,009	4,357	8.06 %	5.13 %
	93	54,086	87,194	49,729	91.94 %	57.03 %
Wayne	4	117,333	83,138	34,423	29.34 %	41.40 %
	10	117,333	82,910	82,910	70.66 %	100.00 %
Wilkes	90	65,969	82,937	11,578	17.55 %	13.96 %
	94	65,969	90,835	54,391	82.45 %	59.88 %
Wilson	24	78,784	83,322	78,784	100.00 %	94.55 %
Yadkin	77	37,214	90,628	37,214	100.00 %	41.06 %
Yancey	85	18,470	89,525	18,470	100.00 %	20.63 %
<b>Assigned Geography Total:</b>				<b>10,439,388</b>		

Report display: all assigned counties

Total Counties Statewide: 100

Fully Assigned Counties: 100

Partially Assigned Counties: 0

Fully Unassigned Counties: 0

Total Districts Assigned: 120

Split Counties: 36

# District - County Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
1	Chowan	84,330	13,708	13,708	16.26 %	100.00 %
	Currituck	84,330	28,100	28,100	33.32 %	100.00 %
	Dare	84,330	36,915	15,269	18.11 %	41.36 %
	Perquimans	84,330	13,005	13,005	15.42 %	100.00 %
	Tyrrell	84,330	3,245	3,245	3.85 %	100.00 %
	Washington	84,330	11,003	11,003	13.05 %	100.00 %
2	Durham	91,096	324,833	51,999	57.08 %	16.01 %
	Person	91,096	39,097	39,097	42.92 %	100.00 %
3	Craven	85,099	100,720	85,099	100.00 %	84.49 %
4	Duplin	83,138	48,715	48,715	58.60 %	100.00 %
	Wayne	83,138	117,333	34,423	41.40 %	29.34 %
5	Camden	82,953	10,355	10,355	12.48 %	100.00 %
	Gates	82,953	10,478	10,478	12.63 %	100.00 %
	Hertford	82,953	21,552	21,552	25.98 %	100.00 %
	Pasquotank	82,953	40,568	40,568	48.90 %	100.00 %
6	Harnett	84,016	133,568	84,016	100.00 %	62.90 %
7	Franklin	86,439	68,573	68,573	79.33 %	100.00 %
	Granville	86,439	60,992	17,866	20.67 %	29.29 %
8	Pitt	85,089	170,243	85,089	100.00 %	49.98 %
9	Pitt	85,154	170,243	85,154	100.00 %	50.02 %
10	Wayne	82,910	117,333	82,910	100.00 %	70.66 %
11	Wake	85,987	1,129,410	85,987	100.00 %	7.61 %
12	Greene	84,745	20,451	20,451	24.13 %	100.00 %
	Jones	84,745	9,172	9,172	10.82 %	100.00 %
	Lenoir	84,745	55,122	55,122	65.04 %	100.00 %
13	Carteret	83,307	67,686	67,686	81.25 %	100.00 %
	Craven	83,307	100,720	15,621	18.75 %	15.51 %
14	Onslow	90,506	204,576	90,506	100.00 %	44.24 %
15	Onslow	89,176	204,576	89,176	100.00 %	43.59 %
16	Onslow	85,097	204,576	24,894	29.25 %	12.17 %
	Pender	85,097	60,203	60,203	70.75 %	100.00 %
17	Brunswick	89,763	136,693	89,763	100.00 %	65.67 %
18	New Hanover	90,862	225,702	90,862	100.00 %	40.26 %
19	Brunswick	91,041	136,693	46,930	51.55 %	34.33 %
	New Hanover	91,041	225,702	44,111	48.45 %	19.54 %
20	New Hanover	90,729	225,702	90,729	100.00 %	40.20 %
21	Wake	88,313	1,129,410	88,313	100.00 %	7.82 %
22	Bladen	88,642	29,606	29,606	33.40 %	100.00 %
	Sampson	88,642	59,036	59,036	66.60 %	100.00 %
23	Bertie	88,865	17,934	17,934	20.18 %	100.00 %
	Edgecombe	88,865	48,900	48,900	55.03 %	100.00 %
	Martin	88,865	22,031	22,031	24.79 %	100.00 %



# District - County Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
24	Nash	83,322	94,970	4,538	5.45 %	4.78 %
	Wilson	83,322	78,784	78,784	94.55 %	100.00 %
25	Nash	90,432	94,970	90,432	100.00 %	95.22 %
26	Johnston	90,540	215,999	90,540	100.00 %	41.92 %
27	Halifax	84,735	48,622	48,622	57.38 %	100.00 %
	Northampton	84,735	17,471	17,471	20.62 %	100.00 %
	Warren	84,735	18,642	18,642	22.00 %	100.00 %
28	Johnston	85,389	215,999	85,389	100.00 %	39.53 %
29	Durham	90,900	324,833	90,900	100.00 %	27.98 %
30	Durham	90,935	324,833	90,935	100.00 %	27.99 %
31	Durham	90,999	324,833	90,999	100.00 %	28.01 %
32	Granville	85,704	60,992	43,126	50.32 %	70.71 %
	Vance	85,704	42,578	42,578	49.68 %	100.00 %
33	Wake	86,863	1,129,410	86,863	100.00 %	7.69 %
34	Wake	82,860	1,129,410	82,860	100.00 %	7.34 %
35	Wake	86,765	1,129,410	86,765	100.00 %	7.68 %
36	Wake	89,336	1,129,410	89,336	100.00 %	7.91 %
37	Wake	88,334	1,129,410	88,334	100.00 %	7.82 %
38	Wake	90,560	1,129,410	90,560	100.00 %	8.02 %
39	Wake	86,971	1,129,410	86,971	100.00 %	7.70 %
40	Wake	84,115	1,129,410	84,115	100.00 %	7.45 %
41	Wake	84,646	1,129,410	84,646	100.00 %	7.49 %
42	Cumberland	84,462	334,728	84,462	100.00 %	25.23 %
43	Cumberland	83,198	334,728	83,198	100.00 %	24.86 %
44	Cumberland	83,751	334,728	83,751	100.00 %	25.02 %
45	Cumberland	83,317	334,728	83,317	100.00 %	24.89 %
46	Columbus	84,307	50,623	50,623	60.05 %	100.00 %
	Robeson	84,307	116,530	33,684	39.95 %	28.91 %
47	Robeson	82,846	116,530	82,846	100.00 %	71.09 %
48	Hoke	86,256	52,082	52,082	60.38 %	100.00 %
	Scotland	86,256	34,174	34,174	39.62 %	100.00 %
49	Wake	90,415	1,129,410	90,415	100.00 %	8.01 %
50	Caswell	85,776	22,736	22,736	26.51 %	100.00 %
	Orange	85,776	148,696	63,040	73.49 %	42.40 %
51	Lee	83,073	63,285	63,285	76.18 %	100.00 %
	Moore	83,073	99,727	19,788	23.82 %	19.84 %
52	Moore	84,383	99,727	41,437	49.11 %	41.55 %
	Richmond	84,383	42,946	42,946	50.89 %	100.00 %
53	Harnett	89,622	133,568	49,552	55.29 %	37.10 %
	Johnston	89,622	215,999	40,070	44.71 %	18.55 %
54	Chatham	83,475	76,285	76,285	91.39 %	100.00 %
	Randolph	83,475	144,171	7,190	8.61 %	4.99 %

# District - County Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
55	Anson	84,549	22,055	22,055	26.09 %	100.00 %
	Union	84,549	238,267	62,494	73.91 %	26.23 %
56	Orange	85,656	148,696	85,656	100.00 %	57.60 %
57	Guilford	91,158	541,299	91,158	100.00 %	16.84 %
58	Guilford	89,713	541,299	89,713	100.00 %	16.57 %
59	Guilford	87,559	541,299	87,559	100.00 %	16.18 %
60	Guilford	90,919	541,299	90,919	100.00 %	16.80 %
61	Guilford	90,814	541,299	90,814	100.00 %	16.78 %
62	Guilford	91,136	541,299	91,136	100.00 %	16.84 %
63	Alamance	83,540	171,415	83,540	100.00 %	48.74 %
64	Alamance	87,875	171,415	87,875	100.00 %	51.26 %
65	Rockingham	91,096	91,096	91,096	100.00 %	100.00 %
66	Wake	84,245	1,129,410	84,245	100.00 %	7.46 %
67	Montgomery	88,255	25,751	25,751	29.18 %	100.00 %
	Stanly	88,255	62,504	62,504	70.82 %	100.00 %
68	Union	86,978	238,267	86,978	100.00 %	36.50 %
69	Union	88,795	238,267	88,795	100.00 %	37.27 %
70	Randolph	89,118	144,171	89,118	100.00 %	61.81 %
71	Forsyth	83,748	382,590	83,748	100.00 %	21.89 %
72	Forsyth	83,262	382,590	83,262	100.00 %	21.76 %
73	Cabarrus	89,909	225,804	89,909	100.00 %	39.82 %
74	Forsyth	89,692	382,590	89,692	100.00 %	23.44 %
75	Forsyth	84,659	382,590	84,659	100.00 %	22.13 %
76	Rowan	89,815	146,875	89,815	100.00 %	61.15 %
77	Davie	90,628	42,712	42,712	47.13 %	100.00 %
	Rowan	90,628	146,875	10,702	11.81 %	7.29 %
	Yadkin	90,628	37,214	37,214	41.06 %	100.00 %
78	Moore	86,365	99,727	38,502	44.58 %	38.61 %
	Randolph	86,365	144,171	47,863	55.42 %	33.20 %
79	Beaufort	83,163	44,652	44,652	53.69 %	100.00 %
	Dare	83,163	36,915	21,646	26.03 %	58.64 %
	Hyde	83,163	4,589	4,589	5.52 %	100.00 %
	Pamlico	83,163	12,276	12,276	14.76 %	100.00 %
80	Davidson	84,864	168,930	84,864	100.00 %	50.24 %
81	Davidson	84,066	168,930	84,066	100.00 %	49.76 %
82	Cabarrus	91,069	225,804	91,069	100.00 %	40.33 %
83	Cabarrus	91,184	225,804	44,826	49.16 %	19.85 %
	Rowan	91,184	146,875	46,358	50.84 %	31.56 %
84	Iredell	85,875	186,693	85,875	100.00 %	46.00 %

# District - County Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
85	Avery	89,525	17,806	17,806	19.89 %	100.00 %
	McDowell	89,525	44,578	38,346	42.83 %	86.02 %
	Mitchell	89,525	14,903	14,903	16.65 %	100.00 %
	Yancey	89,525	18,470	18,470	20.63 %	100.00 %
86	Burke	87,570	87,570	87,570	100.00 %	100.00 %
87	Caldwell	85,009	80,652	80,652	94.87 %	100.00 %
	Watauga	85,009	54,086	4,357	5.13 %	8.06 %
88	Mecklenburg	83,209	1,115,482	83,209	100.00 %	7.46 %
89	Catawba	85,577	160,610	71,023	82.99 %	44.22 %
	Iredell	85,577	186,693	14,554	17.01 %	7.80 %
90	Surry	82,937	71,359	71,359	86.04 %	100.00 %
	Wilkes	82,937	65,969	11,578	13.96 %	17.55 %
91	Forsyth	85,749	382,590	41,229	48.08 %	10.78 %
	Stokes	85,749	44,520	44,520	51.92 %	100.00 %
92	Mecklenburg	85,088	1,115,482	85,088	100.00 %	7.63 %
93	Alleghany	87,194	10,888	10,888	12.49 %	100.00 %
	Ashe	87,194	26,577	26,577	30.48 %	100.00 %
	Watauga	87,194	54,086	49,729	57.03 %	91.94 %
94	Alexander	90,835	36,444	36,444	40.12 %	100.00 %
	Wilkes	90,835	65,969	54,391	59.88 %	82.45 %
95	Iredell	86,264	186,693	86,264	100.00 %	46.21 %
96	Catawba	89,587	160,610	89,587	100.00 %	55.78 %
97	Lincoln	86,810	86,810	86,810	100.00 %	100.00 %
98	Mecklenburg	82,669	1,115,482	82,669	100.00 %	7.41 %
99	Mecklenburg	86,649	1,115,482	86,649	100.00 %	7.77 %
100	Mecklenburg	84,206	1,115,482	84,206	100.00 %	7.55 %
101	Mecklenburg	87,644	1,115,482	87,644	100.00 %	7.86 %
102	Mecklenburg	87,483	1,115,482	87,483	100.00 %	7.84 %
103	Mecklenburg	88,259	1,115,482	88,259	100.00 %	7.91 %
104	Mecklenburg	82,781	1,115,482	82,781	100.00 %	7.42 %
105	Mecklenburg	84,101	1,115,482	84,101	100.00 %	7.54 %
106	Mecklenburg	86,758	1,115,482	86,758	100.00 %	7.78 %
107	Mecklenburg	86,497	1,115,482	86,497	100.00 %	7.75 %
108	Gaston	84,457	227,943	84,457	100.00 %	37.05 %
109	Gaston	87,462	227,943	87,462	100.00 %	38.37 %
110	Cleveland	89,588	99,519	33,564	37.46 %	33.73 %
	Gaston	89,588	227,943	56,024	62.54 %	24.58 %
111	Cleveland	90,809	99,519	65,955	72.63 %	66.27 %
	Rutherford	90,809	64,444	24,854	27.37 %	38.57 %
112	Mecklenburg	90,138	1,115,482	90,138	100.00 %	8.08 %

# District - County Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	County	Total District Population	Total County Population	District Pop in County	Percent of District Pop in County	Percent of County Pop in District
113	Henderson	91,102	116,281	25,952	28.49 %	22.32 %
	McDowell	91,102	44,578	6,232	6.84 %	13.98 %
	Polk	91,102	19,328	19,328	21.22 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford	91,102	64,444	39,590	43.46 %	61.43 %
114	Buncombe	89,016	269,452	89,016	100.00 %	33.04 %
115	Buncombe	89,565	269,452	89,565	100.00 %	33.24 %
116	Buncombe	90,871	269,452	90,871	100.00 %	33.72 %
117	Henderson	90,329	116,281	90,329	100.00 %	77.68 %
118	Haywood	83,282	62,089	62,089	74.55 %	100.00 %
	Madison	83,282	21,193	21,193	25.45 %	100.00 %
119	Jackson	90,212	43,109	43,109	47.79 %	100.00 %
	Swain	90,212	14,117	14,117	15.65 %	100.00 %
	Transylvania	90,212	32,986	32,986	36.56 %	100.00 %
120	Cherokee	84,907	28,774	28,774	33.89 %	100.00 %
	Clay	84,907	11,089	11,089	13.06 %	100.00 %
	Graham	84,907	8,030	8,030	9.46 %	100.00 %
	Macon	84,907	37,014	37,014	43.59 %	100.00 %
<b>Total:</b>				<b>10,439,388</b>		

Total Districts Assigned: 120  
 Total Counties Statewide: 100  
 Fully Assigned Counties: 100  
 Partially Assigned Counties: 0  
 Fully Unassigned Counties: 0  
 Split Counties: 36

# Municipality - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Aberdeen	52	8,516	84,383	8,516	100.00 %	10.09 %
	78	8,516	86,365	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Ahoskie	5	4,891	82,953	4,891	100.00 %	5.90 %
Alamance	64	988	87,875	988	100.00 %	1.12 %
Albemarle	67	16,432	88,255	16,432	100.00 %	18.62 %
Alliance	79	733	83,163	733	100.00 %	0.88 %
Andrews	120	1,667	84,907	1,667	100.00 %	1.96 %
Angier	37	5,265	88,334	556	10.56 %	0.63 %
	53	5,265	89,622	4,709	89.44 %	5.25 %
Ansonville	55	440	84,549	440	100.00 %	0.52 %
Apex	21	58,780	88,313	6	0.01 %	0.01 %
	36	58,780	89,336	45,473	77.36 %	50.90 %
	41	58,780	84,646	13,301	22.63 %	15.71 %
Arapahoe	79	416	83,163	416	100.00 %	0.50 %
Archdale	60	11,907	90,919	380	3.19 %	0.42 %
	70	11,907	89,118	11,527	96.81 %	12.93 %
Archer Lodge	26	4,797	90,540	4,797	100.00 %	5.30 %
Asheboro	70	27,156	89,118	25,890	95.34 %	29.05 %
	78	27,156	86,365	1,266	4.66 %	1.47 %
Asheville	114	94,589	89,016	43,664	46.16 %	49.05 %
	115	94,589	89,565	24,831	26.25 %	27.72 %
	116	94,589	90,871	26,094	27.59 %	28.72 %
Askewville	23	184	88,865	184	100.00 %	0.21 %
Atkinson	16	296	85,097	296	100.00 %	0.35 %
Atlantic Beach	13	1,364	83,307	1,364	100.00 %	1.64 %
Aulander	23	763	88,865	763	100.00 %	0.86 %
Aurora	79	455	83,163	455	100.00 %	0.55 %
Autryville	22	167	88,642	167	100.00 %	0.19 %
Ayden	9	4,977	85,154	4,977	100.00 %	5.84 %
Badin	67	2,024	88,255	2,024	100.00 %	2.29 %
Bailey	25	568	90,432	568	100.00 %	0.63 %
Bakersville	85	450	89,525	450	100.00 %	0.50 %
Bald Head Island	19	268	91,041	268	100.00 %	0.29 %
Banner Elk	85	1,049	89,525	1,049	100.00 %	1.17 %
Bath	79	245	83,163	245	100.00 %	0.29 %
Bayboro	79	1,161	83,163	1,161	100.00 %	1.40 %
Bear Grass	23	89	88,865	89	100.00 %	0.10 %
Beaufort	13	4,464	83,307	4,464	100.00 %	5.36 %
Beech Mountain	85	675	89,525	62	9.19 %	0.07 %
	93	675	87,194	613	90.81 %	0.70 %
Belhaven	79	1,410	83,163	1,410	100.00 %	1.70 %

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

# Municipality - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Belmont	108	15,010	84,457	6,006	40.01 %	7.11 %
	109	15,010	87,462	9,004	59.99 %	10.29 %
Belville	17	2,406	89,763	2,406	100.00 %	2.68 %
Belwood	110	857	89,588	857	100.00 %	0.96 %
Benson	28	3,967	85,389	3,967	100.00 %	4.65 %
	53	3,967	89,622	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Bermuda Run	77	3,120	90,628	3,120	100.00 %	3.44 %
Bessemer City	110	5,428	89,588	5,428	100.00 %	6.06 %
Bethania	74	344	89,692	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	91	344	85,749	344	100.00 %	0.40 %
Bethel	8	1,373	85,089	1,373	100.00 %	1.61 %
Beulaville	4	1,116	83,138	1,116	100.00 %	1.34 %
Biltmore Forest	115	1,409	89,565	1,409	100.00 %	1.57 %
Biscoe	67	1,848	88,255	1,848	100.00 %	2.09 %
Black Creek	24	692	83,322	692	100.00 %	0.83 %
Black Mountain	114	8,426	89,016	8,426	100.00 %	9.47 %
Bladenboro	22	1,648	88,642	1,648	100.00 %	1.86 %
Blowing Rock	87	1,376	85,009	96	6.98 %	0.11 %
	93	1,376	87,194	1,280	93.02 %	1.47 %
Boardman	46	166	84,307	166	100.00 %	0.20 %
Bogue	13	695	83,307	695	100.00 %	0.83 %
Boiling Spring Lakes	19	5,943	91,041	5,943	100.00 %	6.53 %
Boiling Springs	111	4,615	90,809	4,615	100.00 %	5.08 %
Bolivia	19	149	91,041	149	100.00 %	0.16 %
Bolton	46	519	84,307	519	100.00 %	0.62 %
Boone	87	19,092	85,009	595	3.12 %	0.70 %
	93	19,092	87,194	18,497	96.88 %	21.21 %
Boonville	77	1,185	90,628	1,185	100.00 %	1.31 %
Bostic	111	355	90,809	355	100.00 %	0.39 %
Brevard	119	7,744	90,212	7,744	100.00 %	8.58 %
Bridgeton	3	349	85,099	349	100.00 %	0.41 %
Broadway	6	1,267	84,016	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	51	1,267	83,073	1,267	100.00 %	1.53 %
Brookford	96	442	89,587	442	100.00 %	0.49 %
Brunswick	46	973	84,307	973	100.00 %	1.15 %
Bryson City	119	1,558	90,212	1,558	100.00 %	1.73 %
Bunn	7	327	86,439	327	100.00 %	0.38 %
Burgaw	16	3,088	85,097	3,088	100.00 %	3.63 %
Burlington	59	57,303	87,559	1,822	3.18 %	2.08 %
	63	57,303	83,540	20,671	36.07 %	24.74 %
	64	57,303	87,875	34,810	60.75 %	39.61 %
Burnsville	85	1,614	89,525	1,614	100.00 %	1.80 %

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

# Municipality - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Butner	32	8,397	85,704	8,397	100.00 %	9.80 %
Cajah's Mountain	87	2,722	85,009	2,722	100.00 %	3.20 %
Calabash	17	2,011	89,763	2,011	100.00 %	2.24 %
Calypso	4	327	83,138	327	100.00 %	0.39 %
Cameron	51	244	83,073	244	100.00 %	0.29 %
Candor	67	813	88,255	813	100.00 %	0.92 %
	78	813	86,365	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Canton	118	4,422	83,282	4,422	100.00 %	5.31 %
Cape Carteret	13	2,224	83,307	2,224	100.00 %	2.67 %
Carolina Beach	19	6,564	91,041	6,564	100.00 %	7.21 %
Carolina Shores	17	4,588	89,763	4,588	100.00 %	5.11 %
Carrboro	50	21,295	85,776	2,848	13.37 %	3.32 %
	56	21,295	85,656	18,447	86.63 %	21.54 %
Carthage	51	2,775	83,073	2,747	98.99 %	3.31 %
	52	2,775	84,383	28	1.01 %	0.03 %
Cary	11	174,721	85,987	15,301	8.76 %	17.79 %
	21	174,721	88,313	57,857	33.11 %	65.51 %
	36	174,721	89,336	8,272	4.73 %	9.26 %
	37	174,721	88,334	2,012	1.15 %	2.28 %
	41	174,721	84,646	70,058	40.10 %	82.77 %
	49	174,721	90,415	17,512	10.02 %	19.37 %
	54	174,721	83,475	3,709	2.12 %	4.44 %
Casar	110	305	89,588	305	100.00 %	0.34 %
Castalia	25	264	90,432	264	100.00 %	0.29 %
Caswell Beach	19	395	91,041	395	100.00 %	0.43 %
Catawba	89	702	85,577	702	100.00 %	0.82 %
Cedar Point	13	1,764	83,307	1,764	100.00 %	2.12 %
Cedar Rock	87	301	85,009	301	100.00 %	0.35 %
Cerro Gordo	46	131	84,307	131	100.00 %	0.16 %
Chadbourn	46	1,574	84,307	1,574	100.00 %	1.87 %
Chapel Hill	29	61,960	90,900	2,906	4.69 %	3.20 %
	56	61,960	85,656	59,054	95.31 %	68.94 %

# Municipality - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Charlotte	88	874,579	83,209	83,209	9.51 %	100.00 %
	92	874,579	85,088	63,700	7.28 %	74.86 %
	99	874,579	86,649	82,516	9.43 %	95.23 %
	100	874,579	84,206	84,206	9.63 %	100.00 %
	101	874,579	87,644	76,712	8.77 %	87.53 %
	102	874,579	87,483	87,483	10.00 %	100.00 %
	103	874,579	88,259	57,696	6.60 %	65.37 %
	104	874,579	82,781	82,781	9.47 %	100.00 %
	105	874,579	84,101	69,435	7.94 %	82.56 %
	106	874,579	86,758	59,599	6.81 %	68.70 %
	107	874,579	86,497	75,124	8.59 %	86.85 %
	112	874,579	90,138	52,118	5.96 %	57.82 %
Cherryville	110	6,078	89,588	6,078	100.00 %	6.78 %
Chimney Rock Village	113	140	91,102	140	100.00 %	0.15 %
China Grove	83	4,434	91,184	4,434	100.00 %	4.86 %
Chocowinity	79	722	83,163	722	100.00 %	0.87 %
Claremont	89	1,692	85,577	1,692	100.00 %	1.98 %
Clarkton	22	614	88,642	614	100.00 %	0.69 %
Clayton	26	26,307	90,540	26,307	100.00 %	29.06 %
	33	26,307	86,863	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	39	26,307	86,971	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Clemmons	74	21,163	89,692	21,163	100.00 %	23.60 %
Cleveland	77	846	90,628	846	100.00 %	0.93 %
Clinton	22	8,383	88,642	8,383	100.00 %	9.46 %
Clyde	118	1,368	83,282	1,368	100.00 %	1.64 %
Coats	53	2,155	89,622	2,155	100.00 %	2.40 %
Cofield	5	267	82,953	267	100.00 %	0.32 %
Colerain	23	217	88,865	217	100.00 %	0.24 %
Columbia	1	610	84,330	610	100.00 %	0.72 %
Columbus	113	1,060	91,102	1,060	100.00 %	1.16 %
Como	5	67	82,953	67	100.00 %	0.08 %
Concord	73	105,240	89,909	27,131	25.78 %	30.18 %
	82	105,240	91,069	58,729	55.80 %	64.49 %
	83	105,240	91,184	19,380	18.42 %	21.25 %
Conetoe	23	198	88,865	198	100.00 %	0.22 %
Connelly Springs	86	1,529	87,570	1,529	100.00 %	1.75 %
Conover	89	8,421	85,577	424	5.04 %	0.50 %
	96	8,421	89,587	7,997	94.96 %	8.93 %
Conway	27	752	84,735	752	100.00 %	0.89 %
Cooleemee	77	940	90,628	940	100.00 %	1.04 %
Cornelius	98	31,412	82,669	31,412	100.00 %	38.00 %
Cove City	3	378	85,099	378	100.00 %	0.44 %

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 2/16/2022



# Municipality - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Cramerton	108	5,296	84,457	123	2.32 %	0.15 %
	109	5,296	87,462	5,173	97.68 %	5.91 %
Creedmoor	7	4,866	86,439	4,866	100.00 %	5.63 %
Creswell	1	207	84,330	207	100.00 %	0.25 %
Crossnore	85	143	89,525	143	100.00 %	0.16 %
Dallas	108	5,927	84,457	5,927	100.00 %	7.02 %
Danbury	91	189	85,749	189	100.00 %	0.22 %
Davidson	95	15,106	86,264	378	2.50 %	0.44 %
	98	15,106	82,669	14,728	97.50 %	17.82 %
Dellview	110	6	89,588	6	100.00 %	0.01 %
Denton	80	1,494	84,864	1,494	100.00 %	1.76 %
Dillsboro	119	213	90,212	213	100.00 %	0.24 %
Dobbins Heights	52	687	84,383	687	100.00 %	0.81 %
Dobson	90	1,462	82,937	1,462	100.00 %	1.76 %
Dortches	25	1,082	90,432	1,082	100.00 %	1.20 %
Dover	3	349	85,099	349	100.00 %	0.41 %
Drexel	86	1,760	87,570	1,760	100.00 %	2.01 %
Dublin	22	267	88,642	267	100.00 %	0.30 %
Duck	1	742	84,330	742	100.00 %	0.88 %
Dunn	53	8,446	89,622	8,446	100.00 %	9.42 %
Durham	2	283,506	91,096	32,804	11.57 %	36.01 %
	21	283,506	88,313	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	29	283,506	90,900	85,000	29.98 %	93.51 %
	30	283,506	90,935	89,923	31.72 %	98.89 %
	31	283,506	90,999	75,366	26.58 %	82.82 %
	40	283,506	84,115	269	0.09 %	0.32 %
	49	283,506	90,415	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	50	283,506	85,776	144	0.05 %	0.17 %
Earl	111	198	90,809	198	100.00 %	0.22 %
East Arcadia	22	418	88,642	418	100.00 %	0.47 %
East Bend	77	634	90,628	634	100.00 %	0.70 %
East Laurinburg	48	234	86,256	234	100.00 %	0.27 %
Eastover	43	3,656	83,198	3,656	100.00 %	4.39 %
East Spencer	76	1,567	89,815	1,567	100.00 %	1.74 %
Eden	65	15,421	91,096	15,421	100.00 %	16.93 %
Edenton	1	4,460	84,330	4,460	100.00 %	5.29 %
Elizabeth City	5	18,631	82,953	18,631	100.00 %	22.46 %
Elizabethtown	22	3,296	88,642	3,296	100.00 %	3.72 %
Elkin	90	4,122	82,937	4,122	100.00 %	4.97 %
Elk Park	85	542	89,525	542	100.00 %	0.61 %
Ellenboro	111	723	90,809	723	100.00 %	0.80 %
Ellerbe	52	864	84,383	864	100.00 %	1.02 %

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

# Municipality - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Elm City	24	1,218	83,322	1,218	100.00 %	1.46 %
Elon	64	11,336	87,875	11,336	100.00 %	12.90 %
Emerald Isle	13	3,847	83,307	3,847	100.00 %	4.62 %
Enfield	27	1,865	84,735	1,865	100.00 %	2.20 %
Erwin	6	4,542	84,016	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	53	4,542	89,622	4,542	100.00 %	5.07 %
Eureka	10	214	82,910	214	100.00 %	0.26 %
Everetts	23	150	88,865	150	100.00 %	0.17 %
Fair Bluff	46	709	84,307	709	100.00 %	0.84 %
Fairmont	47	2,191	82,846	2,191	100.00 %	2.64 %
Fairview	69	3,456	88,795	3,456	100.00 %	3.89 %
Faison	4	784	83,138	784	100.00 %	0.94 %
	22	784	88,642	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Faith	76	819	89,815	819	100.00 %	0.91 %
Falcon	22	324	88,642	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	43	324	83,198	324	100.00 %	0.39 %
Falkland	8	47	85,089	47	100.00 %	0.06 %
Fallston	110	627	89,588	627	100.00 %	0.70 %
Farmville	8	4,461	85,089	4,461	100.00 %	5.24 %
Fayetteville	42	208,501	84,462	67,330	32.29 %	79.72 %
	43	208,501	83,198	35,621	17.08 %	42.81 %
	44	208,501	83,751	78,340	37.57 %	93.54 %
	45	208,501	83,317	27,210	13.05 %	32.66 %
Flat Rock	113	3,486	91,102	3,486	100.00 %	3.83 %
	117	3,486	90,329	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Fletcher	117	7,987	90,329	7,987	100.00 %	8.84 %
Fontana Dam	120	13	84,907	13	100.00 %	0.02 %
Forest City	111	7,377	90,809	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	113	7,377	91,102	7,377	100.00 %	8.10 %
Forest Hills	119	303	90,212	303	100.00 %	0.34 %
Fountain	8	385	85,089	385	100.00 %	0.45 %
Four Oaks	28	2,158	85,389	2,158	100.00 %	2.53 %
Foxfire	52	1,288	84,383	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	78	1,288	86,365	1,288	100.00 %	1.49 %
Franklin	120	4,175	84,907	4,175	100.00 %	4.92 %
Franklinton	7	2,456	86,439	2,456	100.00 %	2.84 %
Franklinville	78	1,197	86,365	1,197	100.00 %	1.39 %
Fremont	10	1,196	82,910	1,196	100.00 %	1.44 %
Fuquay-Varina	33	34,152	86,863	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	37	34,152	88,334	34,152	100.00 %	38.66 %
	53	34,152	89,622	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Gamewell	87	3,702	85,009	3,702	100.00 %	4.35 %

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

# Municipality - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Garland	22	595	88,642	595	100.00 %	0.67 %
Garner	11	31,159	85,987	490	1.57 %	0.57 %
	33	31,159	86,863	30,658	98.39 %	35.29 %
	37	31,159	88,334	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	38	31,159	90,560	11	0.04 %	0.01 %
Garysburg	27	904	84,735	904	100.00 %	1.07 %
Gaston	27	1,008	84,735	1,008	100.00 %	1.19 %
Gastonia	108	80,411	84,457	9,831	12.23 %	11.64 %
	109	80,411	87,462	52,909	65.80 %	60.49 %
	110	80,411	89,588	17,671	21.98 %	19.72 %
Gatesville	5	267	82,953	267	100.00 %	0.32 %
Gibson	48	449	86,256	449	100.00 %	0.52 %
Gibsonville	59	8,920	87,559	4,642	52.04 %	5.30 %
	64	8,920	87,875	4,278	47.96 %	4.87 %
Glen Alpine	86	1,529	87,570	1,529	100.00 %	1.75 %
Godwin	43	128	83,198	128	100.00 %	0.15 %
Goldsboro	4	33,657	83,138	5	0.01 %	0.01 %
	10	33,657	82,910	33,652	99.99 %	40.59 %
Goldston	54	234	83,475	234	100.00 %	0.28 %
Graham	63	17,157	83,540	17,157	100.00 %	20.54 %
	64	17,157	87,875	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Grandfather Village	85	95	89,525	95	100.00 %	0.11 %
Granite Falls	87	4,965	85,009	4,965	100.00 %	5.84 %
Granite Quarry	76	2,984	89,815	2,984	100.00 %	3.32 %
Grantsboro	79	692	83,163	692	100.00 %	0.83 %
Greenevers	4	567	83,138	567	100.00 %	0.68 %
Green Level	63	3,152	83,540	3,152	100.00 %	3.77 %
Greensboro	57	299,035	91,158	72,113	24.12 %	79.11 %
	58	299,035	89,713	72,909	24.38 %	81.27 %
	59	299,035	87,559	18,796	6.29 %	21.47 %
	60	299,035	90,919	1,397	0.47 %	1.54 %
	61	299,035	90,814	90,814	30.37 %	100.00 %
	62	299,035	91,136	43,006	14.38 %	47.19 %
Greenville	8	87,521	85,089	42,222	48.24 %	49.62 %
	9	87,521	85,154	45,299	51.76 %	53.20 %
Grifton	9	2,448	85,154	2,301	94.00 %	2.70 %
	12	2,448	84,745	147	6.00 %	0.17 %
Grimesland	8	386	85,089	386	100.00 %	0.45 %
Grover	111	802	90,809	802	100.00 %	0.88 %
Halifax	27	170	84,735	170	100.00 %	0.20 %
Hamilton	23	306	88,865	306	100.00 %	0.34 %
Hamlet	52	6,025	84,383	6,025	100.00 %	7.14 %

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

# Municipality - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Harmony	84	543	85,875	543	100.00 %	0.63 %
Harrells	4	160	83,138	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	22	160	88,642	160	100.00 %	0.18 %
Harrellsville	5	85	82,953	85	100.00 %	0.10 %
Harrisburg	73	18,967	89,909	18,967	100.00 %	21.10 %
Hassell	23	49	88,865	49	100.00 %	0.06 %
Havelock	3	16,621	85,099	5,986	36.01 %	7.03 %
	13	16,621	83,307	10,635	63.99 %	12.77 %
Haw River	63	2,252	83,540	2,252	100.00 %	2.70 %
Hayesville	120	461	84,907	461	100.00 %	0.54 %
Hemby Bridge	69	1,614	88,795	1,614	100.00 %	1.82 %
Henderson	32	15,060	85,704	15,060	100.00 %	17.57 %
Hendersonville	113	15,137	91,102	731	4.83 %	0.80 %
	117	15,137	90,329	14,406	95.17 %	15.95 %
Hertford	1	1,934	84,330	1,934	100.00 %	2.29 %
Hickory	86	43,490	87,570	79	0.18 %	0.09 %
	87	43,490	85,009	32	0.07 %	0.04 %
	89	43,490	85,577	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	96	43,490	89,587	43,379	99.74 %	48.42 %
Highlands	119	1,072	90,212	12	1.12 %	0.01 %
	120	1,072	84,907	1,060	98.88 %	1.25 %
High Point	58	114,059	89,713	2,538	2.23 %	2.83 %
	60	114,059	90,919	77,884	68.28 %	85.66 %
	62	114,059	91,136	26,899	23.58 %	29.52 %
	70	114,059	89,118	8	0.01 %	0.01 %
	75	114,059	84,659	84	0.07 %	0.10 %
	80	114,059	84,864	6,646	5.83 %	7.83 %
High Shoals	110	595	89,588	595	100.00 %	0.66 %
Hildebran	86	1,679	87,570	1,679	100.00 %	1.92 %
Hillsborough	50	9,660	85,776	9,660	100.00 %	11.26 %
Hobgood	27	268	84,735	268	100.00 %	0.32 %
Hoffman	52	418	84,383	418	100.00 %	0.50 %
Holden Beach	17	921	89,763	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	19	921	91,041	921	100.00 %	1.01 %
Holly Ridge	16	4,171	85,097	4,171	100.00 %	4.90 %
Holly Springs	11	41,239	85,987	1,355	3.29 %	1.58 %
	36	41,239	89,336	25,760	62.47 %	28.83 %
	37	41,239	88,334	14,124	34.25 %	15.99 %
Hookerton	12	413	84,745	413	100.00 %	0.49 %
Hope Mills	45	17,808	83,317	17,808	100.00 %	21.37 %
Hot Springs	118	520	83,282	520	100.00 %	0.62 %
Hudson	87	3,780	85,009	3,780	100.00 %	4.45 %

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Municipality - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Huntersville	98	61,376	82,669	34,762	56.64 %	42.05 %
	106	61,376	86,758	20,721	33.76 %	23.88 %
	107	61,376	86,497	5,893	9.60 %	6.81 %
Indian Beach	13	223	83,307	223	100.00 %	0.27 %
Indian Trail	68	39,997	86,978	5,590	13.98 %	6.43 %
	69	39,997	88,795	34,407	86.02 %	38.75 %
Jackson	27	430	84,735	430	100.00 %	0.51 %
Jacksonville	14	72,723	90,506	47,353	65.11 %	52.32 %
	15	72,723	89,176	25,370	34.89 %	28.45 %
Jamestown	58	3,668	89,713	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	60	3,668	90,919	3,668	100.00 %	4.03 %
Jamesville	23	424	88,865	424	100.00 %	0.48 %
Jefferson	93	1,622	87,194	1,622	100.00 %	1.86 %
Jonesville	77	2,308	90,628	2,308	100.00 %	2.55 %
Kannapolis	82	53,114	91,069	29,011	54.62 %	31.86 %
	83	53,114	91,184	24,103	45.38 %	26.43 %
Kelford	23	203	88,865	203	100.00 %	0.23 %
Kenansville	4	770	83,138	770	100.00 %	0.93 %
Kenly	24	1,491	83,322	198	13.28 %	0.24 %
	28	1,491	85,389	1,293	86.72 %	1.51 %
Kernersville	62	26,449	91,136	502	1.90 %	0.55 %
	75	26,449	84,659	25,947	98.10 %	30.65 %
Kill Devil Hills	1	7,656	84,330	7,118	92.97 %	8.44 %
	79	7,656	83,163	538	7.03 %	0.65 %
King	91	7,197	85,749	7,197	100.00 %	8.39 %
Kings Mountain	110	11,142	89,588	1,110	9.96 %	1.24 %
	111	11,142	90,809	10,032	90.04 %	11.05 %
Kingstown	110	656	89,588	656	100.00 %	0.73 %
Kinston	12	19,900	84,745	19,900	100.00 %	23.48 %
Kittrell	32	132	85,704	132	100.00 %	0.15 %
Kitty Hawk	1	3,689	84,330	3,689	100.00 %	4.37 %
Knightdale	38	19,435	90,560	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	39	19,435	86,971	19,435	100.00 %	22.35 %
Kure Beach	19	2,191	91,041	2,191	100.00 %	2.41 %
La Grange	12	2,595	84,745	2,595	100.00 %	3.06 %
Lake Lure	113	1,365	91,102	1,365	100.00 %	1.50 %
Lake Park	69	3,269	88,795	3,269	100.00 %	3.68 %
Lake Santeetlah	120	38	84,907	38	100.00 %	0.04 %
Lake Waccamaw	46	1,296	84,307	1,296	100.00 %	1.54 %
Landis	83	3,690	91,184	3,690	100.00 %	4.05 %
Lansing	93	126	87,194	126	100.00 %	0.14 %
Lasker	27	64	84,735	64	100.00 %	0.08 %

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 2/16/2022

**Municipality - District Report****District Plan: H980 Second Edition**

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Lattimore	110	406	89,588	406	100.00 %	0.45 %
Laurel Park	117	2,250	90,329	2,250	100.00 %	2.49 %
Laurinburg	48	14,978	86,256	14,978	100.00 %	17.36 %
Lawndale	110	570	89,588	570	100.00 %	0.64 %
Leggett	23	37	88,865	37	100.00 %	0.04 %
Leland	17	22,908	89,763	22,908	100.00 %	25.52 %
Lenoir	87	18,352	85,009	18,352	100.00 %	21.59 %
Lewiston Woodville	23	426	88,865	426	100.00 %	0.48 %
Lewisville	74	13,381	89,692	13,381	100.00 %	14.92 %
Lexington	80	19,632	84,864	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	81	19,632	84,066	19,632	100.00 %	23.35 %
Liberty	54	2,655	83,475	2,655	100.00 %	3.18 %
Lilesville	55	395	84,549	395	100.00 %	0.47 %
Lillington	6	4,735	84,016	4,735	100.00 %	5.64 %
	53	4,735	89,622	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Lincolnton	97	11,091	86,810	11,091	100.00 %	12.78 %
Linden	43	136	83,198	136	100.00 %	0.16 %
Littleton	27	559	84,735	559	100.00 %	0.66 %
Locust	67	4,537	88,255	3,996	88.08 %	4.53 %
	73	4,537	89,909	541	11.92 %	0.60 %
Long View	86	5,088	87,570	735	14.45 %	0.84 %
	96	5,088	89,587	4,353	85.55 %	4.86 %
Louisburg	7	3,064	86,439	3,064	100.00 %	3.54 %
Love Valley	84	154	85,875	154	100.00 %	0.18 %
Lowell	108	3,654	84,457	3,654	100.00 %	4.33 %
	109	3,654	87,462	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Lucama	24	1,036	83,322	1,036	100.00 %	1.24 %
Lumber Bridge	46	82	84,307	82	100.00 %	0.10 %
Lumberton	46	19,025	84,307	454	2.39 %	0.54 %
	47	19,025	82,846	18,571	97.61 %	22.42 %
McAdenville	108	890	84,457	890	100.00 %	1.05 %
Macclesfield	23	413	88,865	413	100.00 %	0.46 %
McDonald	47	94	82,846	94	100.00 %	0.11 %
McFarlan	55	94	84,549	94	100.00 %	0.11 %
Macon	27	110	84,735	110	100.00 %	0.13 %
Madison	65	2,129	91,096	2,129	100.00 %	2.34 %
Maggie Valley	118	1,687	83,282	1,687	100.00 %	2.03 %
Magnolia	4	831	83,138	831	100.00 %	1.00 %
Maiden	89	3,736	85,577	3,736	100.00 %	4.37 %
	97	3,736	86,810	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Manteo	79	1,600	83,163	1,600	100.00 %	1.92 %
Marietta	47	111	82,846	111	100.00 %	0.13 %

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Municipality - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Marion	85	7,717	89,525	7,717	100.00 %	8.62 %
	113	7,717	91,102	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Marshall	118	777	83,282	777	100.00 %	0.93 %
Mars Hill	118	2,007	83,282	2,007	100.00 %	2.41 %
Marshville	55	2,522	84,549	2,522	100.00 %	2.98 %
Marvin	68	6,358	86,978	6,358	100.00 %	7.31 %
Matthews	103	29,435	88,259	29,435	100.00 %	33.35 %
Maxton	47	2,110	82,846	1,902	90.14 %	2.30 %
	48	2,110	86,256	208	9.86 %	0.24 %
Mayodan	65	2,418	91,096	2,418	100.00 %	2.65 %
Maysville	12	818	84,745	818	100.00 %	0.97 %
Mebane	50	17,797	85,776	3,171	17.82 %	3.70 %
	63	17,797	83,540	14,626	82.18 %	17.51 %
Mesic	79	144	83,163	144	100.00 %	0.17 %
Micro	28	458	85,389	458	100.00 %	0.54 %
Middleburg	32	101	85,704	101	100.00 %	0.12 %
Middlesex	25	912	90,432	912	100.00 %	1.01 %
Midland	73	4,684	89,909	4,684	100.00 %	5.21 %
	112	4,684	90,138	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Midway	80	4,742	84,864	3,469	73.15 %	4.09 %
	81	4,742	84,066	1,273	26.85 %	1.51 %
Mills River	117	7,078	90,329	7,078	100.00 %	7.84 %
Milton	50	155	85,776	155	100.00 %	0.18 %
Mineral Springs	55	3,159	84,549	193	6.11 %	0.23 %
	68	3,159	86,978	2,966	93.89 %	3.41 %
Minnesott Beach	79	530	83,163	530	100.00 %	0.64 %
Mint Hill	69	26,450	88,795	6	0.02 %	0.01 %
	112	26,450	90,138	26,444	99.98 %	29.34 %
Misenheimer	67	650	88,255	650	100.00 %	0.74 %
Mocksville	77	5,900	90,628	5,900	100.00 %	6.51 %
Momeyer	25	277	90,432	277	100.00 %	0.31 %
Monroe	55	34,562	84,549	20,688	59.86 %	24.47 %
	68	34,562	86,978	1,511	4.37 %	1.74 %
	69	34,562	88,795	12,363	35.77 %	13.92 %
Montreat	114	901	89,016	901	100.00 %	1.01 %
Mooresboro	111	293	90,809	293	100.00 %	0.32 %
Mooresville	95	50,193	86,264	50,193	100.00 %	58.19 %
Morehead City	13	9,556	83,307	9,556	100.00 %	11.47 %
Morganton	86	17,474	87,570	17,474	100.00 %	19.95 %
Morrisville	21	29,630	88,313	29,423	99.30 %	33.32 %
	31	29,630	90,999	207	0.70 %	0.23 %
	41	29,630	84,646	0	0.00 %	0.00 %

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Municipality - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Morven	55	329	84,549	329	100.00 %	0.39 %
Mount Airy	90	10,676	82,937	10,676	100.00 %	12.87 %
Mount Gilead	67	1,171	88,255	1,171	100.00 %	1.33 %
Mount Holly	108	17,703	84,457	17,703	100.00 %	20.96 %
Mount Olive	4	4,198	83,138	4,198	100.00 %	5.05 %
Mount Pleasant	73	1,671	89,909	1,671	100.00 %	1.86 %
Murfreesboro	5	2,619	82,953	2,619	100.00 %	3.16 %
Murphy	120	1,608	84,907	1,608	100.00 %	1.89 %
Nags Head	79	3,168	83,163	3,168	100.00 %	3.81 %
Nashville	25	5,632	90,432	5,632	100.00 %	6.23 %
Navassa	17	1,367	89,763	1,367	100.00 %	1.52 %
New Bern	3	31,291	85,099	31,291	100.00 %	36.77 %
Newland	85	715	89,525	715	100.00 %	0.80 %
New London	67	607	88,255	607	100.00 %	0.69 %
Newport	13	4,364	83,307	4,364	100.00 %	5.24 %
Newton	89	13,148	85,577	13,148	100.00 %	15.36 %
	96	13,148	89,587	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Newton Grove	22	585	88,642	585	100.00 %	0.66 %
Norlina	27	920	84,735	920	100.00 %	1.09 %
Norman	52	100	84,383	100	100.00 %	0.12 %
North Topsail Beach	16	1,005	85,097	1,005	100.00 %	1.18 %
Northwest	17	703	89,763	703	100.00 %	0.78 %
North Wilkesboro	94	4,382	90,835	4,382	100.00 %	4.82 %
Norwood	67	2,367	88,255	2,367	100.00 %	2.68 %
Oakboro	67	2,128	88,255	2,128	100.00 %	2.41 %
Oak City	23	266	88,865	266	100.00 %	0.30 %
Oak Island	19	8,396	91,041	8,396	100.00 %	9.22 %
Oak Ridge	57	7,474	91,158	29	0.39 %	0.03 %
	62	7,474	91,136	7,445	99.61 %	8.17 %
Ocean Isle Beach	17	867	89,763	867	100.00 %	0.97 %
Old Fort	85	811	89,525	811	100.00 %	0.91 %
Oriental	79	880	83,163	880	100.00 %	1.06 %
Orrum	46	59	84,307	59	100.00 %	0.07 %
Ossipee	64	536	87,875	536	100.00 %	0.61 %
Oxford	32	8,628	85,704	8,628	100.00 %	10.07 %
Pantego	79	164	83,163	164	100.00 %	0.20 %
Parkton	46	504	84,307	504	100.00 %	0.60 %
Parmele	23	243	88,865	243	100.00 %	0.27 %
Patterson Springs	111	571	90,809	571	100.00 %	0.63 %
Peachland	55	390	84,549	390	100.00 %	0.46 %
Peletier	13	769	83,307	769	100.00 %	0.92 %
Pembroke	47	2,823	82,846	2,823	100.00 %	3.41 %

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 2/16/2022



# Municipality - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Pikeville	10	712	82,910	712	100.00 %	0.86 %
Pilot Mountain	90	1,440	82,937	1,440	100.00 %	1.74 %
Pinebluff	52	1,473	84,383	1,473	100.00 %	1.75 %
Pinehurst	52	17,581	84,383	8	0.05 %	0.01 %
	78	17,581	86,365	17,573	99.95 %	20.35 %
Pine Knoll Shores	13	1,388	83,307	1,388	100.00 %	1.67 %
Pine Level	28	2,046	85,389	2,046	100.00 %	2.40 %
Pinetops	23	1,200	88,865	1,200	100.00 %	1.35 %
Pineville	104	10,602	82,781	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	105	10,602	84,101	10,602	100.00 %	12.61 %
Pink Hill	12	451	84,745	451	100.00 %	0.53 %
Pittsboro	54	4,537	83,475	4,537	100.00 %	5.44 %
Pleasant Garden	58	5,000	89,713	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	59	5,000	87,559	5,000	100.00 %	5.71 %
Plymouth	1	3,320	84,330	3,320	100.00 %	3.94 %
Polkton	55	2,250	84,549	2,250	100.00 %	2.66 %
Polkville	110	516	89,588	516	100.00 %	0.58 %
Pollocksville	12	268	84,745	268	100.00 %	0.32 %
Powellsville	23	189	88,865	189	100.00 %	0.21 %
Princeton	28	1,315	85,389	1,315	100.00 %	1.54 %
Princeville	23	1,254	88,865	1,254	100.00 %	1.41 %
Proctorville	46	121	84,307	121	100.00 %	0.14 %
Raeford	48	4,559	86,256	4,559	100.00 %	5.29 %
Raleigh	11	467,665	85,987	52,233	11.17 %	60.75 %
	31	467,665	90,999	1,559	0.33 %	1.71 %
	33	467,665	86,863	21,874	4.68 %	25.18 %
	34	467,665	82,860	82,655	17.67 %	99.75 %
	35	467,665	86,765	16,132	3.45 %	18.59 %
	38	467,665	90,560	87,892	18.79 %	97.05 %
	39	467,665	86,971	11,902	2.54 %	13.69 %
	40	467,665	84,115	41,316	8.83 %	49.12 %
	41	467,665	84,646	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	49	467,665	90,415	69,909	14.95 %	77.32 %
66	467,665	84,245	82,193	17.58 %	97.56 %	
Ramseur	78	1,774	86,365	1,774	100.00 %	2.05 %
Randleman	70	4,595	89,118	4,595	100.00 %	5.16 %
Ranlo	108	4,511	84,457	4,511	100.00 %	5.34 %
Raynham	47	60	82,846	60	100.00 %	0.07 %
Red Cross	67	762	88,255	762	100.00 %	0.86 %
Red Oak	25	3,342	90,432	3,342	100.00 %	3.70 %
Red Springs	47	3,087	82,846	3,087	100.00 %	3.73 %
	48	3,087	86,256	0	0.00 %	0.00 %

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

# Municipality - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Reidsville	65	14,583	91,096	14,583	100.00 %	16.01 %
Rennert	47	275	82,846	275	100.00 %	0.33 %
Rhodhiss	86	997	87,570	639	64.09 %	0.73 %
	87	997	85,009	358	35.91 %	0.42 %
Richfield	67	582	88,255	582	100.00 %	0.66 %
Richlands	15	2,287	89,176	2,287	100.00 %	2.56 %
Rich Square	27	894	84,735	894	100.00 %	1.06 %
River Bend	3	2,902	85,099	2,902	100.00 %	3.41 %
Roanoke Rapids	27	15,229	84,735	15,229	100.00 %	17.97 %
Robbins	78	1,168	86,365	1,168	100.00 %	1.35 %
Robbinsville	120	597	84,907	597	100.00 %	0.70 %
Robersonville	23	1,269	88,865	1,269	100.00 %	1.43 %
Rockingham	52	9,243	84,383	9,243	100.00 %	10.95 %
Rockwell	76	2,302	89,815	2,302	100.00 %	2.56 %
Rocky Mount	23	54,341	88,865	15,414	28.37 %	17.35 %
	24	54,341	83,322	241	0.44 %	0.29 %
	25	54,341	90,432	38,686	71.19 %	42.78 %
Rolesville	35	9,475	86,765	9,475	100.00 %	10.92 %
Ronda	90	438	82,937	438	100.00 %	0.53 %
Roper	1	485	84,330	485	100.00 %	0.58 %
Roseboro	22	1,163	88,642	1,163	100.00 %	1.31 %
Rose Hill	4	1,371	83,138	1,371	100.00 %	1.65 %
Rosman	119	701	90,212	701	100.00 %	0.78 %
Rowland	47	885	82,846	885	100.00 %	1.07 %
Roxboro	2	8,134	91,096	8,134	100.00 %	8.93 %
Roxobel	23	187	88,865	187	100.00 %	0.21 %
Rural Hall	91	3,351	85,749	3,351	100.00 %	3.91 %
Ruth	113	347	91,102	347	100.00 %	0.38 %
Rutherford College	86	1,226	87,570	1,226	100.00 %	1.40 %
	87	1,226	85,009	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Rutherfordton	113	3,640	91,102	3,640	100.00 %	4.00 %
St. Helena	16	417	85,097	417	100.00 %	0.49 %
St. James	19	6,529	91,041	6,529	100.00 %	7.17 %
St. Pauls	46	2,045	84,307	2,045	100.00 %	2.43 %
Salemburg	22	457	88,642	457	100.00 %	0.52 %
Salisbury	76	35,540	89,815	35,540	100.00 %	39.57 %
Saluda	113	631	91,102	631	100.00 %	0.69 %
Sandy Creek	17	248	89,763	248	100.00 %	0.28 %
Sandyfield	46	430	84,307	430	100.00 %	0.51 %
Sanford	51	30,261	83,073	30,261	100.00 %	36.43 %
Saratoga	24	353	83,322	353	100.00 %	0.42 %
Sawmills	87	5,020	85,009	5,020	100.00 %	5.91 %

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

# Municipality - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Scotland Neck	27	1,640	84,735	1,640	100.00 %	1.94 %
Seaboard	27	542	84,735	542	100.00 %	0.64 %
Seagrove	78	235	86,365	235	100.00 %	0.27 %
Sedalia	59	676	87,559	676	100.00 %	0.77 %
Selma	28	6,317	85,389	6,317	100.00 %	7.40 %
Seven Devils	85	313	89,525	38	12.14 %	0.04 %
	93	313	87,194	275	87.86 %	0.32 %
Seven Springs	4	55	83,138	55	100.00 %	0.07 %
Severn	27	191	84,735	191	100.00 %	0.23 %
Shallotte	17	4,185	89,763	4,185	100.00 %	4.66 %
Sharpsburg	23	1,697	88,865	215	12.67 %	0.24 %
	24	1,697	83,322	1,482	87.33 %	1.78 %
Shelby	110	21,918	89,588	4,432	20.22 %	4.95 %
	111	21,918	90,809	17,486	79.78 %	19.26 %
Siler City	54	7,702	83,475	7,702	100.00 %	9.23 %
Simpson	8	390	85,089	390	100.00 %	0.46 %
Sims	24	275	83,322	275	100.00 %	0.33 %
Smithfield	28	11,292	85,389	11,292	100.00 %	13.22 %
Snow Hill	12	1,481	84,745	1,481	100.00 %	1.75 %
Southern Pines	52	15,545	84,383	15,545	100.00 %	18.42 %
	78	15,545	86,365	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Southern Shores	1	3,090	84,330	3,090	100.00 %	3.66 %
Southport	19	3,971	91,041	3,971	100.00 %	4.36 %
Sparta	93	1,834	87,194	1,834	100.00 %	2.10 %
Speed	23	63	88,865	63	100.00 %	0.07 %
Spencer	76	3,308	89,815	3,308	100.00 %	3.68 %
Spencer Mountain	108	0	84,457	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Spindale	113	4,225	91,102	4,225	100.00 %	4.64 %
Spring Hope	25	1,309	90,432	1,309	100.00 %	1.45 %
Spring Lake	42	11,660	84,462	11,660	100.00 %	13.81 %
Spruce Pine	85	2,194	89,525	2,194	100.00 %	2.45 %
Staley	54	397	83,475	397	100.00 %	0.48 %
Stallings	68	16,112	86,978	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	69	16,112	88,795	15,728	97.62 %	17.71 %
	103	16,112	88,259	11	0.07 %	0.01 %
	112	16,112	90,138	373	2.32 %	0.41 %
Stanfield	67	1,585	88,255	1,585	100.00 %	1.80 %
Stanley	108	3,963	84,457	3,963	100.00 %	4.69 %
Stantonsburg	24	762	83,322	762	100.00 %	0.91 %
Star	67	806	88,255	806	100.00 %	0.91 %
Statesville	84	28,419	85,875	28,415	99.99 %	33.09 %
	89	28,419	85,577	4	0.01 %	0.00 %

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Municipality - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Stedman	43	1,277	83,198	1,277	100.00 %	1.53 %
Stem	32	960	85,704	960	100.00 %	1.12 %
Stokesdale	62	5,924	91,136	5,924	100.00 %	6.50 %
Stoneville	65	1,308	91,096	1,308	100.00 %	1.44 %
Stonewall	79	214	83,163	214	100.00 %	0.26 %
Stovall	32	324	85,704	324	100.00 %	0.38 %
Sugar Mountain	85	371	89,525	371	100.00 %	0.41 %
Summerfield	57	10,951	91,158	10,951	100.00 %	12.01 %
Sunset Beach	17	4,175	89,763	4,175	100.00 %	4.65 %
Surf City	16	3,867	85,097	3,867	100.00 %	4.54 %
Swansboro	14	3,744	90,506	3,744	100.00 %	4.14 %
Sweptonville	63	2,445	83,540	2,445	100.00 %	2.93 %
Sylva	119	2,578	90,212	2,578	100.00 %	2.86 %
Tabor City	46	3,781	84,307	3,781	100.00 %	4.48 %
Tarboro	23	10,721	88,865	10,721	100.00 %	12.06 %
Tar Heel	22	90	88,642	90	100.00 %	0.10 %
Taylorsville	94	2,320	90,835	2,320	100.00 %	2.55 %
Taylortown	52	634	84,383	4	0.63 %	0.00 %
	78	634	86,365	630	99.37 %	0.73 %
Teachey	4	448	83,138	448	100.00 %	0.54 %
Thomasville	70	27,183	89,118	521	1.92 %	0.58 %
	80	27,183	84,864	26,662	98.08 %	31.42 %
Tobaccoville	91	2,578	85,749	2,578	100.00 %	3.01 %
Topsail Beach	16	461	85,097	461	100.00 %	0.54 %
Trenton	12	238	84,745	238	100.00 %	0.28 %
Trent Woods	3	4,074	85,099	4,074	100.00 %	4.79 %
Trinity	70	7,006	89,118	7,006	100.00 %	7.86 %
Troutman	84	3,698	85,875	885	23.93 %	1.03 %
	89	3,698	85,577	2,813	76.07 %	3.29 %
Troy	67	2,850	88,255	2,850	100.00 %	3.23 %
Tryon	113	1,562	91,102	1,562	100.00 %	1.71 %
Turkey	22	213	88,642	213	100.00 %	0.24 %
Unionville	69	6,643	88,795	6,643	100.00 %	7.48 %
Valdese	86	4,689	87,570	4,689	100.00 %	5.35 %
Vanceboro	3	869	85,099	869	100.00 %	1.02 %
Vandemere	79	246	83,163	246	100.00 %	0.30 %
Varnamtown	19	525	91,041	525	100.00 %	0.58 %
Vass	51	952	83,073	952	100.00 %	1.15 %
Waco	110	310	89,588	310	100.00 %	0.35 %
Wade	43	638	83,198	638	100.00 %	0.77 %
Wadesboro	55	5,008	84,549	5,008	100.00 %	5.92 %
Wagram	48	615	86,256	615	100.00 %	0.71 %

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

[G20-MuniDist] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Municipality - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Wake Forest	7	47,601	86,439	1,504	3.16 %	1.74 %
	35	47,601	86,765	46,097	96.84 %	53.13 %
Walkertown	75	5,692	84,659	4,257	74.79 %	5.03 %
	91	5,692	85,749	1,435	25.21 %	1.67 %
Wallace	4	3,413	83,138	3,413	100.00 %	4.11 %
	16	3,413	85,097	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Wallburg	80	3,051	84,864	3,051	100.00 %	3.60 %
Walnut Cove	91	1,586	85,749	1,586	100.00 %	1.85 %
Walnut Creek	4	1,084	83,138	1,084	100.00 %	1.30 %
Walstonburg	12	193	84,745	193	100.00 %	0.23 %
Warrenton	27	851	84,735	851	100.00 %	1.00 %
Warsaw	4	2,733	83,138	2,733	100.00 %	3.29 %
Washington	79	9,875	83,163	9,875	100.00 %	11.87 %
Washington Park	79	392	83,163	392	100.00 %	0.47 %
Watha	16	181	85,097	181	100.00 %	0.21 %
Waxhaw	55	20,534	84,549	1,631	7.94 %	1.93 %
	68	20,534	86,978	18,903	92.06 %	21.73 %
Waynesville	118	10,140	83,282	10,140	100.00 %	12.18 %
Weaverville	116	4,567	90,871	4,567	100.00 %	5.03 %
Webster	119	372	90,212	372	100.00 %	0.41 %
Weddington	68	13,181	86,978	13,172	99.93 %	15.14 %
	69	13,181	88,795	4	0.03 %	0.00 %
	103	13,181	88,259	5	0.04 %	0.01 %
Weldon	27	1,444	84,735	1,444	100.00 %	1.70 %
Wendell	39	9,793	86,971	9,793	100.00 %	11.26 %
Wentworth	65	2,662	91,096	2,662	100.00 %	2.92 %
Wesley Chapel	68	8,681	86,978	8,449	97.33 %	9.71 %
	69	8,681	88,795	232	2.67 %	0.26 %
West Jefferson	93	1,279	87,194	1,279	100.00 %	1.47 %
Whispering Pines	52	4,987	84,383	4,987	100.00 %	5.91 %
Whitakers	23	627	88,865	290	46.25 %	0.33 %
	25	627	90,432	337	53.75 %	0.37 %
White Lake	22	843	88,642	843	100.00 %	0.95 %
Whiteville	46	4,766	84,307	4,766	100.00 %	5.65 %
Whitsett	59	584	87,559	584	100.00 %	0.67 %
Wilkesboro	94	3,687	90,835	3,687	100.00 %	4.06 %
Williamston	23	5,248	88,865	5,248	100.00 %	5.91 %
Wilmington	18	115,451	90,862	37,227	32.24 %	40.97 %
	19	115,451	91,041	8,207	7.11 %	9.01 %
	20	115,451	90,729	70,017	60.65 %	77.17 %
Wilson	24	47,851	83,322	47,851	100.00 %	57.43 %

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

**Municipality - District Report****District Plan: H980 Second Edition**

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Wilson's Mills	26	2,534	90,540	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	28	2,534	85,389	2,534	100.00 %	2.97 %
Windsor	23	3,582	88,865	3,582	100.00 %	4.03 %
Winfall	1	555	84,330	555	100.00 %	0.66 %
Wingate	55	4,055	84,549	4,055	100.00 %	4.80 %
Winston-Salem	71	249,545	83,748	81,468	32.65 %	97.28 %
	72	249,545	83,262	83,262	33.37 %	100.00 %
	74	249,545	89,692	40,157	16.09 %	44.77 %
	75	249,545	84,659	31,045	12.44 %	36.67 %
	91	249,545	85,749	13,613	5.46 %	15.88 %
Winterville	9	10,462	85,154	10,462	100.00 %	12.29 %
Winton	5	629	82,953	629	100.00 %	0.76 %
Woodfin	116	7,936	90,871	7,936	100.00 %	8.73 %
Woodland	27	557	84,735	557	100.00 %	0.66 %
Wrightsville Beach	18	2,473	90,862	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	20	2,473	90,729	2,473	100.00 %	2.73 %
Yadkinville	77	2,995	90,628	2,995	100.00 %	3.30 %
Yanceyville	50	1,937	85,776	1,937	100.00 %	2.26 %
Youngsville	7	2,016	86,439	2,016	100.00 %	2.33 %
Zebulon	26	6,903	90,540	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	39	6,903	86,971	6,903	100.00 %	7.94 %
<b>Assigned Geography Total:</b>				<b>6,017,605</b>		

Report display: all municipalities

Total Municipalities Statewide: 553

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 553

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 0

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 0

Total Districts Assigned: 120

Split Municipalities: 107

Splits Involving Population: 73

# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Aberdeen	52	8,516	84,383	8,516	100.00 %	10.09 %
	78	8,516	86,365	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Ahoskie	5	4,891	82,953	4,891	100.00 %	5.90 %
Alamance	64	988	87,875	988	100.00 %	1.12 %
Albemarle	67	16,432	88,255	16,432	100.00 %	18.62 %
Alliance	79	733	83,163	733	100.00 %	0.88 %
Andrews	120	1,667	84,907	1,667	100.00 %	1.96 %
Angier (Harnett)	53	4,709	89,622	4,709	100.00 %	5.25 %
Angier (Wake)	37	556	88,334	556	100.00 %	0.63 %
Ansonville	55	440	84,549	440	100.00 %	0.52 %
Apex	21	58,780	88,313	6	0.01 %	0.01 %
	36	58,780	89,336	45,473	77.36 %	50.90 %
	41	58,780	84,646	13,301	22.63 %	15.71 %
Arapahoe	79	416	83,163	416	100.00 %	0.50 %
Archdale (Guilford)	60	380	90,919	380	100.00 %	0.42 %
Archdale (Randolph)	70	11,527	89,118	11,527	100.00 %	12.93 %
Archer Lodge	26	4,797	90,540	4,797	100.00 %	5.30 %
Asheboro	70	27,156	89,118	25,890	95.34 %	29.05 %
	78	27,156	86,365	1,266	4.66 %	1.47 %
Asheville	114	94,589	89,016	43,664	46.16 %	49.05 %
	115	94,589	89,565	24,831	26.25 %	27.72 %
	116	94,589	90,871	26,094	27.59 %	28.72 %
Askewville	23	184	88,865	184	100.00 %	0.21 %
Atkinson	16	296	85,097	296	100.00 %	0.35 %
Atlantic Beach	13	1,364	83,307	1,364	100.00 %	1.64 %
Aulander	23	763	88,865	763	100.00 %	0.86 %
Aurora	79	455	83,163	455	100.00 %	0.55 %
Autryville	22	167	88,642	167	100.00 %	0.19 %
Ayden	9	4,977	85,154	4,977	100.00 %	5.84 %
Badin	67	2,024	88,255	2,024	100.00 %	2.29 %
Bailey	25	568	90,432	568	100.00 %	0.63 %
Bakersville	85	450	89,525	450	100.00 %	0.50 %
Bald Head Island	19	268	91,041	268	100.00 %	0.29 %
Banner Elk	85	1,049	89,525	1,049	100.00 %	1.17 %
Bath	79	245	83,163	245	100.00 %	0.29 %
Bayboro	79	1,161	83,163	1,161	100.00 %	1.40 %
Bear Grass	23	89	88,865	89	100.00 %	0.10 %
Beaufort	13	4,464	83,307	4,464	100.00 %	5.36 %
Beech Mountain (Avery)	85	62	89,525	62	100.00 %	0.07 %
Beech Mountain (Watauga)	93	613	87,194	613	100.00 %	0.70 %
Belhaven	79	1,410	83,163	1,410	100.00 %	1.70 %

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Belmont	108	15,010	84,457	6,006	40.01 %	7.11 %
	109	15,010	87,462	9,004	59.99 %	10.29 %
Belville	17	2,406	89,763	2,406	100.00 %	2.68 %
Belwood	110	857	89,588	857	100.00 %	0.96 %
Benson (Harnett)	53	0	89,622	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Benson (Johnston)	28	3,967	85,389	3,967	100.00 %	4.65 %
Bermuda Run	77	3,120	90,628	3,120	100.00 %	3.44 %
Bessemer City	110	5,428	89,588	5,428	100.00 %	6.06 %
Bethania	74	344	89,692	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	91	344	85,749	344	100.00 %	0.40 %
Bethel	8	1,373	85,089	1,373	100.00 %	1.61 %
Beulaville	4	1,116	83,138	1,116	100.00 %	1.34 %
Biltmore Forest	115	1,409	89,565	1,409	100.00 %	1.57 %
Biscoe	67	1,848	88,255	1,848	100.00 %	2.09 %
Black Creek	24	692	83,322	692	100.00 %	0.83 %
Black Mountain	114	8,426	89,016	8,426	100.00 %	9.47 %
Bladenboro	22	1,648	88,642	1,648	100.00 %	1.86 %
Blowing Rock (Caldwell)	87	91	85,009	91	100.00 %	0.11 %
Blowing Rock (Watauga)	87	1,285	85,009	5	0.39 %	0.01 %
	93	1,285	87,194	1,280	99.61 %	1.47 %
Boardman	46	166	84,307	166	100.00 %	0.20 %
Bogue	13	695	83,307	695	100.00 %	0.83 %
Boiling Spring Lakes	19	5,943	91,041	5,943	100.00 %	6.53 %
Boiling Springs	111	4,615	90,809	4,615	100.00 %	5.08 %
Bolivia	19	149	91,041	149	100.00 %	0.16 %
Bolton	46	519	84,307	519	100.00 %	0.62 %
Boone	87	19,092	85,009	595	3.12 %	0.70 %
	93	19,092	87,194	18,497	96.88 %	21.21 %
Boonville	77	1,185	90,628	1,185	100.00 %	1.31 %
Bostic	111	355	90,809	355	100.00 %	0.39 %
Brevard	119	7,744	90,212	7,744	100.00 %	8.58 %
Bridgeton	3	349	85,099	349	100.00 %	0.41 %
Broadway (Harnett)	6	0	84,016	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Broadway (Lee)	51	1,267	83,073	1,267	100.00 %	1.53 %
Brookford	96	442	89,587	442	100.00 %	0.49 %
Brunswick	46	973	84,307	973	100.00 %	1.15 %
Bryson City	119	1,558	90,212	1,558	100.00 %	1.73 %
Bunn	7	327	86,439	327	100.00 %	0.38 %
Burgaw	16	3,088	85,097	3,088	100.00 %	3.63 %
Burlington (Alamance)	63	55,481	83,540	20,671	37.26 %	24.74 %
	64	55,481	87,875	34,810	62.74 %	39.61 %

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.



# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Burlington (Guilford)	59	1,822	87,559	1,822	100.00 %	2.08 %
Burnsville	85	1,614	89,525	1,614	100.00 %	1.80 %
Butner	32	8,397	85,704	8,397	100.00 %	9.80 %
Cajah's Mountain	87	2,722	85,009	2,722	100.00 %	3.20 %
Calabash	17	2,011	89,763	2,011	100.00 %	2.24 %
Calypso	4	327	83,138	327	100.00 %	0.39 %
Cameron	51	244	83,073	244	100.00 %	0.29 %
Candor (Montgomery)	67	813	88,255	813	100.00 %	0.92 %
Candor (Moore)	78	0	86,365	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Canton	118	4,422	83,282	4,422	100.00 %	5.31 %
Cape Carteret	13	2,224	83,307	2,224	100.00 %	2.67 %
Carolina Beach	19	6,564	91,041	6,564	100.00 %	7.21 %
Carolina Shores	17	4,588	89,763	4,588	100.00 %	5.11 %
Carrboro	50	21,295	85,776	2,848	13.37 %	3.32 %
	56	21,295	85,656	18,447	86.63 %	21.54 %
Carthage	51	2,775	83,073	2,747	98.99 %	3.31 %
	52	2,775	84,383	28	1.01 %	0.03 %
Cary (Chatham)	54	3,709	83,475	3,709	100.00 %	4.44 %
Cary (Wake)	11	171,012	85,987	15,301	8.95 %	17.79 %
	21	171,012	88,313	57,857	33.83 %	65.51 %
	36	171,012	89,336	8,272	4.84 %	9.26 %
	37	171,012	88,334	2,012	1.18 %	2.28 %
	41	171,012	84,646	70,058	40.97 %	82.77 %
	49	171,012	90,415	17,512	10.24 %	19.37 %
Casar	110	305	89,588	305	100.00 %	0.34 %
Castalia	25	264	90,432	264	100.00 %	0.29 %
Caswell Beach	19	395	91,041	395	100.00 %	0.43 %
Catawba	89	702	85,577	702	100.00 %	0.82 %
Cedar Point	13	1,764	83,307	1,764	100.00 %	2.12 %
Cedar Rock	87	301	85,009	301	100.00 %	0.35 %
Cerro Gordo	46	131	84,307	131	100.00 %	0.16 %
Chadbourn	46	1,574	84,307	1,574	100.00 %	1.87 %
Chapel Hill (Durham)	29	2,906	90,900	2,906	100.00 %	3.20 %
Chapel Hill (Orange)	56	59,054	85,656	59,054	100.00 %	68.94 %

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Charlotte	88	874,579	83,209	83,209	9.51 %	100.00 %
	92	874,579	85,088	63,700	7.28 %	74.86 %
	99	874,579	86,649	82,516	9.43 %	95.23 %
	100	874,579	84,206	84,206	9.63 %	100.00 %
	101	874,579	87,644	76,712	8.77 %	87.53 %
	102	874,579	87,483	87,483	10.00 %	100.00 %
	103	874,579	88,259	57,696	6.60 %	65.37 %
	104	874,579	82,781	82,781	9.47 %	100.00 %
	105	874,579	84,101	69,435	7.94 %	82.56 %
	106	874,579	86,758	59,599	6.81 %	68.70 %
	107	874,579	86,497	75,124	8.59 %	86.85 %
112	874,579	90,138	52,118	5.96 %	57.82 %	
Cherryville	110	6,078	89,588	6,078	100.00 %	6.78 %
Chimney Rock Village	113	140	91,102	140	100.00 %	0.15 %
China Grove	83	4,434	91,184	4,434	100.00 %	4.86 %
Chocowinity	79	722	83,163	722	100.00 %	0.87 %
Claremont	89	1,692	85,577	1,692	100.00 %	1.98 %
Clarkton	22	614	88,642	614	100.00 %	0.69 %
Clayton (Johnston)	26	26,307	90,540	26,307	100.00 %	29.06 %
Clayton (Wake)	33	0	86,863	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	39	0	86,971	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Clemmons	74	21,163	89,692	21,163	100.00 %	23.60 %
Cleveland	77	846	90,628	846	100.00 %	0.93 %
Clinton	22	8,383	88,642	8,383	100.00 %	9.46 %
Clyde	118	1,368	83,282	1,368	100.00 %	1.64 %
Coats	53	2,155	89,622	2,155	100.00 %	2.40 %
Cofield	5	267	82,953	267	100.00 %	0.32 %
Colerain	23	217	88,865	217	100.00 %	0.24 %
Columbia	1	610	84,330	610	100.00 %	0.72 %
Columbus	113	1,060	91,102	1,060	100.00 %	1.16 %
Como	5	67	82,953	67	100.00 %	0.08 %
Concord	73	105,240	89,909	27,131	25.78 %	30.18 %
	82	105,240	91,069	58,729	55.80 %	64.49 %
	83	105,240	91,184	19,380	18.42 %	21.25 %
Conetoe	23	198	88,865	198	100.00 %	0.22 %
Connelly Springs	86	1,529	87,570	1,529	100.00 %	1.75 %
Conover	89	8,421	85,577	424	5.04 %	0.50 %
	96	8,421	89,587	7,997	94.96 %	8.93 %
Conway	27	752	84,735	752	100.00 %	0.89 %
Cooleemee	77	940	90,628	940	100.00 %	1.04 %
Cornelius	98	31,412	82,669	31,412	100.00 %	38.00 %

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Cove City	3	378	85,099	378	100.00 %	0.44 %
Cramerton	108	5,296	84,457	123	2.32 %	0.15 %
	109	5,296	87,462	5,173	97.68 %	5.91 %
Creedmoor	7	4,866	86,439	4,866	100.00 %	5.63 %
Creswell	1	207	84,330	207	100.00 %	0.25 %
Crossnore	85	143	89,525	143	100.00 %	0.16 %
Dallas	108	5,927	84,457	5,927	100.00 %	7.02 %
Danbury	91	189	85,749	189	100.00 %	0.22 %
Davidson (Iredell)	95	378	86,264	378	100.00 %	0.44 %
Davidson (Mecklenburg)	98	14,728	82,669	14,728	100.00 %	17.82 %
Dellview	110	6	89,588	6	100.00 %	0.01 %
Denton	80	1,494	84,864	1,494	100.00 %	1.76 %
Dillsboro	119	213	90,212	213	100.00 %	0.24 %
Dobbins Heights	52	687	84,383	687	100.00 %	0.81 %
Dobson	90	1,462	82,937	1,462	100.00 %	1.76 %
Dortches	25	1,082	90,432	1,082	100.00 %	1.20 %
Dover	3	349	85,099	349	100.00 %	0.41 %
Drexel	86	1,760	87,570	1,760	100.00 %	2.01 %
Dublin	22	267	88,642	267	100.00 %	0.30 %
Duck	1	742	84,330	742	100.00 %	0.88 %
Dunn	53	8,446	89,622	8,446	100.00 %	9.42 %
Durham (Durham)	2	283,093	91,096	32,804	11.59 %	36.01 %
	29	283,093	90,900	85,000	30.03 %	93.51 %
	30	283,093	90,935	89,923	31.76 %	98.89 %
	31	283,093	90,999	75,366	26.62 %	82.82 %
Durham (Orange)	50	144	85,776	144	100.00 %	0.17 %
Durham (Wake)	21	269	88,313	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	40	269	84,115	269	100.00 %	0.32 %
	49	269	90,415	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Earl	111	198	90,809	198	100.00 %	0.22 %
East Arcadia	22	418	88,642	418	100.00 %	0.47 %
East Bend	77	634	90,628	634	100.00 %	0.70 %
East Laurinburg	48	234	86,256	234	100.00 %	0.27 %
East Spencer	76	1,567	89,815	1,567	100.00 %	1.74 %
Eastover	43	3,656	83,198	3,656	100.00 %	4.39 %
Eden	65	15,421	91,096	15,421	100.00 %	16.93 %
Edenton	1	4,460	84,330	4,460	100.00 %	5.29 %
Elizabeth City (Camden)	5	38	82,953	38	100.00 %	0.05 %
Elizabeth City (Pasquotank)	5	18,593	82,953	18,593	100.00 %	22.41 %
Elizabethtown	22	3,296	88,642	3,296	100.00 %	3.72 %
Elk Park	85	542	89,525	542	100.00 %	0.61 %

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Elkin (Surry)	90	4,049	82,937	4,049	100.00 %	4.88 %
Elkin (Wilkes)	90	73	82,937	73	100.00 %	0.09 %
Ellenboro	111	723	90,809	723	100.00 %	0.80 %
Ellerbe	52	864	84,383	864	100.00 %	1.02 %
Elm City (Nash)	24	0	83,322	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Elm City (Wilson)	24	1,218	83,322	1,218	100.00 %	1.46 %
Elon	64	11,336	87,875	11,336	100.00 %	12.90 %
Emerald Isle	13	3,847	83,307	3,847	100.00 %	4.62 %
Enfield	27	1,865	84,735	1,865	100.00 %	2.20 %
Erwin	6	4,542	84,016	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	53	4,542	89,622	4,542	100.00 %	5.07 %
Eureka	10	214	82,910	214	100.00 %	0.26 %
Everetts	23	150	88,865	150	100.00 %	0.17 %
Fair Bluff	46	709	84,307	709	100.00 %	0.84 %
Fairmont	47	2,191	82,846	2,191	100.00 %	2.64 %
Fairview	69	3,456	88,795	3,456	100.00 %	3.89 %
Faison (Duplin)	4	784	83,138	784	100.00 %	0.94 %
Faison (Sampson)	22	0	88,642	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Faith	76	819	89,815	819	100.00 %	0.91 %
Falcon (Cumberland)	43	324	83,198	324	100.00 %	0.39 %
Falcon (Sampson)	22	0	88,642	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Falkland	8	47	85,089	47	100.00 %	0.06 %
Fallston	110	627	89,588	627	100.00 %	0.70 %
Farmville	8	4,461	85,089	4,461	100.00 %	5.24 %
Fayetteville	42	208,501	84,462	67,330	32.29 %	79.72 %
	43	208,501	83,198	35,621	17.08 %	42.81 %
	44	208,501	83,751	78,340	37.57 %	93.54 %
	45	208,501	83,317	27,210	13.05 %	32.66 %
Flat Rock	113	3,486	91,102	3,486	100.00 %	3.83 %
	117	3,486	90,329	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Fletcher	117	7,987	90,329	7,987	100.00 %	8.84 %
Fontana Dam	120	13	84,907	13	100.00 %	0.02 %
Forest City	111	7,377	90,809	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	113	7,377	91,102	7,377	100.00 %	8.10 %
Forest Hills	119	303	90,212	303	100.00 %	0.34 %
Fountain	8	385	85,089	385	100.00 %	0.45 %
Four Oaks	28	2,158	85,389	2,158	100.00 %	2.53 %
Foxfire	52	1,288	84,383	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	78	1,288	86,365	1,288	100.00 %	1.49 %
Franklin	120	4,175	84,907	4,175	100.00 %	4.92 %
Franklinton	7	2,456	86,439	2,456	100.00 %	2.84 %

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Franklinville	78	1,197	86,365	1,197	100.00 %	1.39 %
Fremont	10	1,196	82,910	1,196	100.00 %	1.44 %
Fuquay-Varina (Harnett)	53	0	89,622	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Fuquay-Varina (Wake)	33	34,152	86,863	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	37	34,152	88,334	34,152	100.00 %	38.66 %
Gamewell	87	3,702	85,009	3,702	100.00 %	4.35 %
Garland	22	595	88,642	595	100.00 %	0.67 %
Garner	11	31,159	85,987	490	1.57 %	0.57 %
	33	31,159	86,863	30,658	98.39 %	35.29 %
	37	31,159	88,334	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	38	31,159	90,560	11	0.04 %	0.01 %
Garysburg	27	904	84,735	904	100.00 %	1.07 %
Gaston	27	1,008	84,735	1,008	100.00 %	1.19 %
Gastonia	108	80,411	84,457	9,831	12.23 %	11.64 %
	109	80,411	87,462	52,909	65.80 %	60.49 %
	110	80,411	89,588	17,671	21.98 %	19.72 %
Gatesville	5	267	82,953	267	100.00 %	0.32 %
Gibson	48	449	86,256	449	100.00 %	0.52 %
Gibsonville (Alamance)	64	4,278	87,875	4,278	100.00 %	4.87 %
Gibsonville (Guilford)	59	4,642	87,559	4,642	100.00 %	5.30 %
Glen Alpine	86	1,529	87,570	1,529	100.00 %	1.75 %
Godwin	43	128	83,198	128	100.00 %	0.15 %
Goldsboro	4	33,657	83,138	5	0.01 %	0.01 %
	10	33,657	82,910	33,652	99.99 %	40.59 %
Goldston	54	234	83,475	234	100.00 %	0.28 %
Graham	63	17,157	83,540	17,157	100.00 %	20.54 %
	64	17,157	87,875	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Grandfather Village	85	95	89,525	95	100.00 %	0.11 %
Granite Falls	87	4,965	85,009	4,965	100.00 %	5.84 %
Granite Quarry	76	2,984	89,815	2,984	100.00 %	3.32 %
Grantsboro	79	692	83,163	692	100.00 %	0.83 %
Green Level	63	3,152	83,540	3,152	100.00 %	3.77 %
Greenevers	4	567	83,138	567	100.00 %	0.68 %
Greensboro	57	299,035	91,158	72,113	24.12 %	79.11 %
	58	299,035	89,713	72,909	24.38 %	81.27 %
	59	299,035	87,559	18,796	6.29 %	21.47 %
	60	299,035	90,919	1,397	0.47 %	1.54 %
	61	299,035	90,814	90,814	30.37 %	100.00 %
	62	299,035	91,136	43,006	14.38 %	47.19 %
Greenville	8	87,521	85,089	42,222	48.24 %	49.62 %
	9	87,521	85,154	45,299	51.76 %	53.20 %

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Grifton (Lenoir)	12	147	84,745	147	100.00 %	0.17 %
Grifton (Pitt)	9	2,301	85,154	2,301	100.00 %	2.70 %
Grimesland	8	386	85,089	386	100.00 %	0.45 %
Grover	111	802	90,809	802	100.00 %	0.88 %
Halifax	27	170	84,735	170	100.00 %	0.20 %
Hamilton	23	306	88,865	306	100.00 %	0.34 %
Hamlet	52	6,025	84,383	6,025	100.00 %	7.14 %
Harmony	84	543	85,875	543	100.00 %	0.63 %
Harrells (Duplin)	4	0	83,138	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Harrells (Sampson)	22	160	88,642	160	100.00 %	0.18 %
Harrellsville	5	85	82,953	85	100.00 %	0.10 %
Harrisburg	73	18,967	89,909	18,967	100.00 %	21.10 %
Hassell	23	49	88,865	49	100.00 %	0.06 %
Havelock	3	16,621	85,099	5,986	36.01 %	7.03 %
	13	16,621	83,307	10,635	63.99 %	12.77 %
Haw River	63	2,252	83,540	2,252	100.00 %	2.70 %
Hayesville	120	461	84,907	461	100.00 %	0.54 %
Hemby Bridge	69	1,614	88,795	1,614	100.00 %	1.82 %
Henderson	32	15,060	85,704	15,060	100.00 %	17.57 %
Hendersonville	113	15,137	91,102	731	4.83 %	0.80 %
	117	15,137	90,329	14,406	95.17 %	15.95 %
Hertford	1	1,934	84,330	1,934	100.00 %	2.29 %
Hickory (Burke)	86	79	87,570	79	100.00 %	0.09 %
Hickory (Caldwell)	87	32	85,009	32	100.00 %	0.04 %
Hickory (Catawba)	89	43,379	85,577	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	96	43,379	89,587	43,379	100.00 %	48.42 %
High Point (Davidson)	80	6,646	84,864	6,646	100.00 %	7.83 %
High Point (Forsyth)	75	84	84,659	84	100.00 %	0.10 %
High Point (Guilford)	58	107,321	89,713	2,538	2.36 %	2.83 %
	60	107,321	90,919	77,884	72.57 %	85.66 %
	62	107,321	91,136	26,899	25.06 %	29.52 %
High Point (Randolph)	70	8	89,118	8	100.00 %	0.01 %
High Shoals	110	595	89,588	595	100.00 %	0.66 %
Highlands (Jackson)	119	12	90,212	12	100.00 %	0.01 %
Highlands (Macon)	120	1,060	84,907	1,060	100.00 %	1.25 %
Hildebran	86	1,679	87,570	1,679	100.00 %	1.92 %
Hillsborough	50	9,660	85,776	9,660	100.00 %	11.26 %
Hobgood	27	268	84,735	268	100.00 %	0.32 %
Hoffman	52	418	84,383	418	100.00 %	0.50 %
Holden Beach	17	921	89,763	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	19	921	91,041	921	100.00 %	1.01 %

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Holly Ridge	16	4,171	85,097	4,171	100.00 %	4.90 %
Holly Springs	11	41,239	85,987	1,355	3.29 %	1.58 %
	36	41,239	89,336	25,760	62.47 %	28.83 %
	37	41,239	88,334	14,124	34.25 %	15.99 %
Hookerton	12	413	84,745	413	100.00 %	0.49 %
Hope Mills	45	17,808	83,317	17,808	100.00 %	21.37 %
Hot Springs	118	520	83,282	520	100.00 %	0.62 %
Hudson	87	3,780	85,009	3,780	100.00 %	4.45 %
	98	61,376	82,669	34,762	56.64 %	42.05 %
	106	61,376	86,758	20,721	33.76 %	23.88 %
	107	61,376	86,497	5,893	9.60 %	6.81 %
Indian Beach	13	223	83,307	223	100.00 %	0.27 %
Indian Trail	68	39,997	86,978	5,590	13.98 %	6.43 %
	69	39,997	88,795	34,407	86.02 %	38.75 %
Jackson	27	430	84,735	430	100.00 %	0.51 %
Jacksonville	14	72,723	90,506	47,353	65.11 %	52.32 %
	15	72,723	89,176	25,370	34.89 %	28.45 %
Jamestown	58	3,668	89,713	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	60	3,668	90,919	3,668	100.00 %	4.03 %
Jamesville	23	424	88,865	424	100.00 %	0.48 %
Jefferson	93	1,622	87,194	1,622	100.00 %	1.86 %
Jonesville	77	2,308	90,628	2,308	100.00 %	2.55 %
Kannapolis (Cabarrus)	82	42,846	91,069	29,011	67.71 %	31.86 %
	83	42,846	91,184	13,835	32.29 %	15.17 %
Kannapolis (Rowan)	83	10,268	91,184	10,268	100.00 %	11.26 %
Kelford	23	203	88,865	203	100.00 %	0.23 %
Kenansville	4	770	83,138	770	100.00 %	0.93 %
Kenly (Johnston)	28	1,293	85,389	1,293	100.00 %	1.51 %
Kenly (Wilson)	24	198	83,322	198	100.00 %	0.24 %
Kernersville (Forsyth)	75	25,947	84,659	25,947	100.00 %	30.65 %
Kernersville (Guilford)	62	502	91,136	502	100.00 %	0.55 %
Kill Devil Hills	1	7,656	84,330	7,118	92.97 %	8.44 %
	79	7,656	83,163	538	7.03 %	0.65 %
King (Forsyth)	91	591	85,749	591	100.00 %	0.69 %
King (Stokes)	91	6,606	85,749	6,606	100.00 %	7.70 %
Kings Mountain (Cleveland)	110	10,032	89,588	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	111	10,032	90,809	10,032	100.00 %	11.05 %
Kings Mountain (Gaston)	110	1,110	89,588	1,110	100.00 %	1.24 %
Kingstown	110	656	89,588	656	100.00 %	0.73 %
Kinston	12	19,900	84,745	19,900	100.00 %	23.48 %
Kittrell	32	132	85,704	132	100.00 %	0.15 %

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Kitty Hawk	1	3,689	84,330	3,689	100.00 %	4.37 %
Knightdale	38	19,435	90,560	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	39	19,435	86,971	19,435	100.00 %	22.35 %
Kure Beach	19	2,191	91,041	2,191	100.00 %	2.41 %
La Grange	12	2,595	84,745	2,595	100.00 %	3.06 %
Lake Lure	113	1,365	91,102	1,365	100.00 %	1.50 %
Lake Park	69	3,269	88,795	3,269	100.00 %	3.68 %
Lake Santeetlah	120	38	84,907	38	100.00 %	0.04 %
Lake Waccamaw	46	1,296	84,307	1,296	100.00 %	1.54 %
Landis	83	3,690	91,184	3,690	100.00 %	4.05 %
Lansing	93	126	87,194	126	100.00 %	0.14 %
Lasker	27	64	84,735	64	100.00 %	0.08 %
Lattimore	110	406	89,588	406	100.00 %	0.45 %
Laurel Park	117	2,250	90,329	2,250	100.00 %	2.49 %
Laurinburg	48	14,978	86,256	14,978	100.00 %	17.36 %
Lawndale	110	570	89,588	570	100.00 %	0.64 %
Leggett	23	37	88,865	37	100.00 %	0.04 %
Leland	17	22,908	89,763	22,908	100.00 %	25.52 %
Lenoir	87	18,352	85,009	18,352	100.00 %	21.59 %
Lewiston Woodville	23	426	88,865	426	100.00 %	0.48 %
Lewisville	74	13,381	89,692	13,381	100.00 %	14.92 %
Lexington	80	19,632	84,864	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	81	19,632	84,066	19,632	100.00 %	23.35 %
Liberty	54	2,655	83,475	2,655	100.00 %	3.18 %
Lilesville	55	395	84,549	395	100.00 %	0.47 %
Lillington	6	4,735	84,016	4,735	100.00 %	5.64 %
	53	4,735	89,622	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Lincolnton	97	11,091	86,810	11,091	100.00 %	12.78 %
Linden	43	136	83,198	136	100.00 %	0.16 %
Littleton	27	559	84,735	559	100.00 %	0.66 %
Locust (Cabarrus)	73	541	89,909	541	100.00 %	0.60 %
Locust (Stanly)	67	3,996	88,255	3,996	100.00 %	4.53 %
Long View (Burke)	86	735	87,570	735	100.00 %	0.84 %
Long View (Catawba)	96	4,353	89,587	4,353	100.00 %	4.86 %
Louisburg	7	3,064	86,439	3,064	100.00 %	3.54 %
Love Valley	84	154	85,875	154	100.00 %	0.18 %
Lowell	108	3,654	84,457	3,654	100.00 %	4.33 %
	109	3,654	87,462	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Lucama	24	1,036	83,322	1,036	100.00 %	1.24 %
Lumber Bridge	46	82	84,307	82	100.00 %	0.10 %

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.



# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Lumberton	46	19,025	84,307	454	2.39 %	0.54 %
	47	19,025	82,846	18,571	97.61 %	22.42 %
Macclesfield	23	413	88,865	413	100.00 %	0.46 %
Macon	27	110	84,735	110	100.00 %	0.13 %
Madison	65	2,129	91,096	2,129	100.00 %	2.34 %
Maggie Valley	118	1,687	83,282	1,687	100.00 %	2.03 %
Magnolia	4	831	83,138	831	100.00 %	1.00 %
Maiden (Catawba)	89	3,736	85,577	3,736	100.00 %	4.37 %
Maiden (Lincoln)	97	0	86,810	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Manteo	79	1,600	83,163	1,600	100.00 %	1.92 %
Marietta	47	111	82,846	111	100.00 %	0.13 %
Marion	85	7,717	89,525	7,717	100.00 %	8.62 %
	113	7,717	91,102	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Mars Hill	118	2,007	83,282	2,007	100.00 %	2.41 %
Marshall	118	777	83,282	777	100.00 %	0.93 %
Marshville	55	2,522	84,549	2,522	100.00 %	2.98 %
Marvin	68	6,358	86,978	6,358	100.00 %	7.31 %
Matthews	103	29,435	88,259	29,435	100.00 %	33.35 %
Maxton (Robeson)	47	1,902	82,846	1,902	100.00 %	2.30 %
Maxton (Scotland)	48	208	86,256	208	100.00 %	0.24 %
Mayodan	65	2,418	91,096	2,418	100.00 %	2.65 %
Maysville	12	818	84,745	818	100.00 %	0.97 %
McAdenville	108	890	84,457	890	100.00 %	1.05 %
McDonald	47	94	82,846	94	100.00 %	0.11 %
McFarlan	55	94	84,549	94	100.00 %	0.11 %
Mebane (Alamance)	63	14,626	83,540	14,626	100.00 %	17.51 %
Mebane (Orange)	50	3,171	85,776	3,171	100.00 %	3.70 %
Mesic	79	144	83,163	144	100.00 %	0.17 %
Micro	28	458	85,389	458	100.00 %	0.54 %
Middleburg	32	101	85,704	101	100.00 %	0.12 %
Middlesex	25	912	90,432	912	100.00 %	1.01 %
Midland (Cabarrus)	73	4,684	89,909	4,684	100.00 %	5.21 %
Midland (Mecklenburg)	112	0	90,138	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Midway	80	4,742	84,864	3,469	73.15 %	4.09 %
	81	4,742	84,066	1,273	26.85 %	1.51 %
Mills River	117	7,078	90,329	7,078	100.00 %	7.84 %
Milton	50	155	85,776	155	100.00 %	0.18 %
Mineral Springs	55	3,159	84,549	193	6.11 %	0.23 %
	68	3,159	86,978	2,966	93.89 %	3.41 %
Minnesott Beach	79	530	83,163	530	100.00 %	0.64 %
Mint Hill (Mecklenburg)	112	26,444	90,138	26,444	100.00 %	29.34 %

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Mint Hill (Union)	69	6	88,795	6	100.00 %	0.01 %
Misenheimer	67	650	88,255	650	100.00 %	0.74 %
Mocksville	77	5,900	90,628	5,900	100.00 %	6.51 %
Momeyer	25	277	90,432	277	100.00 %	0.31 %
Monroe	55	34,562	84,549	20,688	59.86 %	24.47 %
	68	34,562	86,978	1,511	4.37 %	1.74 %
	69	34,562	88,795	12,363	35.77 %	13.92 %
Montreat	114	901	89,016	901	100.00 %	1.01 %
Moorestown	111	293	90,809	293	100.00 %	0.32 %
Mooreville	95	50,193	86,264	50,193	100.00 %	58.19 %
Morehead City	13	9,556	83,307	9,556	100.00 %	11.47 %
Morganton	86	17,474	87,570	17,474	100.00 %	19.95 %
Morrisville (Durham)	31	207	90,999	207	100.00 %	0.23 %
Morrisville (Wake)	21	29,423	88,313	29,423	100.00 %	33.32 %
	41	29,423	84,646	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Morven	55	329	84,549	329	100.00 %	0.39 %
Mount Airy	90	10,676	82,937	10,676	100.00 %	12.87 %
Mount Gilead	67	1,171	88,255	1,171	100.00 %	1.33 %
Mount Holly	108	17,703	84,457	17,703	100.00 %	20.96 %
Mount Olive (Duplin)	4	5	83,138	5	100.00 %	0.01 %
Mount Olive (Wayne)	4	4,193	83,138	4,193	100.00 %	5.04 %
Mount Pleasant	73	1,671	89,909	1,671	100.00 %	1.86 %
Murfreesboro	5	2,619	82,953	2,619	100.00 %	3.16 %
Murphy	120	1,608	84,907	1,608	100.00 %	1.89 %
Nags Head	79	3,168	83,163	3,168	100.00 %	3.81 %
Nashville	25	5,632	90,432	5,632	100.00 %	6.23 %
Navassa	17	1,367	89,763	1,367	100.00 %	1.52 %
New Bern	3	31,291	85,099	31,291	100.00 %	36.77 %
New London	67	607	88,255	607	100.00 %	0.69 %
Newland	85	715	89,525	715	100.00 %	0.80 %
Newport	13	4,364	83,307	4,364	100.00 %	5.24 %
Newton	89	13,148	85,577	13,148	100.00 %	15.36 %
	96	13,148	89,587	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Newton Grove	22	585	88,642	585	100.00 %	0.66 %
Norlina	27	920	84,735	920	100.00 %	1.09 %
Norman	52	100	84,383	100	100.00 %	0.12 %
North Topsail Beach	16	1,005	85,097	1,005	100.00 %	1.18 %
North Wilkesboro	94	4,382	90,835	4,382	100.00 %	4.82 %
Northwest	17	703	89,763	703	100.00 %	0.78 %
Norwood	67	2,367	88,255	2,367	100.00 %	2.68 %
Oak City	23	266	88,865	266	100.00 %	0.30 %

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Oak Island	19	8,396	91,041	8,396	100.00 %	9.22 %
Oak Ridge	57	7,474	91,158	29	0.39 %	0.03 %
	62	7,474	91,136	7,445	99.61 %	8.17 %
Oakboro	67	2,128	88,255	2,128	100.00 %	2.41 %
Ocean Isle Beach	17	867	89,763	867	100.00 %	0.97 %
Old Fort	85	811	89,525	811	100.00 %	0.91 %
Oriental	79	880	83,163	880	100.00 %	1.06 %
Orrum	46	59	84,307	59	100.00 %	0.07 %
Ossipee	64	536	87,875	536	100.00 %	0.61 %
Oxford	32	8,628	85,704	8,628	100.00 %	10.07 %
Pantego	79	164	83,163	164	100.00 %	0.20 %
Parkton	46	504	84,307	504	100.00 %	0.60 %
Parmele	23	243	88,865	243	100.00 %	0.27 %
Patterson Springs	111	571	90,809	571	100.00 %	0.63 %
Peachland	55	390	84,549	390	100.00 %	0.46 %
Peletier	13	769	83,307	769	100.00 %	0.92 %
Pembroke	47	2,823	82,846	2,823	100.00 %	3.41 %
Pikeville	10	712	82,910	712	100.00 %	0.86 %
Pilot Mountain	90	1,440	82,937	1,440	100.00 %	1.74 %
Pine Knoll Shores	13	1,388	83,307	1,388	100.00 %	1.67 %
Pine Level	28	2,046	85,389	2,046	100.00 %	2.40 %
Pinebluff	52	1,473	84,383	1,473	100.00 %	1.75 %
Pinehurst	52	17,581	84,383	8	0.05 %	0.01 %
	78	17,581	86,365	17,573	99.95 %	20.35 %
Pinetops	23	1,200	88,865	1,200	100.00 %	1.35 %
Pineville	104	10,602	82,781	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	105	10,602	84,101	10,602	100.00 %	12.61 %
Pink Hill	12	451	84,745	451	100.00 %	0.53 %
Pittsboro	54	4,537	83,475	4,537	100.00 %	5.44 %
Pleasant Garden	58	5,000	89,713	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	59	5,000	87,559	5,000	100.00 %	5.71 %
Plymouth	1	3,320	84,330	3,320	100.00 %	3.94 %
Polkton	55	2,250	84,549	2,250	100.00 %	2.66 %
Polkville	110	516	89,588	516	100.00 %	0.58 %
Pollocksville	12	268	84,745	268	100.00 %	0.32 %
Powellsville	23	189	88,865	189	100.00 %	0.21 %
Princeton	28	1,315	85,389	1,315	100.00 %	1.54 %
Princeville	23	1,254	88,865	1,254	100.00 %	1.41 %
Proctorville	46	121	84,307	121	100.00 %	0.14 %
Raeford	48	4,559	86,256	4,559	100.00 %	5.29 %
Raleigh (Durham)	31	1,559	90,999	1,559	100.00 %	1.71 %

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Raleigh (Wake)	11	466,106	85,987	52,233	11.21 %	60.75 %
	33	466,106	86,863	21,874	4.69 %	25.18 %
	34	466,106	82,860	82,655	17.73 %	99.75 %
	35	466,106	86,765	16,132	3.46 %	18.59 %
	38	466,106	90,560	87,892	18.86 %	97.05 %
	39	466,106	86,971	11,902	2.55 %	13.69 %
	40	466,106	84,115	41,316	8.86 %	49.12 %
	41	466,106	84,646	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	49	466,106	90,415	69,909	15.00 %	77.32 %
	66	466,106	84,245	82,193	17.63 %	97.56 %
Ramseur	78	1,774	86,365	1,774	100.00 %	2.05 %
Randleman	70	4,595	89,118	4,595	100.00 %	5.16 %
Ranlo	108	4,511	84,457	4,511	100.00 %	5.34 %
Raynham	47	60	82,846	60	100.00 %	0.07 %
Red Cross	67	762	88,255	762	100.00 %	0.86 %
Red Oak	25	3,342	90,432	3,342	100.00 %	3.70 %
Red Springs (Hoke)	48	0	86,256	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Red Springs (Robeson)	47	3,087	82,846	3,087	100.00 %	3.73 %
Reidsville	65	14,583	91,096	14,583	100.00 %	16.01 %
Rennert	47	275	82,846	275	100.00 %	0.33 %
Rhodiss (Burke)	86	639	87,570	639	100.00 %	0.73 %
Rhodiss (Caldwell)	87	358	85,009	358	100.00 %	0.42 %
Rich Square	27	894	84,735	894	100.00 %	1.06 %
Richfield	67	582	88,255	582	100.00 %	0.66 %
Richlands	15	2,287	89,176	2,287	100.00 %	2.56 %
River Bend	3	2,902	85,099	2,902	100.00 %	3.41 %
Roanoke Rapids	27	15,229	84,735	15,229	100.00 %	17.97 %
Robbins	78	1,168	86,365	1,168	100.00 %	1.35 %
Robbinsville	120	597	84,907	597	100.00 %	0.70 %
Robersonville	23	1,269	88,865	1,269	100.00 %	1.43 %
Rockingham	52	9,243	84,383	9,243	100.00 %	10.95 %
Rockwell	76	2,302	89,815	2,302	100.00 %	2.56 %
Rocky Mount (Edgecombe)	23	15,414	88,865	15,414	100.00 %	17.35 %
Rocky Mount (Nash)	24	38,927	83,322	241	0.62 %	0.29 %
	25	38,927	90,432	38,686	99.38 %	42.78 %
Rolesville	35	9,475	86,765	9,475	100.00 %	10.92 %
Ronda	90	438	82,937	438	100.00 %	0.53 %
Roper	1	485	84,330	485	100.00 %	0.58 %
Rose Hill	4	1,371	83,138	1,371	100.00 %	1.65 %
Roseboro	22	1,163	88,642	1,163	100.00 %	1.31 %
Rosman	119	701	90,212	701	100.00 %	0.78 %

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Rowland	47	885	82,846	885	100.00 %	1.07 %
Roxboro	2	8,134	91,096	8,134	100.00 %	8.93 %
Roxobel	23	187	88,865	187	100.00 %	0.21 %
Rural Hall	91	3,351	85,749	3,351	100.00 %	3.91 %
Ruth	113	347	91,102	347	100.00 %	0.38 %
Rutherford College (Burke)	86	1,226	87,570	1,226	100.00 %	1.40 %
Rutherford College (Caldwell)	87	0	85,009	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Rutherfordton	113	3,640	91,102	3,640	100.00 %	4.00 %
Salemburg	22	457	88,642	457	100.00 %	0.52 %
Salisbury	76	35,540	89,815	35,540	100.00 %	39.57 %
Saluda (Henderson)	113	11	91,102	11	100.00 %	0.01 %
Saluda (Polk)	113	620	91,102	620	100.00 %	0.68 %
Sandy Creek	17	248	89,763	248	100.00 %	0.28 %
Sandyfield	46	430	84,307	430	100.00 %	0.51 %
Sanford	51	30,261	83,073	30,261	100.00 %	36.43 %
Saratoga	24	353	83,322	353	100.00 %	0.42 %
Sawmills	87	5,020	85,009	5,020	100.00 %	5.91 %
Scotland Neck	27	1,640	84,735	1,640	100.00 %	1.94 %
Seaboard	27	542	84,735	542	100.00 %	0.64 %
Seagrove	78	235	86,365	235	100.00 %	0.27 %
Sedalia	59	676	87,559	676	100.00 %	0.77 %
Selma	28	6,317	85,389	6,317	100.00 %	7.40 %
Seven Devils (Avery)	85	38	89,525	38	100.00 %	0.04 %
Seven Devils (Watauga)	93	275	87,194	275	100.00 %	0.32 %
Seven Springs	4	55	83,138	55	100.00 %	0.07 %
Severn	27	191	84,735	191	100.00 %	0.23 %
Shallotte	17	4,185	89,763	4,185	100.00 %	4.66 %
Sharpsburg (Edgecombe)	23	215	88,865	215	100.00 %	0.24 %
Sharpsburg (Nash)	24	1,061	83,322	1,061	100.00 %	1.27 %
Sharpsburg (Wilson)	24	421	83,322	421	100.00 %	0.51 %
Shelby	110	21,918	89,588	4,432	20.22 %	4.95 %
	111	21,918	90,809	17,486	79.78 %	19.26 %
Siler City	54	7,702	83,475	7,702	100.00 %	9.23 %
Simpson	8	390	85,089	390	100.00 %	0.46 %
Sims	24	275	83,322	275	100.00 %	0.33 %
Smithfield	28	11,292	85,389	11,292	100.00 %	13.22 %
Snow Hill	12	1,481	84,745	1,481	100.00 %	1.75 %
Southern Pines	52	15,545	84,383	15,545	100.00 %	18.42 %
	78	15,545	86,365	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Southern Shores	1	3,090	84,330	3,090	100.00 %	3.66 %
Southport	19	3,971	91,041	3,971	100.00 %	4.36 %

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Sparta	93	1,834	87,194	1,834	100.00 %	2.10 %
Speed	23	63	88,865	63	100.00 %	0.07 %
Spencer	76	3,308	89,815	3,308	100.00 %	3.68 %
Spencer Mountain	108	0	84,457	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Spindale	113	4,225	91,102	4,225	100.00 %	4.64 %
Spring Hope	25	1,309	90,432	1,309	100.00 %	1.45 %
Spring Lake	42	11,660	84,462	11,660	100.00 %	13.81 %
Spruce Pine	85	2,194	89,525	2,194	100.00 %	2.45 %
St. Helena	16	417	85,097	417	100.00 %	0.49 %
St. James	19	6,529	91,041	6,529	100.00 %	7.17 %
St. Pauls	46	2,045	84,307	2,045	100.00 %	2.43 %
Staley	54	397	83,475	397	100.00 %	0.48 %
Stallings (Mecklenburg)	103	384	88,259	11	2.86 %	0.01 %
	112	384	90,138	373	97.14 %	0.41 %
Stallings (Union)	68	15,728	86,978	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	69	15,728	88,795	15,728	100.00 %	17.71 %
Stanfield	67	1,585	88,255	1,585	100.00 %	1.80 %
Stanley	108	3,963	84,457	3,963	100.00 %	4.69 %
Stantonsburg	24	762	83,322	762	100.00 %	0.91 %
Star	67	806	88,255	806	100.00 %	0.91 %
Statesville	84	28,419	85,875	28,415	99.99 %	33.09 %
	89	28,419	85,577	4	0.01 %	0.00 %
Stedman	43	1,277	83,198	1,277	100.00 %	1.53 %
Stem	32	960	85,704	960	100.00 %	1.12 %
Stokesdale	62	5,924	91,136	5,924	100.00 %	6.50 %
Stoneville	65	1,308	91,096	1,308	100.00 %	1.44 %
Stonewall	79	214	83,163	214	100.00 %	0.26 %
Stovall	32	324	85,704	324	100.00 %	0.38 %
Sugar Mountain	85	371	89,525	371	100.00 %	0.41 %
Summerfield	57	10,951	91,158	10,951	100.00 %	12.01 %
Sunset Beach	17	4,175	89,763	4,175	100.00 %	4.65 %
Surf City (Onslow)	16	334	85,097	334	100.00 %	0.39 %
Surf City (Pender)	16	3,533	85,097	3,533	100.00 %	4.15 %
Swansboro	14	3,744	90,506	3,744	100.00 %	4.14 %
Sweepsonville	63	2,445	83,540	2,445	100.00 %	2.93 %
Sylva	119	2,578	90,212	2,578	100.00 %	2.86 %
Tabor City	46	3,781	84,307	3,781	100.00 %	4.48 %
Tar Heel	22	90	88,642	90	100.00 %	0.10 %
Tarboro	23	10,721	88,865	10,721	100.00 %	12.06 %
Taylorsville	94	2,320	90,835	2,320	100.00 %	2.55 %

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

[G20-MbCD] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Taylortown	52	634	84,383	4	0.63 %	0.00 %
	78	634	86,365	630	99.37 %	0.73 %
Teachey	4	448	83,138	448	100.00 %	0.54 %
Thomasville (Davidson)	80	26,662	84,864	26,662	100.00 %	31.42 %
Thomasville (Randolph)	70	521	89,118	521	100.00 %	0.58 %
Tobaccoville (Forsyth)	91	2,578	85,749	2,578	100.00 %	3.01 %
Tobaccoville (Stokes)	91	0	85,749	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Topsail Beach	16	461	85,097	461	100.00 %	0.54 %
Trent Woods	3	4,074	85,099	4,074	100.00 %	4.79 %
Trenton	12	238	84,745	238	100.00 %	0.28 %
Trinity	70	7,006	89,118	7,006	100.00 %	7.86 %
Troutman	84	3,698	85,875	885	23.93 %	1.03 %
	89	3,698	85,577	2,813	76.07 %	3.29 %
Troy	67	2,850	88,255	2,850	100.00 %	3.23 %
Tryon	113	1,562	91,102	1,562	100.00 %	1.71 %
Turkey	22	213	88,642	213	100.00 %	0.24 %
Unionville	69	6,643	88,795	6,643	100.00 %	7.48 %
Valdese	86	4,689	87,570	4,689	100.00 %	5.35 %
Vanceboro	3	869	85,099	869	100.00 %	1.02 %
Vandemere	79	246	83,163	246	100.00 %	0.30 %
Varnamtown	19	525	91,041	525	100.00 %	0.58 %
Vass	51	952	83,073	952	100.00 %	1.15 %
Waco	110	310	89,588	310	100.00 %	0.35 %
Wade	43	638	83,198	638	100.00 %	0.77 %
Wadesboro	55	5,008	84,549	5,008	100.00 %	5.92 %
Wagram	48	615	86,256	615	100.00 %	0.71 %
Wake Forest (Franklin)	7	1,504	86,439	1,504	100.00 %	1.74 %
Wake Forest (Wake)	35	46,097	86,765	46,097	100.00 %	53.13 %
Walkertown	75	5,692	84,659	4,257	74.79 %	5.03 %
	91	5,692	85,749	1,435	25.21 %	1.67 %
Wallace (Duplin)	4	3,413	83,138	3,413	100.00 %	4.11 %
Wallace (Pender)	16	0	85,097	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Wallburg	80	3,051	84,864	3,051	100.00 %	3.60 %
Walnut Cove	91	1,586	85,749	1,586	100.00 %	1.85 %
Walnut Creek	4	1,084	83,138	1,084	100.00 %	1.30 %
Walstonburg	12	193	84,745	193	100.00 %	0.23 %
Warrenton	27	851	84,735	851	100.00 %	1.00 %
Warsaw	4	2,733	83,138	2,733	100.00 %	3.29 %
Washington	79	9,875	83,163	9,875	100.00 %	11.87 %
Washington Park	79	392	83,163	392	100.00 %	0.47 %
Watha	16	181	85,097	181	100.00 %	0.21 %

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.

# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Waxhaw	55	20,534	84,549	1,631	7.94 %	1.93 %
	68	20,534	86,978	18,903	92.06 %	21.73 %
Waynesville	118	10,140	83,282	10,140	100.00 %	12.18 %
Weaverville	116	4,567	90,871	4,567	100.00 %	5.03 %
Webster	119	372	90,212	372	100.00 %	0.41 %
Weddington (Mecklenburg)	103	5	88,259	5	100.00 %	0.01 %
Weddington (Union)	68	13,176	86,978	13,172	99.97 %	15.14 %
	69	13,176	88,795	4	0.03 %	0.00 %
Weldon	27	1,444	84,735	1,444	100.00 %	1.70 %
Wendell	39	9,793	86,971	9,793	100.00 %	11.26 %
Wentworth	65	2,662	91,096	2,662	100.00 %	2.92 %
Wesley Chapel	68	8,681	86,978	8,449	97.33 %	9.71 %
	69	8,681	88,795	232	2.67 %	0.26 %
West Jefferson	93	1,279	87,194	1,279	100.00 %	1.47 %
Whispering Pines	52	4,987	84,383	4,987	100.00 %	5.91 %
Whitakers (Edgecombe)	23	290	88,865	290	100.00 %	0.33 %
Whitakers (Nash)	25	337	90,432	337	100.00 %	0.37 %
White Lake	22	843	88,642	843	100.00 %	0.95 %
Whiteville	46	4,766	84,307	4,766	100.00 %	5.65 %
Whitsett	59	584	87,559	584	100.00 %	0.67 %
Wilkesboro	94	3,687	90,835	3,687	100.00 %	4.06 %
Williamston	23	5,248	88,865	5,248	100.00 %	5.91 %
Wilmington	18	115,451	90,862	37,227	32.24 %	40.97 %
	19	115,451	91,041	8,207	7.11 %	9.01 %
	20	115,451	90,729	70,017	60.65 %	77.17 %
Wilson	24	47,851	83,322	47,851	100.00 %	57.43 %
Wilson's Mills	26	2,534	90,540	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	28	2,534	85,389	2,534	100.00 %	2.97 %
Windsor	23	3,582	88,865	3,582	100.00 %	4.03 %
Winfall	1	555	84,330	555	100.00 %	0.66 %
Wingate	55	4,055	84,549	4,055	100.00 %	4.80 %
Winston-Salem	71	249,545	83,748	81,468	32.65 %	97.28 %
	72	249,545	83,262	83,262	33.37 %	100.00 %
	74	249,545	89,692	40,157	16.09 %	44.77 %
	75	249,545	84,659	31,045	12.44 %	36.67 %
	91	249,545	85,749	13,613	5.46 %	15.88 %
Winterville	9	10,462	85,154	10,462	100.00 %	12.29 %
Winton	5	629	82,953	629	100.00 %	0.76 %
Woodfin	116	7,936	90,871	7,936	100.00 %	8.73 %
Woodland	27	557	84,735	557	100.00 %	0.66 %

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Municipalities derive from the 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Shapefiles. Population figures are based on the associated Summary File.

Note that for the purposes of this report, portions of municipalities in different counties are treated separately.



# Municipality by County - District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

Municipality	District	Total Muni Population	Total District Population	Muni Pop in District	Percent of Muni Pop in District	Percent of District Pop in Muni
Wrightsville Beach	18	2,473	90,862	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	20	2,473	90,729	2,473	100.00 %	2.73 %
Yadkinville	77	2,995	90,628	2,995	100.00 %	3.30 %
Yanceyville	50	1,937	85,776	1,937	100.00 %	2.26 %
Youngsville	7	2,016	86,439	2,016	100.00 %	2.33 %
Zebulon (Johnston)	26	0	90,540	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Zebulon (Wake)	39	6,903	86,971	6,903	100.00 %	7.94 %
<b>Assigned Geography Total:</b>				<b>6,017,605</b>		

Report display: all municipalities

Total Municipalities (by County) Statewide: 614

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 614

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 0

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 0

Total Districts Assigned: 120

Split Municipalities: 76

Splits Involving Population: 49

# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
1	Columbia	84,330	610	610	0.72 %	100.00 %
	Creswell	84,330	207	207	0.25 %	100.00 %
	Duck	84,330	742	742	0.88 %	100.00 %
	Edenton	84,330	4,460	4,460	5.29 %	100.00 %
	Hertford	84,330	1,934	1,934	2.29 %	100.00 %
	Kill Devil Hills	84,330	7,656	7,118	8.44 %	92.97 %
	Kitty Hawk	84,330	3,689	3,689	4.37 %	100.00 %
	Plymouth	84,330	3,320	3,320	3.94 %	100.00 %
	Roper	84,330	485	485	0.58 %	100.00 %
	Southern Shores	84,330	3,090	3,090	3.66 %	100.00 %
	Winfall	84,330	555	555	0.66 %	100.00 %
2	Durham (Durham)	91,096	283,093	32,804	36.01 %	11.59 %
	Roxboro	91,096	8,134	8,134	8.93 %	100.00 %
3	Bridgeton	85,099	349	349	0.41 %	100.00 %
	Cove City	85,099	378	378	0.44 %	100.00 %
	Dover	85,099	349	349	0.41 %	100.00 %
	Havelock	85,099	16,621	5,986	7.03 %	36.01 %
	New Bern	85,099	31,291	31,291	36.77 %	100.00 %
	River Bend	85,099	2,902	2,902	3.41 %	100.00 %
	Trent Woods	85,099	4,074	4,074	4.79 %	100.00 %
	Vanceboro	85,099	869	869	1.02 %	100.00 %
4	Beulaville	83,138	1,116	1,116	1.34 %	100.00 %
	Calypso	83,138	327	327	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Faison (Duplin)	83,138	784	784	0.94 %	100.00 %
	Goldsboro	83,138	33,657	5	0.01 %	0.01 %
	Greenevers	83,138	567	567	0.68 %	100.00 %
	Harrells (Duplin)	83,138	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Kenansville	83,138	770	770	0.93 %	100.00 %
	Magnolia	83,138	831	831	1.00 %	100.00 %
	Mount Olive (Duplin)	83,138	5	5	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Mount Olive (Wayne)	83,138	4,193	4,193	5.04 %	100.00 %
	Rose Hill	83,138	1,371	1,371	1.65 %	100.00 %
	Seven Springs	83,138	55	55	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Teachey	83,138	448	448	0.54 %	100.00 %
	Wallace (Duplin)	83,138	3,413	3,413	4.11 %	100.00 %
	Walnut Creek	83,138	1,084	1,084	1.30 %	100.00 %
	Warsaw	83,138	2,733	2,733	3.29 %	100.00 %
5	Ahoskie	82,953	4,891	4,891	5.90 %	100.00 %
	Cofield	82,953	267	267	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Como	82,953	67	67	0.08 %	100.00 %

# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
5	Elizabeth City (Camden)	82,953	38	38	0.05 %	100.00 %
	Elizabeth City (Pasquotank)	82,953	18,593	18,593	22.41 %	100.00 %
	Gatesville	82,953	267	267	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Harrellsville	82,953	85	85	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Murfreesboro	82,953	2,619	2,619	3.16 %	100.00 %
	Winton	82,953	629	629	0.76 %	100.00 %
6	Broadway (Harnett)	84,016	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Erwin	84,016	4,542	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Lillington	84,016	4,735	4,735	5.64 %	100.00 %
7	Bunn	86,439	327	327	0.38 %	100.00 %
	Creedmoor	86,439	4,866	4,866	5.63 %	100.00 %
	Franklinton	86,439	2,456	2,456	2.84 %	100.00 %
	Louisburg	86,439	3,064	3,064	3.54 %	100.00 %
	Wake Forest (Franklin)	86,439	1,504	1,504	1.74 %	100.00 %
	Youngsville	86,439	2,016	2,016	2.33 %	100.00 %
8	Bethel	85,089	1,373	1,373	1.61 %	100.00 %
	Falkland	85,089	47	47	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Farmville	85,089	4,461	4,461	5.24 %	100.00 %
	Fountain	85,089	385	385	0.45 %	100.00 %
	Greenville	85,089	87,521	42,222	49.62 %	48.24 %
	Grimesland	85,089	386	386	0.45 %	100.00 %
	Simpson	85,089	390	390	0.46 %	100.00 %
9	Ayden	85,154	4,977	4,977	5.84 %	100.00 %
	Greenville	85,154	87,521	45,299	53.20 %	51.76 %
	Grifton (Pitt)	85,154	2,301	2,301	2.70 %	100.00 %
	Winterville	85,154	10,462	10,462	12.29 %	100.00 %
10	Eureka	82,910	214	214	0.26 %	100.00 %
	Fremont	82,910	1,196	1,196	1.44 %	100.00 %
	Goldsboro	82,910	33,657	33,652	40.59 %	99.99 %
	Pikeville	82,910	712	712	0.86 %	100.00 %
11	Cary (Wake)	85,987	171,012	15,301	17.79 %	8.95 %
	Garner	85,987	31,159	490	0.57 %	1.57 %
	Holly Springs	85,987	41,239	1,355	1.58 %	3.29 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	85,987	466,106	52,233	60.75 %	11.21 %
12	Grifton (Lenoir)	84,745	147	147	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Hookerton	84,745	413	413	0.49 %	100.00 %
	Kinston	84,745	19,900	19,900	23.48 %	100.00 %
	La Grange	84,745	2,595	2,595	3.06 %	100.00 %
	Maysville	84,745	818	818	0.97 %	100.00 %
	Pink Hill	84,745	451	451	0.53 %	100.00 %

# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
12	Pollocksville	84,745	268	268	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Snow Hill	84,745	1,481	1,481	1.75 %	100.00 %
	Trenton	84,745	238	238	0.28 %	100.00 %
	Walstonburg	84,745	193	193	0.23 %	100.00 %
13	Atlantic Beach	83,307	1,364	1,364	1.64 %	100.00 %
	Beaufort	83,307	4,464	4,464	5.36 %	100.00 %
	Bogue	83,307	695	695	0.83 %	100.00 %
	Cape Carteret	83,307	2,224	2,224	2.67 %	100.00 %
	Cedar Point	83,307	1,764	1,764	2.12 %	100.00 %
	Emerald Isle	83,307	3,847	3,847	4.62 %	100.00 %
	Havelock	83,307	16,621	10,635	12.77 %	63.99 %
	Indian Beach	83,307	223	223	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Morehead City	83,307	9,556	9,556	11.47 %	100.00 %
	Newport	83,307	4,364	4,364	5.24 %	100.00 %
	Peletier	83,307	769	769	0.92 %	100.00 %
	Pine Knoll Shores	83,307	1,388	1,388	1.67 %	100.00 %
14	Jacksonville	90,506	72,723	47,353	52.32 %	65.11 %
	Swansboro	90,506	3,744	3,744	4.14 %	100.00 %
15	Jacksonville	89,176	72,723	25,370	28.45 %	34.89 %
	Richlands	89,176	2,287	2,287	2.56 %	100.00 %
16	Atkinson	85,097	296	296	0.35 %	100.00 %
	Burgaw	85,097	3,088	3,088	3.63 %	100.00 %
	Holly Ridge	85,097	4,171	4,171	4.90 %	100.00 %
	North Topsail Beach	85,097	1,005	1,005	1.18 %	100.00 %
	St. Helena	85,097	417	417	0.49 %	100.00 %
	Surf City (Onslow)	85,097	334	334	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Surf City (Pender)	85,097	3,533	3,533	4.15 %	100.00 %
	Topsail Beach	85,097	461	461	0.54 %	100.00 %
	Wallace (Pender)	85,097	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Watha	85,097	181	181	0.21 %	100.00 %
17	Belville	89,763	2,406	2,406	2.68 %	100.00 %
	Calabash	89,763	2,011	2,011	2.24 %	100.00 %
	Carolina Shores	89,763	4,588	4,588	5.11 %	100.00 %
	Holden Beach	89,763	921	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Leland	89,763	22,908	22,908	25.52 %	100.00 %
	Navassa	89,763	1,367	1,367	1.52 %	100.00 %
	Northwest	89,763	703	703	0.78 %	100.00 %
	Ocean Isle Beach	89,763	867	867	0.97 %	100.00 %
	Sandy Creek	89,763	248	248	0.28 %	100.00 %
	Shallotte	89,763	4,185	4,185	4.66 %	100.00 %

# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
17	Sunset Beach	89,763	4,175	4,175	4.65 %	100.00 %
18	Wilmington	90,862	115,451	37,227	40.97 %	32.24 %
	Wrightsville Beach	90,862	2,473	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
19	Bald Head Island	91,041	268	268	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Boiling Spring Lakes	91,041	5,943	5,943	6.53 %	100.00 %
	Bolivia	91,041	149	149	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Carolina Beach	91,041	6,564	6,564	7.21 %	100.00 %
	Caswell Beach	91,041	395	395	0.43 %	100.00 %
	Holden Beach	91,041	921	921	1.01 %	100.00 %
	Kure Beach	91,041	2,191	2,191	2.41 %	100.00 %
	Oak Island	91,041	8,396	8,396	9.22 %	100.00 %
	Southport	91,041	3,971	3,971	4.36 %	100.00 %
	St. James	91,041	6,529	6,529	7.17 %	100.00 %
	Varnamtown	91,041	525	525	0.58 %	100.00 %
	Wilmington	91,041	115,451	8,207	9.01 %	7.11 %
	20	Wilmington	90,729	115,451	70,017	77.17 %
Wrightsville Beach		90,729	2,473	2,473	2.73 %	100.00 %
21	Apex	88,313	58,780	6	0.01 %	0.01 %
	Cary (Wake)	88,313	171,012	57,857	65.51 %	33.83 %
	Durham (Wake)	88,313	269	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Morrisville (Wake)	88,313	29,423	29,423	33.32 %	100.00 %
22	Autryville	88,642	167	167	0.19 %	100.00 %
	Bladenboro	88,642	1,648	1,648	1.86 %	100.00 %
	Clarkton	88,642	614	614	0.69 %	100.00 %
	Clinton	88,642	8,383	8,383	9.46 %	100.00 %
	Dublin	88,642	267	267	0.30 %	100.00 %
	East Arcadia	88,642	418	418	0.47 %	100.00 %
	Elizabethtown	88,642	3,296	3,296	3.72 %	100.00 %
	Faison (Sampson)	88,642	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Falcon (Sampson)	88,642	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Garland	88,642	595	595	0.67 %	100.00 %
	Harrells (Sampson)	88,642	160	160	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Newton Grove	88,642	585	585	0.66 %	100.00 %
	Roseboro	88,642	1,163	1,163	1.31 %	100.00 %
	Salemburg	88,642	457	457	0.52 %	100.00 %
	Tar Heel	88,642	90	90	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Turkey	88,642	213	213	0.24 %	100.00 %
White Lake	88,642	843	843	0.95 %	100.00 %	
23	Askewville	88,865	184	184	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Aulander	88,865	763	763	0.86 %	100.00 %

# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
23	Bear Grass	88,865	89	89	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Colerain	88,865	217	217	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Conetoe	88,865	198	198	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Everetts	88,865	150	150	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Hamilton	88,865	306	306	0.34 %	100.00 %
	Hassell	88,865	49	49	0.06 %	100.00 %
	Jamesville	88,865	424	424	0.48 %	100.00 %
	Kelford	88,865	203	203	0.23 %	100.00 %
	Leggett	88,865	37	37	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Lewiston Woodville	88,865	426	426	0.48 %	100.00 %
	Macclesfield	88,865	413	413	0.46 %	100.00 %
	Oak City	88,865	266	266	0.30 %	100.00 %
	Parmele	88,865	243	243	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Pinetops	88,865	1,200	1,200	1.35 %	100.00 %
	Powellsville	88,865	189	189	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Princeville	88,865	1,254	1,254	1.41 %	100.00 %
	Robersonville	88,865	1,269	1,269	1.43 %	100.00 %
	Rocky Mount (Edgecombe)	88,865	15,414	15,414	17.35 %	100.00 %
	Roxobel	88,865	187	187	0.21 %	100.00 %
	Sharpsburg (Edgecombe)	88,865	215	215	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Speed	88,865	63	63	0.07 %	100.00 %
Tarboro	88,865	10,721	10,721	12.06 %	100.00 %	
Whitakers (Edgecombe)	88,865	290	290	0.33 %	100.00 %	
Williamston	88,865	5,248	5,248	5.91 %	100.00 %	
Windsor	88,865	3,582	3,582	4.03 %	100.00 %	
24	Black Creek	83,322	692	692	0.83 %	100.00 %
	Elm City (Nash)	83,322	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Elm City (Wilson)	83,322	1,218	1,218	1.46 %	100.00 %
	Kenly (Wilson)	83,322	198	198	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Lucama	83,322	1,036	1,036	1.24 %	100.00 %
	Rocky Mount (Nash)	83,322	38,927	241	0.29 %	0.62 %
	Saratoga	83,322	353	353	0.42 %	100.00 %
	Sharpsburg (Nash)	83,322	1,061	1,061	1.27 %	100.00 %
	Sharpsburg (Wilson)	83,322	421	421	0.51 %	100.00 %
	Sims	83,322	275	275	0.33 %	100.00 %
	Stantonsburg	83,322	762	762	0.91 %	100.00 %
	Wilson	83,322	47,851	47,851	57.43 %	100.00 %
25	Bailey	90,432	568	568	0.63 %	100.00 %
	Castalia	90,432	264	264	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Dortches	90,432	1,082	1,082	1.20 %	100.00 %

# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
25	Middlesex	90,432	912	912	1.01 %	100.00 %
	Momeyer	90,432	277	277	0.31 %	100.00 %
	Nashville	90,432	5,632	5,632	6.23 %	100.00 %
	Red Oak	90,432	3,342	3,342	3.70 %	100.00 %
	Rocky Mount (Nash)	90,432	38,927	38,686	42.78 %	99.38 %
	Spring Hope	90,432	1,309	1,309	1.45 %	100.00 %
	Whitakers (Nash)	90,432	337	337	0.37 %	100.00 %
26	Archer Lodge	90,540	4,797	4,797	5.30 %	100.00 %
	Clayton (Johnston)	90,540	26,307	26,307	29.06 %	100.00 %
	Wilson's Mills	90,540	2,534	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Zebulon (Johnston)	90,540	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
27	Conway	84,735	752	752	0.89 %	100.00 %
	Enfield	84,735	1,865	1,865	2.20 %	100.00 %
	Garysburg	84,735	904	904	1.07 %	100.00 %
	Gaston	84,735	1,008	1,008	1.19 %	100.00 %
	Halifax	84,735	170	170	0.20 %	100.00 %
	Hobgood	84,735	268	268	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Jackson	84,735	430	430	0.51 %	100.00 %
	Lasker	84,735	64	64	0.08 %	100.00 %
	Littleton	84,735	559	559	0.66 %	100.00 %
	Macon	84,735	110	110	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Norlina	84,735	920	920	1.09 %	100.00 %
	Rich Square	84,735	894	894	1.06 %	100.00 %
	Roanoke Rapids	84,735	15,229	15,229	17.97 %	100.00 %
	Scotland Neck	84,735	1,640	1,640	1.94 %	100.00 %
	Seaboard	84,735	542	542	0.64 %	100.00 %
	Severn	84,735	191	191	0.23 %	100.00 %
	Warrenton	84,735	851	851	1.00 %	100.00 %
Weldon	84,735	1,444	1,444	1.70 %	100.00 %	
Woodland	84,735	557	557	0.66 %	100.00 %	
28	Benson (Johnston)	85,389	3,967	3,967	4.65 %	100.00 %
	Four Oaks	85,389	2,158	2,158	2.53 %	100.00 %
	Kenly (Johnston)	85,389	1,293	1,293	1.51 %	100.00 %
	Micro	85,389	458	458	0.54 %	100.00 %
	Pine Level	85,389	2,046	2,046	2.40 %	100.00 %
	Princeton	85,389	1,315	1,315	1.54 %	100.00 %
	Selma	85,389	6,317	6,317	7.40 %	100.00 %
	Smithfield	85,389	11,292	11,292	13.22 %	100.00 %
Wilson's Mills	85,389	2,534	2,534	2.97 %	100.00 %	
29	Chapel Hill (Durham)	90,900	2,906	2,906	3.20 %	100.00 %

# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
29	Durham (Durham)	90,900	283,093	85,000	93.51 %	30.03 %
30	Durham (Durham)	90,935	283,093	89,923	98.89 %	31.76 %
31	Durham (Durham)	90,999	283,093	75,366	82.82 %	26.62 %
	Morrisville (Durham)	90,999	207	207	0.23 %	100.00 %
	Raleigh (Durham)	90,999	1,559	1,559	1.71 %	100.00 %
32	Butner	85,704	8,397	8,397	9.80 %	100.00 %
	Henderson	85,704	15,060	15,060	17.57 %	100.00 %
	Kittrell	85,704	132	132	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Middleburg	85,704	101	101	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Oxford	85,704	8,628	8,628	10.07 %	100.00 %
	Stem	85,704	960	960	1.12 %	100.00 %
	Stovall	85,704	324	324	0.38 %	100.00 %
33	Clayton (Wake)	86,863	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Fuquay-Varina (Wake)	86,863	34,152	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Garner	86,863	31,159	30,658	35.29 %	98.39 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	86,863	466,106	21,874	25.18 %	4.69 %
34	Raleigh (Wake)	82,860	466,106	82,655	99.75 %	17.73 %
35	Raleigh (Wake)	86,765	466,106	16,132	18.59 %	3.46 %
	Rolesville	86,765	9,475	9,475	10.92 %	100.00 %
	Wake Forest (Wake)	86,765	46,097	46,097	53.13 %	100.00 %
36	Apex	89,336	58,780	45,473	50.90 %	77.36 %
	Cary (Wake)	89,336	171,012	8,272	9.26 %	4.84 %
	Holly Springs	89,336	41,239	25,760	28.83 %	62.47 %
37	Angier (Wake)	88,334	556	556	0.63 %	100.00 %
	Cary (Wake)	88,334	171,012	2,012	2.28 %	1.18 %
	Fuquay-Varina (Wake)	88,334	34,152	34,152	38.66 %	100.00 %
	Garner	88,334	31,159	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Holly Springs	88,334	41,239	14,124	15.99 %	34.25 %
38	Garner	90,560	31,159	11	0.01 %	0.04 %
	Knightdale	90,560	19,435	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	90,560	466,106	87,892	97.05 %	18.86 %
39	Clayton (Wake)	86,971	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Knightdale	86,971	19,435	19,435	22.35 %	100.00 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	86,971	466,106	11,902	13.69 %	2.55 %
	Wendell	86,971	9,793	9,793	11.26 %	100.00 %
	Zebulon (Wake)	86,971	6,903	6,903	7.94 %	100.00 %
40	Durham (Wake)	84,115	269	269	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	84,115	466,106	41,316	49.12 %	8.86 %
41	Apex	84,646	58,780	13,301	15.71 %	22.63 %
	Cary (Wake)	84,646	171,012	70,058	82.77 %	40.97 %



# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
41	Morrisville (Wake)	84,646	29,423	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	84,646	466,106	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
42	Fayetteville	84,462	208,501	67,330	79.72 %	32.29 %
	Spring Lake	84,462	11,660	11,660	13.81 %	100.00 %
43	Eastover	83,198	3,656	3,656	4.39 %	100.00 %
	Falcon (Cumberland)	83,198	324	324	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Fayetteville	83,198	208,501	35,621	42.81 %	17.08 %
	Godwin	83,198	128	128	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Linden	83,198	136	136	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Stedman	83,198	1,277	1,277	1.53 %	100.00 %
	Wade	83,198	638	638	0.77 %	100.00 %
44	Fayetteville	83,751	208,501	78,340	93.54 %	37.57 %
45	Fayetteville	83,317	208,501	27,210	32.66 %	13.05 %
	Hope Mills	83,317	17,808	17,808	21.37 %	100.00 %
46	Boardman	84,307	166	166	0.20 %	100.00 %
	Bolton	84,307	519	519	0.62 %	100.00 %
	Brunswick	84,307	973	973	1.15 %	100.00 %
	Cerro Gordo	84,307	131	131	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Chadbourn	84,307	1,574	1,574	1.87 %	100.00 %
	Fair Bluff	84,307	709	709	0.84 %	100.00 %
	Lake Waccamaw	84,307	1,296	1,296	1.54 %	100.00 %
	Lumber Bridge	84,307	82	82	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Lumberton	84,307	19,025	454	0.54 %	2.39 %
	Orrum	84,307	59	59	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Parkton	84,307	504	504	0.60 %	100.00 %
	Proctorville	84,307	121	121	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Sandyfield	84,307	430	430	0.51 %	100.00 %
	St. Pauls	84,307	2,045	2,045	2.43 %	100.00 %
	Tabor City	84,307	3,781	3,781	4.48 %	100.00 %
	Whiteville	84,307	4,766	4,766	5.65 %	100.00 %
47	Fairmont	82,846	2,191	2,191	2.64 %	100.00 %
	Lumberton	82,846	19,025	18,571	22.42 %	97.61 %
	Marietta	82,846	111	111	0.13 %	100.00 %
	Maxton (Robeson)	82,846	1,902	1,902	2.30 %	100.00 %
	McDonald	82,846	94	94	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Pembroke	82,846	2,823	2,823	3.41 %	100.00 %
	Raynham	82,846	60	60	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Red Springs (Robeson)	82,846	3,087	3,087	3.73 %	100.00 %
	Rennert	82,846	275	275	0.33 %	100.00 %
	Rowland	82,846	885	885	1.07 %	100.00 %

# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
48	East Laurinburg	86,256	234	234	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Gibson	86,256	449	449	0.52 %	100.00 %
	Laurinburg	86,256	14,978	14,978	17.36 %	100.00 %
	Maxton (Scotland)	86,256	208	208	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Raeford	86,256	4,559	4,559	5.29 %	100.00 %
	Red Springs (Hoke)	86,256	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Wagram	86,256	615	615	0.71 %	100.00 %
49	Cary (Wake)	90,415	171,012	17,512	19.37 %	10.24 %
	Durham (Wake)	90,415	269	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Raleigh (Wake)	90,415	466,106	69,909	77.32 %	15.00 %
50	Carrboro	85,776	21,295	2,848	3.32 %	13.37 %
	Durham (Orange)	85,776	144	144	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Hillsborough	85,776	9,660	9,660	11.26 %	100.00 %
	Mebane (Orange)	85,776	3,171	3,171	3.70 %	100.00 %
	Milton	85,776	155	155	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Yanceyville	85,776	1,937	1,937	2.26 %	100.00 %
51	Broadway (Lee)	83,073	1,267	1,267	1.53 %	100.00 %
	Cameron	83,073	244	244	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Carthage	83,073	2,775	2,747	3.31 %	98.99 %
	Sanford	83,073	30,261	30,261	36.43 %	100.00 %
	Vass	83,073	952	952	1.15 %	100.00 %
52	Aberdeen	84,383	8,516	8,516	10.09 %	100.00 %
	Carthage	84,383	2,775	28	0.03 %	1.01 %
	Dobbins Heights	84,383	687	687	0.81 %	100.00 %
	Ellerbe	84,383	864	864	1.02 %	100.00 %
	Foxfire	84,383	1,288	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Hamlet	84,383	6,025	6,025	7.14 %	100.00 %
	Hoffman	84,383	418	418	0.50 %	100.00 %
	Norman	84,383	100	100	0.12 %	100.00 %
	Pinebluff	84,383	1,473	1,473	1.75 %	100.00 %
	Pinehurst	84,383	17,581	8	0.01 %	0.05 %
	Rockingham	84,383	9,243	9,243	10.95 %	100.00 %
	Southern Pines	84,383	15,545	15,545	18.42 %	100.00 %
	Taylortown	84,383	634	4	0.00 %	0.63 %
	Whispering Pines	84,383	4,987	4,987	5.91 %	100.00 %
53	Angier (Harnett)	89,622	4,709	4,709	5.25 %	100.00 %
	Benson (Harnett)	89,622	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Coats	89,622	2,155	2,155	2.40 %	100.00 %
	Dunn	89,622	8,446	8,446	9.42 %	100.00 %
	Erwin	89,622	4,542	4,542	5.07 %	100.00 %

# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
53	Fuquay-Varina (Harnett)	89,622	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Lillington	89,622	4,735	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
54	Cary (Chatham)	83,475	3,709	3,709	4.44 %	100.00 %
	Goldston	83,475	234	234	0.28 %	100.00 %
	Liberty	83,475	2,655	2,655	3.18 %	100.00 %
	Pittsboro	83,475	4,537	4,537	5.44 %	100.00 %
	Siler City	83,475	7,702	7,702	9.23 %	100.00 %
	Staley	83,475	397	397	0.48 %	100.00 %
55	Ansonville	84,549	440	440	0.52 %	100.00 %
	Lilesville	84,549	395	395	0.47 %	100.00 %
	Marshville	84,549	2,522	2,522	2.98 %	100.00 %
	McFarlan	84,549	94	94	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Mineral Springs	84,549	3,159	193	0.23 %	6.11 %
	Monroe	84,549	34,562	20,688	24.47 %	59.86 %
	Morven	84,549	329	329	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Peachland	84,549	390	390	0.46 %	100.00 %
	Polkton	84,549	2,250	2,250	2.66 %	100.00 %
	Wadesboro	84,549	5,008	5,008	5.92 %	100.00 %
	Waxhaw	84,549	20,534	1,631	1.93 %	7.94 %
	Wingate	84,549	4,055	4,055	4.80 %	100.00 %
56	Carrboro	85,656	21,295	18,447	21.54 %	86.63 %
	Chapel Hill (Orange)	85,656	59,054	59,054	68.94 %	100.00 %
57	Greensboro	91,158	299,035	72,113	79.11 %	24.12 %
	Oak Ridge	91,158	7,474	29	0.03 %	0.39 %
	Summerfield	91,158	10,951	10,951	12.01 %	100.00 %
58	Greensboro	89,713	299,035	72,909	81.27 %	24.38 %
	High Point (Guilford)	89,713	107,321	2,538	2.83 %	2.36 %
	Jamestown	89,713	3,668	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Pleasant Garden	89,713	5,000	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
59	Burlington (Guilford)	87,559	1,822	1,822	2.08 %	100.00 %
	Gibsonville (Guilford)	87,559	4,642	4,642	5.30 %	100.00 %
	Greensboro	87,559	299,035	18,796	21.47 %	6.29 %
	Pleasant Garden	87,559	5,000	5,000	5.71 %	100.00 %
	Sedalia	87,559	676	676	0.77 %	100.00 %
	Whitsett	87,559	584	584	0.67 %	100.00 %
60	Archdale (Guilford)	90,919	380	380	0.42 %	100.00 %
	Greensboro	90,919	299,035	1,397	1.54 %	0.47 %
	High Point (Guilford)	90,919	107,321	77,884	85.66 %	72.57 %
	Jamestown	90,919	3,668	3,668	4.03 %	100.00 %
61	Greensboro	90,814	299,035	90,814	100.00 %	30.37 %

# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
62	Greensboro	91,136	299,035	43,006	47.19 %	14.38 %
	High Point (Guilford)	91,136	107,321	26,899	29.52 %	25.06 %
	Kernersville (Guilford)	91,136	502	502	0.55 %	100.00 %
	Oak Ridge	91,136	7,474	7,445	8.17 %	99.61 %
	Stokesdale	91,136	5,924	5,924	6.50 %	100.00 %
63	Burlington (Alamance)	83,540	55,481	20,671	24.74 %	37.26 %
	Graham	83,540	17,157	17,157	20.54 %	100.00 %
	Green Level	83,540	3,152	3,152	3.77 %	100.00 %
	Haw River	83,540	2,252	2,252	2.70 %	100.00 %
	Mebane (Alamance)	83,540	14,626	14,626	17.51 %	100.00 %
	Swepsonville	83,540	2,445	2,445	2.93 %	100.00 %
64	Alamance	87,875	988	988	1.12 %	100.00 %
	Burlington (Alamance)	87,875	55,481	34,810	39.61 %	62.74 %
	Elon	87,875	11,336	11,336	12.90 %	100.00 %
	Gibsonville (Alamance)	87,875	4,278	4,278	4.87 %	100.00 %
	Graham	87,875	17,157	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Ossipee	87,875	536	536	0.61 %	100.00 %
65	Eden	91,096	15,421	15,421	16.93 %	100.00 %
	Madison	91,096	2,129	2,129	2.34 %	100.00 %
	Mayodan	91,096	2,418	2,418	2.65 %	100.00 %
	Reidsville	91,096	14,583	14,583	16.01 %	100.00 %
	Stoneville	91,096	1,308	1,308	1.44 %	100.00 %
	Wentworth	91,096	2,662	2,662	2.92 %	100.00 %
66	Raleigh (Wake)	84,245	466,106	82,193	97.56 %	17.63 %
67	Albemarle	88,255	16,432	16,432	18.62 %	100.00 %
	Badin	88,255	2,024	2,024	2.29 %	100.00 %
	Biscoe	88,255	1,848	1,848	2.09 %	100.00 %
	Candor (Montgomery)	88,255	813	813	0.92 %	100.00 %
	Locust (Stanly)	88,255	3,996	3,996	4.53 %	100.00 %
	Misenheimer	88,255	650	650	0.74 %	100.00 %
	Mount Gilead	88,255	1,171	1,171	1.33 %	100.00 %
	New London	88,255	607	607	0.69 %	100.00 %
	Norwood	88,255	2,367	2,367	2.68 %	100.00 %
	Oakboro	88,255	2,128	2,128	2.41 %	100.00 %
	Red Cross	88,255	762	762	0.86 %	100.00 %
	Richfield	88,255	582	582	0.66 %	100.00 %
	Stanfield	88,255	1,585	1,585	1.80 %	100.00 %
	Star	88,255	806	806	0.91 %	100.00 %
68	Troy	88,255	2,850	2,850	3.23 %	100.00 %
68	Indian Trail	86,978	39,997	5,590	6.43 %	13.98 %

# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
68	Marvin	86,978	6,358	6,358	7.31 %	100.00 %
	Mineral Springs	86,978	3,159	2,966	3.41 %	93.89 %
	Monroe	86,978	34,562	1,511	1.74 %	4.37 %
	Stallings (Union)	86,978	15,728	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Waxhaw	86,978	20,534	18,903	21.73 %	92.06 %
	Weddington (Union)	86,978	13,176	13,172	15.14 %	99.97 %
	Wesley Chapel	86,978	8,681	8,449	9.71 %	97.33 %
69	Fairview	88,795	3,456	3,456	3.89 %	100.00 %
	Hemby Bridge	88,795	1,614	1,614	1.82 %	100.00 %
	Indian Trail	88,795	39,997	34,407	38.75 %	86.02 %
	Lake Park	88,795	3,269	3,269	3.68 %	100.00 %
	Mint Hill (Union)	88,795	6	6	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Monroe	88,795	34,562	12,363	13.92 %	35.77 %
	Stallings (Union)	88,795	15,728	15,728	17.71 %	100.00 %
	Unionville	88,795	6,643	6,643	7.48 %	100.00 %
	Weddington (Union)	88,795	13,176	4	0.00 %	0.03 %
	Wesley Chapel	88,795	8,681	232	0.26 %	2.67 %
70	Archdale (Randolph)	89,118	11,527	11,527	12.93 %	100.00 %
	Asheboro	89,118	27,156	25,890	29.05 %	95.34 %
	High Point (Randolph)	89,118	8	8	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Randleman	89,118	4,595	4,595	5.16 %	100.00 %
	Thomasville (Randolph)	89,118	521	521	0.58 %	100.00 %
	Trinity	89,118	7,006	7,006	7.86 %	100.00 %
71	Winston-Salem	83,748	249,545	81,468	97.28 %	32.65 %
72	Winston-Salem	83,262	249,545	83,262	100.00 %	33.37 %
73	Concord	89,909	105,240	27,131	30.18 %	25.78 %
	Harrisburg	89,909	18,967	18,967	21.10 %	100.00 %
	Locust (Cabarrus)	89,909	541	541	0.60 %	100.00 %
	Midland (Cabarrus)	89,909	4,684	4,684	5.21 %	100.00 %
	Mount Pleasant	89,909	1,671	1,671	1.86 %	100.00 %
74	Bethania	89,692	344	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Clemmons	89,692	21,163	21,163	23.60 %	100.00 %
	Lewisville	89,692	13,381	13,381	14.92 %	100.00 %
	Winston-Salem	89,692	249,545	40,157	44.77 %	16.09 %
75	High Point (Forsyth)	84,659	84	84	0.10 %	100.00 %
	Kernersville (Forsyth)	84,659	25,947	25,947	30.65 %	100.00 %
	Walkertown	84,659	5,692	4,257	5.03 %	74.79 %
	Winston-Salem	84,659	249,545	31,045	36.67 %	12.44 %
76	East Spencer	89,815	1,567	1,567	1.74 %	100.00 %
	Faith	89,815	819	819	0.91 %	100.00 %

# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
76	Granite Quarry	89,815	2,984	2,984	3.32 %	100.00 %
	Rockwell	89,815	2,302	2,302	2.56 %	100.00 %
	Salisbury	89,815	35,540	35,540	39.57 %	100.00 %
	Spencer	89,815	3,308	3,308	3.68 %	100.00 %
77	Bermuda Run	90,628	3,120	3,120	3.44 %	100.00 %
	Boonville	90,628	1,185	1,185	1.31 %	100.00 %
	Cleveland	90,628	846	846	0.93 %	100.00 %
	Cooleemee	90,628	940	940	1.04 %	100.00 %
	East Bend	90,628	634	634	0.70 %	100.00 %
	Jonesville	90,628	2,308	2,308	2.55 %	100.00 %
	Mocksville	90,628	5,900	5,900	6.51 %	100.00 %
	Yadkinville	90,628	2,995	2,995	3.30 %	100.00 %
78	Aberdeen	86,365	8,516	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Asheboro	86,365	27,156	1,266	1.47 %	4.66 %
	Candor (Moore)	86,365	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Foxfire	86,365	1,288	1,288	1.49 %	100.00 %
	Franklinville	86,365	1,197	1,197	1.39 %	100.00 %
	Pinehurst	86,365	17,581	17,573	20.35 %	99.95 %
	Ramseur	86,365	1,774	1,774	2.05 %	100.00 %
	Robbins	86,365	1,168	1,168	1.35 %	100.00 %
	Seagrove	86,365	235	235	0.27 %	100.00 %
	Southern Pines	86,365	15,545	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Taylortown	86,365	634	630	0.73 %	99.37 %
79	Alliance	83,163	733	733	0.88 %	100.00 %
	Arapahoe	83,163	416	416	0.50 %	100.00 %
	Aurora	83,163	455	455	0.55 %	100.00 %
	Bath	83,163	245	245	0.29 %	100.00 %
	Bayboro	83,163	1,161	1,161	1.40 %	100.00 %
	Belhaven	83,163	1,410	1,410	1.70 %	100.00 %
	Chocowinity	83,163	722	722	0.87 %	100.00 %
	Grantsboro	83,163	692	692	0.83 %	100.00 %
	Kill Devil Hills	83,163	7,656	538	0.65 %	7.03 %
	Manteo	83,163	1,600	1,600	1.92 %	100.00 %
	Mesic	83,163	144	144	0.17 %	100.00 %
	Minnesott Beach	83,163	530	530	0.64 %	100.00 %
	Nags Head	83,163	3,168	3,168	3.81 %	100.00 %
	Oriental	83,163	880	880	1.06 %	100.00 %
	Pantego	83,163	164	164	0.20 %	100.00 %
Stonewall	83,163	214	214	0.26 %	100.00 %	
Vandemere	83,163	246	246	0.30 %	100.00 %	

# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
79	Washington	83,163	9,875	9,875	11.87 %	100.00 %
	Washington Park	83,163	392	392	0.47 %	100.00 %
80	Denton	84,864	1,494	1,494	1.76 %	100.00 %
	High Point (Davidson)	84,864	6,646	6,646	7.83 %	100.00 %
	Lexington	84,864	19,632	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Midway	84,864	4,742	3,469	4.09 %	73.15 %
	Thomasville (Davidson)	84,864	26,662	26,662	31.42 %	100.00 %
	Wallburg	84,864	3,051	3,051	3.60 %	100.00 %
81	Lexington	84,066	19,632	19,632	23.35 %	100.00 %
	Midway	84,066	4,742	1,273	1.51 %	26.85 %
82	Concord	91,069	105,240	58,729	64.49 %	55.80 %
	Kannapolis (Cabarrus)	91,069	42,846	29,011	31.86 %	67.71 %
83	China Grove	91,184	4,434	4,434	4.86 %	100.00 %
	Concord	91,184	105,240	19,380	21.25 %	18.42 %
	Kannapolis (Cabarrus)	91,184	42,846	13,835	15.17 %	32.29 %
	Kannapolis (Rowan)	91,184	10,268	10,268	11.26 %	100.00 %
	Landis	91,184	3,690	3,690	4.05 %	100.00 %
84	Harmony	85,875	543	543	0.63 %	100.00 %
	Love Valley	85,875	154	154	0.18 %	100.00 %
	Statesville	85,875	28,419	28,415	33.09 %	99.99 %
	Troutman	85,875	3,698	885	1.03 %	23.93 %
85	Bakersville	89,525	450	450	0.50 %	100.00 %
	Banner Elk	89,525	1,049	1,049	1.17 %	100.00 %
	Beech Mountain (Avery)	89,525	62	62	0.07 %	100.00 %
	Burnsville	89,525	1,614	1,614	1.80 %	100.00 %
	Crossnore	89,525	143	143	0.16 %	100.00 %
	Elk Park	89,525	542	542	0.61 %	100.00 %
	Grandfather Village	89,525	95	95	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Marion	89,525	7,717	7,717	8.62 %	100.00 %
	Newland	89,525	715	715	0.80 %	100.00 %
	Old Fort	89,525	811	811	0.91 %	100.00 %
	Seven Devils (Avery)	89,525	38	38	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Spruce Pine	89,525	2,194	2,194	2.45 %	100.00 %
	Sugar Mountain	89,525	371	371	0.41 %	100.00 %
	86	Connelly Springs	87,570	1,529	1,529	1.75 %
Drexel		87,570	1,760	1,760	2.01 %	100.00 %
Glen Alpine		87,570	1,529	1,529	1.75 %	100.00 %
Hickory (Burke)		87,570	79	79	0.09 %	100.00 %
Hildebran		87,570	1,679	1,679	1.92 %	100.00 %
Long View (Burke)		87,570	735	735	0.84 %	100.00 %

# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
86	Morganton	87,570	17,474	17,474	19.95 %	100.00 %
	Rhodhiss (Burke)	87,570	639	639	0.73 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford College (Burke)	87,570	1,226	1,226	1.40 %	100.00 %
	Valdese	87,570	4,689	4,689	5.35 %	100.00 %
87	Blowing Rock (Caldwell)	85,009	91	91	0.11 %	100.00 %
	Blowing Rock (Watauga)	85,009	1,285	5	0.01 %	0.39 %
	Boone	85,009	19,092	595	0.70 %	3.12 %
	Cajah's Mountain	85,009	2,722	2,722	3.20 %	100.00 %
	Cedar Rock	85,009	301	301	0.35 %	100.00 %
	Gamewell	85,009	3,702	3,702	4.35 %	100.00 %
	Granite Falls	85,009	4,965	4,965	5.84 %	100.00 %
	Hickory (Caldwell)	85,009	32	32	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Hudson	85,009	3,780	3,780	4.45 %	100.00 %
	Lenoir	85,009	18,352	18,352	21.59 %	100.00 %
	Rhodhiss (Caldwell)	85,009	358	358	0.42 %	100.00 %
	Rutherford College (Caldwell)	85,009	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Sawmills	85,009	5,020	5,020	5.91 %	100.00 %
88	Charlotte	83,209	874,579	83,209	100.00 %	9.51 %
89	Catawba	85,577	702	702	0.82 %	100.00 %
	Claremont	85,577	1,692	1,692	1.98 %	100.00 %
	Conover	85,577	8,421	424	0.50 %	5.04 %
	Hickory (Catawba)	85,577	43,379	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Maiden (Catawba)	85,577	3,736	3,736	4.37 %	100.00 %
	Newton	85,577	13,148	13,148	15.36 %	100.00 %
	Statesville	85,577	28,419	4	0.00 %	0.01 %
	Troutman	85,577	3,698	2,813	3.29 %	76.07 %
90	Dobson	82,937	1,462	1,462	1.76 %	100.00 %
	Elkin (Surry)	82,937	4,049	4,049	4.88 %	100.00 %
	Elkin (Wilkes)	82,937	73	73	0.09 %	100.00 %
	Mount Airy	82,937	10,676	10,676	12.87 %	100.00 %
	Pilot Mountain	82,937	1,440	1,440	1.74 %	100.00 %
	Ronda	82,937	438	438	0.53 %	100.00 %
91	Bethania	85,749	344	344	0.40 %	100.00 %
	Danbury	85,749	189	189	0.22 %	100.00 %
	King (Forsyth)	85,749	591	591	0.69 %	100.00 %
	King (Stokes)	85,749	6,606	6,606	7.70 %	100.00 %
	Rural Hall	85,749	3,351	3,351	3.91 %	100.00 %
	Tobaccoville (Forsyth)	85,749	2,578	2,578	3.01 %	100.00 %
	Tobaccoville (Stokes)	85,749	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Walkertown	85,749	5,692	1,435	1.67 %	25.21 %



# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
91	Walnut Cove	85,749	1,586	1,586	1.85 %	100.00 %
	Winston-Salem	85,749	249,545	13,613	15.88 %	5.46 %
92	Charlotte	85,088	874,579	63,700	74.86 %	7.28 %
93	Beech Mountain (Watauga)	87,194	613	613	0.70 %	100.00 %
	Blowing Rock (Watauga)	87,194	1,285	1,280	1.47 %	99.61 %
	Boone	87,194	19,092	18,497	21.21 %	96.88 %
	Jefferson	87,194	1,622	1,622	1.86 %	100.00 %
	Lansing	87,194	126	126	0.14 %	100.00 %
	Seven Devils (Watauga)	87,194	275	275	0.32 %	100.00 %
	Sparta	87,194	1,834	1,834	2.10 %	100.00 %
	West Jefferson	87,194	1,279	1,279	1.47 %	100.00 %
94	North Wilkesboro	90,835	4,382	4,382	4.82 %	100.00 %
	Taylorsville	90,835	2,320	2,320	2.55 %	100.00 %
	Wilkesboro	90,835	3,687	3,687	4.06 %	100.00 %
95	Davidson (Iredell)	86,264	378	378	0.44 %	100.00 %
	Mooresville	86,264	50,193	50,193	58.19 %	100.00 %
96	Brookford	89,587	442	442	0.49 %	100.00 %
	Conover	89,587	8,421	7,997	8.93 %	94.96 %
	Hickory (Catawba)	89,587	43,379	43,379	48.42 %	100.00 %
	Long View (Catawba)	89,587	4,353	4,353	4.86 %	100.00 %
	Newton	89,587	13,148	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
97	Lincolnton	86,810	11,091	11,091	12.78 %	100.00 %
	Maiden (Lincoln)	86,810	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
98	Cornelius	82,669	31,412	31,412	38.00 %	100.00 %
	Davidson (Mecklenburg)	82,669	14,728	14,728	17.82 %	100.00 %
	Huntersville	82,669	61,376	34,762	42.05 %	56.64 %
99	Charlotte	86,649	874,579	82,516	95.23 %	9.43 %
100	Charlotte	84,206	874,579	84,206	100.00 %	9.63 %
101	Charlotte	87,644	874,579	76,712	87.53 %	8.77 %
102	Charlotte	87,483	874,579	87,483	100.00 %	10.00 %
103	Charlotte	88,259	874,579	57,696	65.37 %	6.60 %
	Matthews	88,259	29,435	29,435	33.35 %	100.00 %
	Stallings (Mecklenburg)	88,259	384	11	0.01 %	2.86 %
	Weddington (Mecklenburg)	88,259	5	5	0.01 %	100.00 %
104	Charlotte	82,781	874,579	82,781	100.00 %	9.47 %
	Pineville	82,781	10,602	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
105	Charlotte	84,101	874,579	69,435	82.56 %	7.94 %
	Pineville	84,101	10,602	10,602	12.61 %	100.00 %
106	Charlotte	86,758	874,579	59,599	68.70 %	6.81 %
	Huntersville	86,758	61,376	20,721	23.88 %	33.76 %

# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
107	Charlotte	86,497	874,579	75,124	86.85 %	8.59 %
	Huntersville	86,497	61,376	5,893	6.81 %	9.60 %
108	Belmont	84,457	15,010	6,006	7.11 %	40.01 %
	Cramerton	84,457	5,296	123	0.15 %	2.32 %
	Dallas	84,457	5,927	5,927	7.02 %	100.00 %
	Gastonia	84,457	80,411	9,831	11.64 %	12.23 %
	Lowell	84,457	3,654	3,654	4.33 %	100.00 %
	McAdenville	84,457	890	890	1.05 %	100.00 %
	Mount Holly	84,457	17,703	17,703	20.96 %	100.00 %
	Ranlo	84,457	4,511	4,511	5.34 %	100.00 %
	Spencer Mountain	84,457	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Stanley	84,457	3,963	3,963	4.69 %	100.00 %
109	Belmont	87,462	15,010	9,004	10.29 %	59.99 %
	Cramerton	87,462	5,296	5,173	5.91 %	97.68 %
	Gastonia	87,462	80,411	52,909	60.49 %	65.80 %
	Lowell	87,462	3,654	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
110	Belwood	89,588	857	857	0.96 %	100.00 %
	Bessemer City	89,588	5,428	5,428	6.06 %	100.00 %
	Casar	89,588	305	305	0.34 %	100.00 %
	Cherryville	89,588	6,078	6,078	6.78 %	100.00 %
	Dellview	89,588	6	6	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Fallston	89,588	627	627	0.70 %	100.00 %
	Gastonia	89,588	80,411	17,671	19.72 %	21.98 %
	High Shoals	89,588	595	595	0.66 %	100.00 %
	Kings Mountain (Cleveland)	89,588	10,032	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Kings Mountain (Gaston)	89,588	1,110	1,110	1.24 %	100.00 %
	Kingstown	89,588	656	656	0.73 %	100.00 %
	Lattimore	89,588	406	406	0.45 %	100.00 %
	Lawndale	89,588	570	570	0.64 %	100.00 %
	Polkville	89,588	516	516	0.58 %	100.00 %
	Shelby	89,588	21,918	4,432	4.95 %	20.22 %
Waco	89,588	310	310	0.35 %	100.00 %	
111	Boiling Springs	90,809	4,615	4,615	5.08 %	100.00 %
	Bostic	90,809	355	355	0.39 %	100.00 %
	Earl	90,809	198	198	0.22 %	100.00 %
	Ellenboro	90,809	723	723	0.80 %	100.00 %
	Forest City	90,809	7,377	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Grover	90,809	802	802	0.88 %	100.00 %
	Kings Mountain (Cleveland)	90,809	10,032	10,032	11.05 %	100.00 %
	Mooresboro	90,809	293	293	0.32 %	100.00 %

# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
111	Patterson Springs	90,809	571	571	0.63 %	100.00 %
	Shelby	90,809	21,918	17,486	19.26 %	79.78 %
112	Charlotte	90,138	874,579	52,118	57.82 %	5.96 %
	Midland (Mecklenburg)	90,138	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Mint Hill (Mecklenburg)	90,138	26,444	26,444	29.34 %	100.00 %
	Stallings (Mecklenburg)	90,138	384	373	0.41 %	97.14 %
113	Chimney Rock Village	91,102	140	140	0.15 %	100.00 %
	Columbus	91,102	1,060	1,060	1.16 %	100.00 %
	Flat Rock	91,102	3,486	3,486	3.83 %	100.00 %
	Forest City	91,102	7,377	7,377	8.10 %	100.00 %
	Hendersonville	91,102	15,137	731	0.80 %	4.83 %
	Lake Lure	91,102	1,365	1,365	1.50 %	100.00 %
	Marion	91,102	7,717	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Ruth	91,102	347	347	0.38 %	100.00 %
	Rutherfordton	91,102	3,640	3,640	4.00 %	100.00 %
	Saluda (Henderson)	91,102	11	11	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Saluda (Polk)	91,102	620	620	0.68 %	100.00 %
	Spindale	91,102	4,225	4,225	4.64 %	100.00 %
	Tryon	91,102	1,562	1,562	1.71 %	100.00 %
	114	Asheville	89,016	94,589	43,664	49.05 %
Black Mountain		89,016	8,426	8,426	9.47 %	100.00 %
Montreat		89,016	901	901	1.01 %	100.00 %
115	Asheville	89,565	94,589	24,831	27.72 %	26.25 %
	Biltmore Forest	89,565	1,409	1,409	1.57 %	100.00 %
116	Asheville	90,871	94,589	26,094	28.72 %	27.59 %
	Weaverville	90,871	4,567	4,567	5.03 %	100.00 %
	Woodfin	90,871	7,936	7,936	8.73 %	100.00 %
117	Flat Rock	90,329	3,486	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	Fletcher	90,329	7,987	7,987	8.84 %	100.00 %
	Hendersonville	90,329	15,137	14,406	15.95 %	95.17 %
	Laurel Park	90,329	2,250	2,250	2.49 %	100.00 %
	Mills River	90,329	7,078	7,078	7.84 %	100.00 %
118	Canton	83,282	4,422	4,422	5.31 %	100.00 %
	Clyde	83,282	1,368	1,368	1.64 %	100.00 %
	Hot Springs	83,282	520	520	0.62 %	100.00 %
	Maggie Valley	83,282	1,687	1,687	2.03 %	100.00 %
	Mars Hill	83,282	2,007	2,007	2.41 %	100.00 %
	Marshall	83,282	777	777	0.93 %	100.00 %
	Waynesville	83,282	10,140	10,140	12.18 %	100.00 %
119	Brevard	90,212	7,744	7,744	8.58 %	100.00 %

# District - Municipality by County Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	Municipality	Total District Population	Total Muni Population	District Pop in Muni	Percent of District Pop in Muni	Percent of Muni Pop in District
119	Bryson City	90,212	1,558	1,558	1.73 %	100.00 %
	Dillsboro	90,212	213	213	0.24 %	100.00 %
	Forest Hills	90,212	303	303	0.34 %	100.00 %
	Highlands (Jackson)	90,212	12	12	0.01 %	100.00 %
	Rosman	90,212	701	701	0.78 %	100.00 %
	Sylva	90,212	2,578	2,578	2.86 %	100.00 %
	Webster	90,212	372	372	0.41 %	100.00 %
120	Andrews	84,907	1,667	1,667	1.96 %	100.00 %
	Fontana Dam	84,907	13	13	0.02 %	100.00 %
	Franklin	84,907	4,175	4,175	4.92 %	100.00 %
	Hayesville	84,907	461	461	0.54 %	100.00 %
	Highlands (Macon)	84,907	1,060	1,060	1.25 %	100.00 %
	Lake Santeetlah	84,907	38	38	0.04 %	100.00 %
	Murphy	84,907	1,608	1,608	1.89 %	100.00 %
	Robbinsville	84,907	597	597	0.70 %	100.00 %
<b>Total:</b>				<b>6,017,605</b>		

Total Districts Assigned: 120

Total Municipalities (by County) Statewide: 614

Fully Assigned Municipalities: 614

Partially Assigned Municipalities: 0

Fully Unassigned Municipalities: 0

Split Municipalities: 76

Splits Involving Population: 49

# Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
1	Chowan	6	0
	Currituck	11	0
	Dare	3	1
	Perquimans	7	0
	Tyrrell	6	0
	Washington	6	0
2	Durham	8	0
	Person	11	0
3	Craven	19	1
4	Duplin	19	0
	Wayne	6	2
5	Camden	3	0
	Gates	6	0
	Hertford	13	0
	Pasquotank	9	0
6	Harnett	7	0
7	Franklin	18	0
	Granville	2	1
8	Pitt	22	0
9	Pitt	18	0
10	Wayne	20	2
11	Wake	13	0
12	Greene	10	0
	Jones	7	0
	Lenoir	22	0
13	Carteret	28	0
	Craven	1	1
14	Onslow	9	0
15	Onslow	11	0
16	Onslow	4	0
	Pender	20	0
17	Brunswick	14	0
18	New Hanover	17	0
19	Brunswick	11	0
	New Hanover	7	0
20	New Hanover	19	0
21	Wake	9	0
22	Bladen	17	0
	Sampson	23	0
23	Bertie	12	0
	Edgecombe	21	0
	Martin	13	0

**Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report****District Plan: H980 Second Edition**

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
24	Nash	1	0
	Wilson	24	0
25	Nash	23	0
26	Johnston	12	0
27	Halifax	23	0
	Northampton	13	0
	Warren	14	0
28	Johnston	18	0
29	Durham	16	0
30	Durham	21	0
31	Durham	12	0
32	Granville	12	1
	Vance	12	0
33	Wake	15	0
34	Wake	27	0
35	Wake	14	0
36	Wake	12	0
37	Wake	12	0
38	Wake	18	0
39	Wake	13	0
40	Wake	18	0
41	Wake	20	0
42	Cumberland	12	0
43	Cumberland	21	0
44	Cumberland	28	0
45	Cumberland	15	0
46	Columbus	26	0
	Robeson	9	1
47	Robeson	29	1
48	Hoke	15	0
	Scotland	7	0
49	Wake	18	0
50	Caswell	9	0
	Orange	18	0
51	Lee	10	0
	Moore	4	0
52	Moore	10	0
	Richmond	16	0
53	Harnett	6	0
	Johnston	6	0
54	Chatham	18	0
	Randolph	2	0

# Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
55	Anson	9	0
	Union	19	0
56	Orange	23	0
57	Guilford	27	0
58	Guilford	25	0
59	Guilford	23	0
60	Guilford	30	0
61	Guilford	31	0
62	Guilford	29	0
63	Alamance	16	0
64	Alamance	21	0
65	Rockingham	15	0
66	Wake	15	0
67	Montgomery	14	0
	Stanly	22	0
68	Union	14	0
69	Union	19	0
70	Randolph	12	0
71	Forsyth	24	0
72	Forsyth	28	0
73	Cabarrus	17	0
74	Forsyth	20	0
75	Forsyth	18	0
76	Rowan	25	0
77	Davie	14	0
	Rowan	5	0
	Yadkin	12	0
78	Moore	12	0
	Randolph	8	0
79	Beaufort	21	0
	Dare	12	1
	Hyde	7	0
	Pamlico	10	0
80	Davidson	22	0
81	Davidson	21	0
82	Cabarrus	18	0
83	Cabarrus	5	0
	Rowan	11	0
84	Iredell	18	1
85	Avery	19	0
	McDowell	15	0
	Mitchell	9	0
	Yancey	11	0

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Based on TIGER 2020 VTDs

[G20-VTD-Sbd] - Generated 2/16/2022

**Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report****District Plan: H980 Second Edition**

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
86	Burke	33	0
87	Caldwell	20	0
	Watauga	1	0
88	Mecklenburg	19	0
89	Catawba	17	0
	Iredell	2	0
90	Surry	24	0
	Wilkes	6	0
91	Forsyth	11	0
	Stokes	18	0
92	Mecklenburg	8	0
93	Alleghany	4	0
	Ashe	17	0
	Watauga	19	0
94	Alexander	10	0
	Wilkes	21	0
95	Iredell	8	1
96	Catawba	23	0
97	Lincoln	23	0
98	Mecklenburg	9	1
99	Mecklenburg	13	0
100	Mecklenburg	22	0
101	Mecklenburg	14	0
102	Mecklenburg	19	0
103	Mecklenburg	17	0
104	Mecklenburg	24	0
105	Mecklenburg	13	0
106	Mecklenburg	9	1
107	Mecklenburg	11	0
108	Gaston	16	0
109	Gaston	17	0
110	Cleveland	10	0
	Gaston	13	0
111	Cleveland	11	0
	Rutherford	6	0
112	Mecklenburg	16	0
113	Henderson	7	0
	McDowell	2	0
	Polk	7	0
	Rutherford	11	0
114	Buncombe	34	0
115	Buncombe	20	0
116	Buncombe	25	0

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Based on TIGER 2020 VTDs

[G20-VTD-Sbd] - Generated 2/16/2022



# Whole-Split VTD Counts by District Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

District	County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
117	Henderson	27	0
118	Haywood	29	0
	Madison	12	0
119	Jackson	13	0
	Swain	5	0
	Transylvania	15	0
120	Cherokee	16	0
	Clay	9	0
	Graham	4	0
	Macon	15	0
<b>Total:</b>		<b>2,658</b>	

Total Districts Assigned: 120  
 Total VTDs Statewide: 2666  
 Fully Assigned VTDs: 2666  
 Partially Assigned VTDs: 0  
 Fully Unassigned VTDs: 0  
 Split VTDs: 8  
 Splits Involving Population: 8

**Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report****District Plan: H980 Second Edition**

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Alamance	37	0
Alexander	10	0
Alleghany	4	0
Anson	9	0
Ashe	17	0
Avery	19	0
Beaufort	21	0
Bertie	12	0
Bladen	17	0
Brunswick	25	0
Buncombe	79	0
Burke	33	0
Cabarrus	40	0
Caldwell	20	0
Camden	3	0
Carteret	28	0
Caswell	9	0
Catawba	40	0
Chatham	18	0
Cherokee	16	0
Chowan	6	0
Clay	9	0
Cleveland	21	0
Columbus	26	0
Craven	20	1
Cumberland	76	0
Currituck	11	0
Dare	15	1
Davidson	43	0
Davie	14	0
Duplin	19	0
Durham	57	0
Edgecombe	21	0
Forsyth	101	0
Franklin	18	0
Gaston	46	0
Gates	6	0
Graham	4	0
Granville	14	1
Greene	10	0
Guilford	165	0
Halifax	23	0
Harnett	13	0

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Based on TIGER 2020 VTDs

[G20-VTD-Sbc] - Generated 2/16/2022

**Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report****District Plan: H980 Second Edition**

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Haywood	29	0
Henderson	34	0
Hertford	13	0
Hoke	15	0
Hyde	7	0
Iredell	28	1
Jackson	13	0
Johnston	36	0
Jones	7	0
Lee	10	0
Lenoir	22	0
Lincoln	23	0
Macon	15	0
Madison	12	0
Martin	13	0
McDowell	17	0
Mecklenburg	194	1
Mitchell	9	0
Montgomery	14	0
Moore	26	0
Nash	24	0
New Hanover	43	0
Northampton	13	0
Onslow	24	0
Orange	41	0
Pamlico	10	0
Pasquotank	9	0
Pender	20	0
Perquimans	7	0
Person	11	0
Pitt	40	0
Polk	7	0
Randolph	22	0
Richmond	16	0
Robeson	38	1
Rockingham	15	0
Rowan	41	0
Rutherford	17	0
Sampson	23	0
Scotland	7	0
Stanly	22	0
Stokes	18	0
Surry	24	0

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Based on TIGER 2020 VTDs

[G20-VTD-Sbc] - Generated 2/16/2022

# Whole-Split VTD Counts by County Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

County	Whole VTDs	Split VTDs
Swain	5	0
Transylvania	15	0
Tyrrell	6	0
Union	52	0
Vance	12	0
Wake	204	0
Warren	14	0
Washington	6	0
Watauga	20	0
Wayne	26	2
Wilkes	27	0
Wilson	24	0
Yadkin	12	0
Yancey	11	0
<b>Totals:</b>	<b>2,658</b>	<b>8</b>

Total VTDs Statewide: 2666

Fully Assigned VTDs: 2666

Partially Assigned VTDs: 0

Fully Unassigned VTDs: 0

Total Districts Assigned: 120

Split VTDs: 8

Splits Involving Population: 8

# Split VTD Detail Report

## District Plan: H980 Second Edition

County	VTD	District	Total VTD Population	VTD Pop in District	Percent of VTD Pop in District
Craven	002	3	18,203	6,483	35.62 %
		13	18,203	11,720	64.38 %
Dare	KDH	1	7,656	7,118	92.97 %
		79	7,656	538	7.03 %
Granville	CRDM	7	7,211	2,929	40.62 %
		32	7,211	4,282	59.38 %
Iredell	BA	84	7,790	6,892	88.47 %
		95	7,790	898	11.53 %
Mecklenburg	133	98	7,343	5,129	69.85 %
		106	7,343	2,214	30.15 %
Robeson	030	46	4,728	4,453	94.18 %
		47	4,728	275	5.82 %
Wayne	015	4	5,882	5,197	88.35 %
		10	5,882	685	11.65 %
	016	4	3,810	1,720	45.14 %
		10	3,810	2,090	54.86 %
<b>Assigned Geography Total:</b>				<b>62,623</b>	

Total VTDs Statewide: 2666

Fully Assigned VTDs: 2666

Partially Assigned VTDs: 0

Fully Unassigned VTDs: 0

Total Districts Assigned: 120

Split VTDs: 8

Splits Involving Population: 8

**Incumbent-District Report****District Plan: H980 Second Edition****Residence Set: NC House - 2/10/2022**

Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District	District in this Plan
Adams	James	Republican	96	96
Adcock	Gale	Democratic	41	41
Ager	John	Democratic	115	115
Alexander	Kelly	Democratic	107	107
Alston	Vernetta	Democratic	29	29
Arp	Larry	Republican	69	69
Autry	Johnnie	Democratic	100	100
Baker	Amber	Democratic	72	72
Baker	Kristin	Republican	82	82
Ball	Cynthia	Democratic	49	49
Belk	Mary	Democratic	88	88
Bell	John	Republican	10	10
Blackwell	Hugh	Republican	86	86
Boles	James	Republican	52	52
Bradford	John	Republican	98	98
Brisson	William	Republican	22	22
Brockman	Cecil	Democratic	60	60
Brody	Mark	Republican	55	55
Brown	Terry	Democratic	92	92
Butler	Deborah	Democratic	18	18
Carney	Becky	Democratic	102	102
Clampitt	James	Republican	119	119
Clemmons	Ashton	Democratic	57	57
Cleveland	George	Republican	14	14
Cooper-Suggs	Linda	Democratic	24	24
Cunningham	Carla	Democratic	106	106
Dahle	Allison	Democratic	11	11
Davis	Robert	Republican	20	20
Dixon	James	Republican	4	4
Elmore	Jeffrey	Republican	94	94
Everitt	Terence	Democratic	35	35
Faircloth	Joseph	Republican	62	62
Farkas	Brian	Democratic	9	9
Gailliard	James	Democratic	25	25
Garrison	Terry	Democratic	32	32
Gill	Rosa	Democratic	33	33
Gillespie	Karl	Republican	120	120
Goodwin	Edward	Republican	1	1
Graham	Charles	Democratic	47	47
Greene	Edwin	Republican	85	85
Hall	Destin	Republican	87	87
Hall	Kyle	Republican	91	91

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Row shading indicates that the district in this plan is shared by more than one incumbent.

[G20-IncDist] - Generated 2/16/2022

**Incumbent-District Report****District Plan: H980 Second Edition****Residence Set: NC House - 2/10/2022**

Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District	District in this Plan
Hanig	Robert	Republican	6	1
Hardister	Jonathan	Republican	59	59
Harris	Wesley	Democratic	105	105
Harrison	Mary	Democratic	61	61
Hastings	Kelly	Republican	110	110
Hawkins	Zack	Democratic	31	31
Howard	Julia	Republican	77	77
Humphrey	Thomas	Republican	12	12
Hunt	Rachel	Democratic	103	103
Hunter	Howard	Democratic	5	5
Hurley	Patricia	Republican	70	70
Hurtado	Ricardo	Democratic	63	63
Iler	Francis	Republican	17	17
Insko	Verla	Democratic	56	56
John	Joseph	Democratic	40	40
Johnson	Jake	Republican	113	113
Jones	Abraham	Democratic	38	38
Jones	Brenden	Republican	46	46
Kidwell	Keith	Republican	79	79
Lambeth	Donny	Republican	75	75
Loftis	Donnie	Republican	109	109
Lofton	Brandon	Democratic	104	104
Logan	Carolyn	Democratic	101	101
Lucas	Marvin	Democratic	42	42
Majeed	Nasif	Democratic	99	99
Martin	David	Democratic	34	34
McElraft	Patricia	Republican	13	13
McNeely	Jeffrey	Republican	84	84
McNeill	Allen	Republican	78	78
Meyer	Graig	Democratic	50	50
Miller	Charles	Republican	19	19
Mills	Paul	Republican	95	95
Moffitt	Timothy	Republican	117	117
Moore	Timothy	Republican	111	111
Morey	Marcia	Democratic	30	30
Moss	Ben	Republican	66	52
Paré	Erin	Republican	37	37
Penny	Howard	Republican	53	53
Pickett	Phillip	Republican	93	93
Pierce	Garland	Democratic	48	48
Pittman	Larry	Republican	83	82
Pless	Steven	Republican	118	118

District plan definition file: 'H980 Second Edition.csv', modified 2/16/2022 3:14 PM

Row shading indicates that the district in this plan is shared by more than one incumbent.

[G20-IncDist] - Generated 2/16/2022

**Incumbent-District Report****District Plan: H980 Second Edition****Residence Set: NC House - 2/10/2022**

Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District	District in this Plan
Potts	Larry	Republican	81	81
Pyrtle	Armor	Republican	65	65
Quick	Amos	Democratic	58	58
Reives	Robert	Democratic	54	54
Richardson	William	Democratic	44	44
Riddell	Dennis	Republican	64	64
Roberson	James	Democratic	39	39
Rogers	David	Republican	112	113
Rudow	Caleb	Democratic	114	116
Saine	Jason	Republican	97	97
Sasser	Clayton	Republican	67	67
Sauls	John	Republican	51	51
Setzer	Mitchell	Republican	89	89
Shepard	Phillip	Republican	15	15
Smith	Carson	Republican	16	16
Smith	Kandie	Democratic	8	8
Smith	Raymond	Democratic	21	10
Stevens	Sarah	Republican	90	90
Strickland	Larry	Republican	28	28
Szoka	John	Republican	45	45
Terry	Evelyn	Democratic	71	71
Torbett	John	Republican	108	108
Turner	Brian	Democratic	116	115
Tyson	John	Republican	3	3
von Haefen	Julie	Democratic	36	36
Warren	Harry	Republican	76	76
Watford	Samuel	Republican	80	80
Wheatley	Diane	Republican	43	43
White	Donna	Republican	26	26
Willingham	Shelly	Democratic	23	23
Willis	David	Republican	68	68
Winslow	Matthew	Republican	7	7
Wray	Michael	Democratic	27	27
Yarborough	Lawrence	Republican	2	2
Zachary	Walter	Republican	73	77
Zenger	Jeffrey	Republican	74	74



# District-Incumbent Report

**District Plan: H980 Second Edition**

**Residence Set: NC House - 2/10/2022**

District in this Plan	Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District
1	Goodwin	Edward	Republican	1
	Hanig	Robert	Republican	6
2	Yarborough	Lawrence	Republican	2
3	Tyson	John	Republican	3
4	Dixon	James	Republican	4
5	Hunter	Howard	Democratic	5
6				
7	Winslow	Matthew	Republican	7
8	Smith	Kandie	Democratic	8
9	Farkas	Brian	Democratic	9
10	Bell	John	Republican	10
	Smith	Raymond	Democratic	21
11	Dahle	Allison	Democratic	11
12	Humphrey	Thomas	Republican	12
13	McElraft	Patricia	Republican	13
14	Cleveland	George	Republican	14
15	Shepard	Phillip	Republican	15
16	Smith	Carson	Republican	16
17	Iler	Francis	Republican	17
18	Butler	Deborah	Democratic	18
19	Miller	Charles	Republican	19
20	Davis	Robert	Republican	20
21				
22	Brisson	William	Republican	22
23	Willingham	Shelly	Democratic	23
24	Cooper-Suggs	Linda	Democratic	24
25	Gailliard	James	Democratic	25
26	White	Donna	Republican	26
27	Wray	Michael	Democratic	27
28	Strickland	Larry	Republican	28
29	Alston	Vernetta	Democratic	29
30	Morey	Marcia	Democratic	30
31	Hawkins	Zack	Democratic	31
32	Garrison	Terry	Democratic	32
33	Gill	Rosa	Democratic	33
34	Martin	David	Democratic	34
35	Everitt	Terence	Democratic	35
36	von Haefen	Julie	Democratic	36
37	Paré	Erin	Republican	37
38	Jones	Abraham	Democratic	38
39	Roberson	James	Democratic	39
40	John	Joseph	Democratic	40

**District-Incumbent Report****District Plan: H980 Second Edition****Residence Set: NC House - 2/10/2022**

District in this Plan	Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District
41	Adcock	Gale	Democratic	41
42	Lucas	Marvin	Democratic	42
43	Wheatley	Diane	Republican	43
44	Richardson	William	Democratic	44
45	Szoka	John	Republican	45
46	Jones	Brenden	Republican	46
47	Graham	Charles	Democratic	47
48	Pierce	Garland	Democratic	48
49	Ball	Cynthia	Democratic	49
50	Meyer	Graig	Democratic	50
51	Sauls	John	Republican	51
52	Boles	James	Republican	52
	Moss	Ben	Republican	66
53	Penny	Howard	Republican	53
54	Reives	Robert	Democratic	54
55	Brody	Mark	Republican	55
56	Insko	Verla	Democratic	56
57	Clemmons	Ashton	Democratic	57
58	Quick	Amos	Democratic	58
59	Hardister	Jonathan	Republican	59
60	Brockman	Cecil	Democratic	60
61	Harrison	Mary	Democratic	61
62	Faircloth	Joseph	Republican	62
63	Hurtado	Ricardo	Democratic	63
64	Riddell	Dennis	Republican	64
65	Pyrtle	Armor	Republican	65
66				
67	Sasser	Clayton	Republican	67
68	Willis	David	Republican	68
69	Arp	Larry	Republican	69
70	Hurley	Patricia	Republican	70
71	Terry	Evelyn	Democratic	71
72	Baker	Amber	Democratic	72
73				
74	Zenger	Jeffrey	Republican	74
75	Lambeth	Donny	Republican	75
76	Warren	Harry	Republican	76
77	Howard	Julia	Republican	77
	Zachary	Walter	Republican	73
78	McNeill	Allen	Republican	78
79	Kidwell	Keith	Republican	79
80	Watford	Samuel	Republican	80

# District-Incumbent Report

**District Plan: H980 Second Edition**

**Residence Set: NC House - 2/10/2022**

District in this Plan	Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District
81	Potts	Larry	Republican	81
82	Baker	Kristin	Republican	82
	Pittman	Larry	Republican	83
83				
84	McNeely	Jeffrey	Republican	84
85	Greene	Edwin	Republican	85
86	Blackwell	Hugh	Republican	86
87	Hall	Destin	Republican	87
88	Belk	Mary	Democratic	88
89	Setzer	Mitchell	Republican	89
90	Stevens	Sarah	Republican	90
91	Hall	Kyle	Republican	91
92	Brown	Terry	Democratic	92
93	Pickett	Phillip	Republican	93
94	Elmore	Jeffrey	Republican	94
95	Mills	Paul	Republican	95
96	Adams	James	Republican	96
97	Saine	Jason	Republican	97
98	Bradford	John	Republican	98
99	Majeed	Nasif	Democratic	99
100	Autry	Johnnie	Democratic	100
101	Logan	Carolyn	Democratic	101
102	Carney	Becky	Democratic	102
103	Hunt	Rachel	Democratic	103
104	Lofton	Brandon	Democratic	104
105	Harris	Wesley	Democratic	105
106	Cunningham	Carla	Democratic	106
107	Alexander	Kelly	Democratic	107
108	Torbett	John	Republican	108
109	Loftis	Donnie	Republican	109
110	Hastings	Kelly	Republican	110
111	Moore	Timothy	Republican	111
112				
113	Johnson	Jake	Republican	113
	Rogers	David	Republican	112
114				
115	Ager	John	Democratic	115
	Turner	Brian	Democratic	116
116	Rudow	Caleb	Democratic	114
117	Moffitt	Timothy	Republican	117
118	Pless	Steven	Republican	118
119	Clampitt	James	Republican	119

# District-Incumbent Report

**District Plan: H980 Second Edition**

**Residence Set: NC House - 2/10/2022**

District in this Plan	Last Name	First Name	Party	Current District
120	Gillespie	Karl	Republican	120